1	PLANNING BOARD	COUNTY OF ALBANY
2	TOWN OF COLONIE	
3	**************	*********
4	O'REILLY AUTO 1929 CENTRAL	
5		
6	*************	*******
7	THE STENOGRAPHIC MINUTE matter by NANCY L. STRANG, a	ES of the above entitled
8		e 9:06 p.m. at The Public
9		Tonayana Roda, Bacham,
10		
11	BOARD MEMBERS: PETER STUTO, CHAIRMAN	
12		
13		
14		
15	ALSO PRESENT:	
16	Joseph LaCivita, Director, F Development	Planning and Economic
17		
18		Schneider & Associates
19		1
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Last on the agenda is O'Reilly
2	Auto Parts, 1929 Central Ave., application for concept
3	acceptance, raise existing restaurant in construct a
4	15,647 square foot retail building.
5	MR. LACIVITA: Same as before, Peter. This project
6	has been before us. The sketch plan was before us. They
7	have been before the DCC.
8	It is a COR zone. And it is within the
9	Lishakill Road/Kings Road GEIS. They will pay a
10	portion it share of mitigation fees and get a credit
11	for the removal of the existing building.
12	Tonight we are here for O'Reilly's Auto Parts
13	and Rob Osterhoudt from Bohler presenting the
14	project.
15	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Thanks, Joe.
16	I am Rob Osterhoudt with Bohler Engineering.
17	it's good to be back in front of the Board with the
18	O'Reilly project, 1929 Central Avenue.
19	We were last here in March for sketch plan
20	review. We are back before you with an amended plan
21	to address some of the items that we talked about at
22	that March meeting. I will talk you through those
23	comments and changes that have been incorporated to
24	not only the site layout, but also the building
25	elevations.

What I would like to do is kind of recap the
project real quickly. I will take up a lot of your
time with that, because you have the application
materials from previously.

I have an aerial view of the site here to put it in perspective. We have the existing Sitar restaurant, or former Sitar restaurant I should say. It is currently vacant and has been vacant for some time now.

The project site is here (Indicating). Access is along the westerly side of the site. The building in the parking is situated toward the back and then we had some existing vegetation on the site and that helps buffer the rear side of the property.

Looking over at the concept plan that has been presented to the Board, this is actually the older version of the concept plan. What we have done on the updated plan that is in your packet - we have added some landscaped islands along the west side of the building. We added two more islands so we have three landscaped islands on the side (Indicating).

We have a future's shared access connection to the adjoining property to the west.

We also banked some parking at the back of the site. Those were all items that we had talked about

at the sketch plan meeting back in March. What we
are banking for parking is six spaces. What we are
actually proposing on the site is 64 spaces to be
built, six spaces to be banked for a total of 70.
The Code requires 78, so we still do need a waiver
for eight spaces. We were not able to eliminate that
or bank those additional spaces. The reason why we
have not banked those eight spaces was because we
had talked previously about the groundwater in this
area. We have done several projects along this
corridor and we know that there is high groundwater.
We know that were going to have a shallow larger
stormwater basin to accommodate our stormwater
needs. So, we have that here. The banked parking
spaces that are shown on the plan are in this area
here (Indicating).

So, with that, there were some other items that we talked about such as types of trees, the plantings and the screening to the single-family residential zone to the north behind the site. Those are items that we will certainly address as we progress with more detailed plans and take the next step moving the project forward. So, we are not ignoring those comments. We are just not at that level yet with the plans to address them.

1	We are still looking at the four waivers that
2	we had talked about previously which would be the
3	frontage build out. So, the 80% requirement along
4	our frontage is not being met with this plan. We are
5	increasing at over what it is today going from 59%
6	to 67% or so. The reason why we are not getting up
7	to 80% build out is that our fences are going
8	exactly to the property line and it is not going
9	exactly to the curb cut and our driveway.

The same thing on the other side. So, we are building out with decorative fence and piers as much of the frontage as we practically can hear. We will be looking for a waiver on the frontage build out.

The next waiver that we had talked about was the mid/max building setback here of 20 feet. We are proposing the building further back from that front property line for several reasons.

We did go back to O'Reilly and talk to them about that and whether or not we could move that building out. They are hesitant to move the building. They have had experiences where they want to have parking out front because it makes the business, much like many of the other businesses along the corridor -- when there is parking out front, people that are driving by see cars and they

1 know that it is a business that is operating and is 2 functional and open. So, from that perspective, they 3 want to have some presence of parking out front.

There are some other elements that come into play also. There are other auto parts stores up and down the corridor. They have parking out front. So, if we did not have the parking out front, O'Reilly would have a competitive disadvantage here.

So, that kind of drives two waivers, if you will. One is the building setback and the other is parking in the front yard.

The other waiver that we kind of already touched on here was the parking and the fact that we would be looking for a waiver for eight spaces which is the eighth spaces above and beyond the proposed parking and the proposed banked parking that we are showing on the plan.

I'd like to just reiterate that this location is going to be a very important component in the O'Reilly network that they plan on building and the greater area. This is a hub store and we had talked about that previously. A hub store, in the sense of an O'Reilly Auto Parts Store basically means that it is not a distribution center, like a major warehouse type operation, but it is a larger store that will

1	be used for both retail and warehousing. So, the
2	retail component to this store is similar to what
3	would be at any other store that is not a hub store.

There is more storage in the back of the facility. So, our retail area is up here (Indicating). That is roughly this corner of the building. Everything else you see here is storage-internal parts storage. So, this being a hub store, this location will serve other O'Reilly parts stores that are going to be in the area.

There will be a delivery vehicles here - small pickup trucks that O'Reilly typically uses to transport parts back and forth between their stores. So, there will be a lot of trucks coming in and out to make those deliveries two other stores. It would be small pickup trucks because they are on an as needed basis. It is much like the other auto parts stores around the area. So, this hub store is very critical to them because there are other stores and other locations that they are looking at in the area and other ones that they are working on in the area that will need this store to support the other stores.

MR. MION: I have a question for you. On the trucks

I remember last time you said there are not going to

1	be any tractor trailers or anything like that. Yet, at
2	your other store that your putting in now where Big Sur
3	was, you going to have tractor trailers going in there

MR. OSTERHOUDT: We will have tractor-trailers delivering here. I don't think that I indicated that we would not. We will have tractor trailers delivering parts here. It is the interest store deliveries that won't have tractor trailers. Maybe I was not clear on that.

MR. MION: My question is - if that is what you call a hub store, do those have supplies that you would take out to the other stores? In other words, where I am going with this is instead of having tractor-trailers at the Big Sur store, it would be supplied by this store here. Would that alleviate a problem in that location -

MR. OSTERHOUDT: I see what you are saying. From an operational perspective, that's store — that Big Sur will also have tractor trailers delivering parts they are. That store will get its own deliveries of parts that it needs to sustain its general inventory. Any specialty parts — let's say I own a 1983 Corvette and I want to go to the store at the Big Sur site and they don't have the brake pads that I need there. That store will get those pads from this hub store. So, that's kind of the sample that would happen here. Those brake

2	the small pickup trucks and not a tractor trailer.
3	If I had a 2015 Honda Accord and I needed brake
4	pads, chances are the Big Sur store what have those
5	Brake pads and would not to make the correlation
6	between those two sites.
7	MR. MION: I might have misunderstood you. My
8	understanding was that there was not going to be in a
9	tractor trailers at this store. You were just can have
10	smaller vehicles.
11	MR. OSTERHOUDT: My bad if that was unclear to you.
12	I should've explained it more carefully. Yes, there will
13	be tractor trailers coming to deliver parts to this
14	store. There will be tractor trailers going to the
15	other locations as well. It is that inter store
16	relationship where the smaller pickup trucks parts
17	between stores.
18	MR. MION: You are asking for the setback. You
19	want to exceed the 24?
20	MR. OSTERHOUDT: That's correct.
21	MR. MION: One of the concerns that has been
22	brought up is the hotel is right down the road. You
23	have Hiro's as your next door neighbor. If they conform
24	to 24, you're going to be sitting back in a hole. Do you
25	know what I'm saying?

1 pads would be delivered to the other store via one of

1 MR. OSTERHOUDT: Yes.

2	MS. DALTON: Your justification for the waiver is
3	visibility.
4	MR. MION: Exactly. People are not going to know
5	that you're there. It is going to hurt your business.
6	People are not going to be able to see you.
7	MR. OSTERHOUDT: We will have signage out here. We
8	have assigned proposed out in our frontage here
9	(Indicating). We understand that this building is
10	existing. The rest of the hotel was demolished and there
11	will be some other development of the site to our east.
12	Right now my understanding is that is approved for self
13	storage. That was done through -
14	MR. MION: But that wouldn't have any bearing on
15	that. That's in the rear of the property. The front of
16	the property will still stay there.
17	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Yes, this building is still
18	existing here. The rest of the motel was brought down.
19	There is so storage facility over here and is not going
20	to be very much visibility on this side of the building
21	(Indicating). That's why we're going to be counting on
22	our street signage here.
23	The Hiro's site here - we don't know what's
24	going to go on that. We personally have looked at
25	that for other clients. There is nothing formal on

1	the books right now for that. We don't have anything
2	active on the site right now. It has been looked at
3	and everything we have looked at for that site would
4	be similar to a lot of the other useless along the
5	corridor with the building setback for the from the
6	road and there would be a frontage build out similar
7	to what we are proposing. I no further up - the next
8	parcel up, Dollar General that we worked on - that
9	building is up front. Potentially, there could be
10	two parcels here with the building setback.
11	MR. MION: It can also be potentially that you are
12	the only one set back and you are in this alleyway.
13	MR. OSTERHOUDT: That is potentially true as well.
14	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What is her setback here?
15	MR. OSTERHOUDT: We are looking at 88 feet to the
16	building and that's enough to get the parking we do
17	have it moved up as far as we can and still accommodate
18	the parking out here.
19	MS. DALTON: So, what you just said when you are
20	making your presentation is that you feel that having
21	parking in the front provides visibility. In the
22	documentation that we have been given in review, they
23	actually have come out and said that it hampers your
24	visibility versus improving. In order for me to
25	comfortable granting waiver, we are supposed to have

<u>L</u>	good reasons for granting that walver. Your reason is
2	direct opposition to what we are being told. To have any
3	other reason for wanting parking in the front? You are
4	getting two waivers - a waiver for parking in the front
5	and a waiver for building set back when the reason that
6	you are giving us contrary to what the analysis is
7	telling us.

MR. OSTERHOUDT: And just to clarify, the analysis that you are referring is staff review, correct?

MS. DALTON: No. actually, it was the TDE review, the Barton and Loguidice review. Your response to the Barton and Lougidice review is we are still going to ask for a waiver.

MR. OSTERHOUDT: My response to your question then would be that O'Reilly Auto Parts has roughly 4,800 stores across the country. This is their business. They know their business. They know what works and what doesn't work. With all due respect to the comments — whether it's staff for Barton and Loguidice, these are planning guidelines that we are talking about here. When an applicant has that type of experience with 4,800 stores, they know that business. They know that they need to have parking out front for that this ability for customers to know that they are open, to know that there is space available for them and to keep up with their

1	competitors. Like I said, there are other competitors
2	along the corridor and not very far away have parking
3	out front and have that advantage of having those
4	improvements on their site and the marketability that
5	comes along with them.

MR. MION: I know you're talking about but in the area, everything is sent back. That is not what is happening here where you are at. I guess we are looking out for you in that respect. It's going to be very narrow, depending upon what happens to Hiro's.

MR. OSTERHOUDT: And we have to pay conversation with our overly contacts. They understand that. They are pushing to have this building where it is located. They do understand that the setbacks are what they are and that the buildings next door are what they are — for what is being built next door. Further up the road — are also — what they are. They are aware of that. They understand that.

MS. DALTON: I think it may be more comfortable and it is a small difference but when you write your justification for what you want waiver, I would prefer for you to say that it is a corporate branding, all their stores are like this, that they feel adjusting to the site that they have selected is not in their best interest, given that their corporate strategy is to have

1	a different look to it. Because it's such a narrow
2	parcel and if all of the rest of the buildings stay
3	where they are, I completely disagree that it helps the
4	visibility. You can see what stores are there. You see a
5	bunch of cars. You might see a sign, but you won't see
6	the rest of the building. I would just like you to
7	change the justification because it is not consistent
8	with either with staff or with our TDE or what my common
9	sense tells me.
10	MR. OSTERHOUDT: I can certainly have our client
11	provides a more justification for that.
12	MS. DALTON: Well in order for us to grant waivers,
13	we need those justifications.
14	MR. LACIVITA: To Kathy's point, could you take a
15	look at removing that first group of banking with just
16	20 feet?
17	MR. OSTERHOUDT: What's that, Joe?
18	MR. LACIVITA: The first run along the building -
19	maybe look at taking the building and shifting forward
20	20 feet, take that first row out and reproportion the
21	handicap parking along the side and along the front
22	possibly.
23	MR. OSTERHOUDT: I did talk to O'Reilly about that.
24	This heading and parking is very important for them.
25	That is their prime parking.

2	building would be where?
3	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Our access point is over here
4	(Indicating).
5	MR. LACIVITA: So, if you proportionate again to
6	having an on this corner and that corner and you just
7	move the little forward, you can still work that
8	handicap parking into accessing the building.
9	MR. OSTERHOUDT: If we eliminated the parking here
10	or the parking along the building, what that does is -
11	if we eliminate this parking obviously it pushes the
12	drive aisle here closer to Central Avenue.
13	MR. LACIVITA: If you are actually at 30 feet and
14	your standard drive aisle is 24, you have 18 in your
15	parking so you have more than enough for a drive aisle.
16	I am saying if you bring your building to the 20 foot
17	corridor and then you've got your sidewalk in front, you
18	are kind of addressing what you are hearing here from
19	the Board and that is to move that building a little
20	closer and we proportion your parking throughout.
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Just for the record, the waiver
22	doesn't bother me.
23	MR. SHAMLIAN: Or me.
24	MR. LACIVITA: Okay. Well, I have been hearing it.
25	CHAIRMAN STUTO: You just said for the Board and

1 MR. LACIVITA: Well, your access point to the

23

24

25

than the six?

1	the walver doesn't bother me.
2	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Joe the other reason that we have
3	that 30 foot drive aisle so that when trucks come in
4	here and unload, they are going to use this area for
5	their turnaround. That's why that has to be wider, as
6	well.
7	MR. LACIVITA: To make, it makes more sense if you
8	coming in and are using the back of the building to do
9	your turnaround instead of a customer parking area.
10	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Given the nature of the site and
11	the narrowness of it that we were just talking about, we
12	can't really get a tractor-trailer in and turn around
13	back there. There is just not room for it to navigate
14	at the back of the site. So, we do need that area up
15	front for the vehicle to turn around.
16	I'm not trying to shut down the points that you
17	made, Joe. They are good points. I will go back and
18	talk to O'Reilly about some options. Maybe there are
19	some other options that we could look at here. I get
20	the point.
21	MS. MILSTEIN: I have one question. Do you need all

the parking spots at this point or can you bank more

that to try to bank as many as we could. They can get

MR. OSTERHOUDT: We went back to our client with

2	are comfortable with that for a hub store location.
3	Anything less than that, they would be concerned with.
4	MR. MION: I'm looking at the comments from CDTA.
5	You have a bus turn off in the front. Is that or is that
6	not an active bus stop?
7	MR. OSTERHOUDT: According to that CDTA letter,
8	they removed the bus stop from this location and now
9	they have made a lot of corridor improvements here with
10	the bus system.
11	MR. MION: I disagree with that.
12	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Well, they put a lot of money
13	along the corridor - how's that? So, according to that
14	letter, this is no longer an active bus stop. What they
15	are saying is great here we see this transition - were
16	the old bus turnout used to be - they are saying that
17	this curb line can come straight out and this can be
18	reconfigured to eliminate that turn out now.
19	MR. MION: To be honest with you, I would like to
20	see that turnout stay there. It would give you better
21	access into your building and use of the sidewalk
22	around.
23	MR. OSTERHOUDT: I don't disagree with that.
24	MR. MION: So, as far as I'm concerned, that
25	bus stop turn out can stay there. That's how I feel about

1 into the range where we are at right now - the 64 - they

it.

MR. OSTERHOUDT: I don't disagree with you. 2 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Do you see any downside to that, 3 Chuck? 5 MR. VOSS: Don't forget, it is not up to CDTA. This is a state highway. That is DOT's road. You would still have to get to tease permission to alter the curb line 8 or take out that bus turnout. My sense is that DOT will not allow that change. 9 10 CHAIRMAN STUTO: What is your opinion? Do you like 11 the way this? MR. VOSS: My opinion is that I think it should 12 stay. I think it provides a better and safer ingress for 13 14 your customers coming in. 15 CHAIRMAN STUTO: I feel that way also. MR. VOSS: It's kind of a safe haven for them to 16 pull off and get on. 17 18 MR. OSTERHOUDT: Is that a common opinion from the Board? Everybody is in general consensus here? 19 (All Board Members agreed.) 20 21 CHAIRMAN STUTO: My question has to do with the 22 elevations in the access. I can almost anticipate the 23 answers. The pedestrian access and the building is 24 facing Central Avenue. If this were my business and 25 this is not my building, I would make it more of a

2	the west side and the front.
3	Anybody else agree with me on that?
4	MS. DALTON: Yes, I do.
5	MR. SHAMLIAN: The one question I would have is
6	that it is a little deceiving when we look at the
7	building or the plan because it is a 50,000 square foot
8	building. From a retail standpoint, it's only 3,000
9	square feet. That is actually pretty small. I'm going to
10	guess that most of the time all of the retail customers
11	are in the front parking area.
12	MR. OSTERHOUDT: I think that's a safe assumption
13	or generally in this area.
14	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Which also asks what you need on
15	the parking.
16	Keep going, Craig.
17	MR. SHAMLIAN: So, on the retail side, how many
18	people are in an O'Reilly's at most; 10 or 15? I would
19	think that would be a lot. There's never been that many
20	in almost any auto parts store that I have ever been.
21	To the point in addressing the parking, how many other
22	people work in the hub part of the building?
23	MR. OSTERHOUDT: That's a good question. I would
24	anticipate that there is probably going to be on the
25	order of 15 employees at this location. So, the pickup

1 corner access - sort of both sides. I guess it would be

1	trucks for deliveries, the employee parking and the
2	customer parking again, this is the 64 spaces that we
3	are proposing. O'Reilly actually wanted to be at 70
4	spaces. We talked to them about the banked parking after
5	the last Planning Board meeting. The got them to lower
6	it down from 70 to the 64 and bank those other spaces.
7	That is really what they are telling us is a minimum
8	that they could go for here. Like I said, they wanted
9	to be at 70.
10	MR. SHAMLIAN: So, will pickup trucks be routinely
11	parked there overnight? They are not at other
12	locations. They are mostly here.
13	MR. OSTERHOUDT: They will be at other locations,
14	as well. Different stores have their own vehicles, but
15	this store being the hub store will probably have a
16	couple more than a smaller store would.
17	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Craig, are you against a corner
18	entrance?
19	MR. SHAMLIAN: No, it doesn't matter to me one way
20	or the other.
21	MR. MION: I would argue against that because of
22	what we did with the dollar store two doors down. We
23	have a corner entrance down there and that's nice. You
24	have a corner entrance and the rest of the building is a
25	blank wall on Central Avenue.

2	to happen.
3	MR. MION: That's another case where I didn't think
4	that we did, but I guess we did. We have to keep that in
5	mind when were looking at this. That's the elevation on
6	Central Avenue.
7	MR. OSTERHOUDT: I have Justin Petersburg with
8	Esterly Schneider and Associates was here at the last
9	meeting. He flew and before the meeting tonight to talk
10	about the building. I think that corner entrance is
11	something that Justin can talk to.
12	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, let me ask my related
13	question which is: The North exterior elevation shows
14	three window banks. The one closest to the road is that
15	a real window? That would be the lower picture. That's
16	facing the parking lot, right?
17	MR. PETERSBURG: Yes, it is.
18	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Are they real windows?
19	MR. PETERSBURG: They are not real windows. They
20	are surface applied windows. They will be the exact same
21	aluminum frame in the same glazing as a storefront
22	itself. I will have number four facing the class and it
23	will be surface applied with smooth safe block behind
24	that. It will not be an actual opening into the store.
25	CHAIRMAN STUTO: The ones closest to the retail

1 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Well, we don't have to allow that

1	store - you don't want to real windows there?
2	MR. PETERSBURG: No. As far as the configuration of
3	the storefront itself, the problem is that they have
4	shelving here for actual product and sales area. That is
5	the main concern with a corner storefront as well. You
6	lose a lot of shelving there.
7	Additional factor with the corner storefront is
8	that the structural capacity - there are a lot of
9	additional costs with adding structure to maintain
10	an actual corner storefront as well. The columns get
11	bigger -
12	CHAIRMAN STUTO: That's not very persuasive to us,
13	I don't think.
14	MR. PETERSBURG: I understand that. Those of the
15	two main concerns with the corner store fronts, as far
16	as O'Reilly is concerned. They would want to face the
17	main street itself and maximize the visibility as much
18	as possible. Like was discussed previously with the
19	parking out in front, they want the visibility of the
20	cars parked out in front as well.
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay. That all said and even if we
22	agree with all of that, it looks like they have way too
23	much parking.
24	Does the Board agree with that?
25	I mean, you haven't given us numbers to

justify. You have so many customers - and I go to

auto parts stores and it's never 10 or 15. I don't

think I have ever seen 15 cars. Maybe this is a

different kind of store. Then, you have the workers

in the back and an occasional visitor. I don't think

for spaces are justified.

7 Chuck, do you have any response to this? 8 MR. VOSS: I was just can I say, Rob, may be in

your next submission if you could contact O'Reilly and get some number counts for similar types of facilities in terms of employees, customers per hour and that kind of thing. That would just kind of help the Board Members view the site from a customer standpoint.

MR. PETERSBURG: We typically receive with these hub stores about 15 to 20. That would be 15 to 20 customers in the store at any one time and 15 to 20 employees. Those employees would either be working in the hub portion of the store, retail portion of the store or also doing deliveries as well. They would be an additional perhaps bank of 5 to 10 vehicles. So, that's where the numbers come from. So, 20, plus 20, plus 10 is approximately 50 plus 14 - contingency wise that's where the 64 is coming from. They like it to be a little bit higher just in case -- whether it is a seasonal or busy time. Whatever the case is -

2	we would appreciate it.
3	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Certainly in the next submission,
4	we can find some additional justification there.
5	CHAIRMAN STUTO: You want more about exterior
6	materials are anything?
7	MR. PETERSBURG: The exterior materials maintain
8	the same. We have a masonry painted block here with
9	neutral colors (Indicating). We do have a decorative
10	cornice all the way around along the parapet with a rear
11	screening the rooftop units that will be there. We have
12	added a significant amount of additional features here
13	on what would be the west of the side here, facing the
14	parking lot. We talked about the windows here. Then, we
15	have added canopies as well. Those three islands that
16	were mentioned previously, we have indicated those on
17	the plans here with some landscaping. We added some
18	screening to the other door and also some variation and
19	features to the building facade itself.
20	The reason that the - what would be the East
21	side here has been less significantly blanked is
22	literally based on the adjacent development there.
23	It is going to be largely not visible from the
24	street or as you approach from the street. It would
25	just he additional cost to the clients

1 CHAIRMAN STUTO: If you take another look at that,

1	MR. SHAMLIAN: The west elevation facing Central -
2	approximately what is the dimension of that blank wall
3	area?
4	MR. PETERSBURG: This would be approximately 40
5	feet.
6	MR. SHAMLIAN: Is there anything that you could do
7	on that to drop in an awning or something?
8	MR. PETERSBURG: We could place another custom
9	metal canopy there to kind of mimic what we have going
10	on the storefront.
11	CHAIRMAN STUTO: That sounds good.
12	Chuck, I know that we have not heard from you
13	yet.
14	We have a representative from the conservation
15	advisory Council, Dr. Laura Weed.
16	Do you have any comments on this?
17	MS. WEED: I was just wondering how much green
18	space?
19	MR. OSTERHOUDT: We are at 35% green space on the
20	site.
21	MS. WEED: Have you submitted the types of
22	plantings that you are going to plant?
23	MR. OSTERHOUDT: At this point we're still in the
24	early stages. We are only a concept right now. With the
25	next detail set of plans that we submit, that would have

2	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Chuck?
3	MR. VOSS: Peter, thank you.
4	Just try to keep in line with the building
5	elevations - one of the other concerns that I have
6	was when the Board looks at that south elevation, it
7	is a large mass of basically block. Is there any way
8	you guys can use more vertical elements that you
9	have on the other three facades? If you could put
10	another row of like five or six across that large
11	southern exposure, it might just help break up the
12	look up that wall. I don't think you need any other
13	details like the awnings there. At this point, it is
14	screened by the motel. But at some point the motel
15	building comes down completely and brand new use in
16	there, you're going to see that as you going
17	westbound Central Avenue. Making a good on three
18	sides is really -
19	MR. PETERSBURG: What we would suggest is naturally
20	mimicking what we have here.
21	MR. VOSS: That's what I was thinking.
22	Just to go through our quick concept comments -
23	as we know, the project still very early in progress
24	but the site is served by all utilities. You have
25	sewer and, water on Central Avenue.

1 to landscaped plan on that.

1	In looking at their initial stormwater layouts,
2	it looks like the infiltration practices are
3	certainly appropriate.
4	Rob, have you guys done test pits yet?
5	MR. OSTERHOUDT: We have not.
6	MR. VOSS: That will certainly guide and tell you
7	what you might need over there, given the groundwater.
8	So, we will look forward to those.
9	MR. OSTERHOUDT: We will coordinate those with the
10	Town.
11	MR. VOSS: Other than that, concept standpoint, the
12	building and the use certainly feels appropriate to the
13	area. There are really no engineering issues yet.
14	CHAIRMAN STUTO: There were no other comments or
15	people that signed up. When anyone like to speak on
16	this?
17	MR. MAURIELLO: Thank you very much. My name is
18	Frank Mauriello. I live 5 Ausable forks.
19	I just wanted to address the setback of the
20	building. I have noticed that Dollar General is
21	under construction and is very close to Central
22	Avenue. In the remains of the Skyland Motel just to
23	the east - that's also close to Central Avenue as
24	well. What I think that the Board also needs to do
25	is they need to take the whole area into

1	consideration you look at that part of central
2	Avenue from 155 down to St. Clare's Church. There
3	are number of parcels that are up for redevelopment;
4	the Hiro's restaurant, the Colorado Mine Company
5	also the old Bluebell Motel which is now vacant. I
6	think this is the time to maybe look at the setbacks
7	and decide what type of developments you're looking
8	to do there and make it more uniform. We can
9	aesthetically make the area look a little bit more
10	nicer than it is now.
11	I don't know if you really want a hodgepodge
12	development with the setbacks being close and far
13	from Central Avenue. My only comment is take into
14	consideration the entire stretch of Central Avenue
15	from new Karner Road down to St. Clare's Church,
16	keeping in mind how you wanted to look and come up
17	with a plan to improve the area. Thank you.
18	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.
19	Chuck, do you have any further comments?
20	MR. VOSS: No, not at this point.
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Do any of the Board Members have
22	any further comment?
23	MR. SHAMLIAN: When you come back, can you also
24	give us more detailed information in size of the signs
2.5	that you are proposing?

1	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Yes, we can do that. Typically,
2	O'Reilly has their sign vendor take care of their
3	signage. If that is something that we need to do and
4	included in the package, we can coordinate that.
5	CHAIRMAN STUTO: That is a good comment, Craig.
6	Thank you.
7	Okay, we have before us application for concept
8	acceptance. Do we have a motion?
9	MR. MION: I will make a motion.
10	MR. SHAMLIAN: Second.
11	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Any discussion?
12	(There was no response.)
13	All those in favor, say aye.
14	(Ayes were recited.)
15	All those opposed, say nay.
16	(There were none opposed.)
17	The ayes have it.
18	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Thank you very much for your time
19	MR. LACIVITA: Do we want them to come back before
20	final just a kind talk to the parking?
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: That would be a great idea. It
22	could be a sketch plan update, or whatever.
23	MR. OSTERHOUDT: Just clarify that, the next would
24	be preliminary final?
25	CHAIRMAN STUTO: How does the Board feel about an

```
1
        update on this?
 2
              MR. MION: Yes, I think we should have it.
              MR. VOSS: So, submit preliminary final plans next.
 3
              MR. OSTERHOUDT: Okay, great. Thank you for
 4
 5
          everyone's time tonight. We appreciate it.
 6
               CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.
 7
               (Whereas the above entitled proceeding was
 8
 9
          concluded at 9:02 p.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, NANCY L. STRANG, Shorthand Reporter and
4	Notary Public in and for the State of New York,
5	hereby CERTIFY that the record taken by me at the
6	time and place noted in the heading hereof is a true
7	and accurate transcript of same, to the best of my
8	ability and belief.
9	
10	
11	NANCY L. STRANG
12	
13	
14	Dated
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	