

1 PLANNING BOARD COUNTY OF ALBANY

2 TOWN OF COLONIE

3 *****

4 PEREGRINE ASSISTED LIVING FACILITY

5 5 SOUTH FAMILY DRIVE

6 APPLICATION FOR CONCEPT ACCEPTANCE

7 *****

8 THE STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES of the above entitled
9 matter by NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART, a Shorthand
10 Reporter, commencing on October 8, 2013 at 7:01 p.m. at
11 The Public Operations Center, 347 Old Niskayuna Road,
12 Latham, New York

12 BOARD MEMBERS:
13 PETER STUTO, CHAIRMAN
14 BRIAN AUSTIN
15 SUSAN MILSTEIN
16 LOUIS MION
17 KAREN GOMEZ
18 TIMOTHY LANE

19 ALSO PRESENT:
20 Joseph LaCivita, Director, Planning and Economic
21 Development

22 Michael Tengeler, Planning & Economic
23 Development

24 Ted Kolankowski, PE, Barton and Loguidice

25 Daniel Hershberg, PE, Hershberg & Hershberg

Donna Smith Cardish, Executive Director, Beacon Pointe

25

1 CHAIRMAN STUTO: We will commence the meeting of
2 the Town of Colonie Planning Board. Welcome, everybody.
3 If you want to speak on any of the projects, I ask that
4 you sign in on the sign-in sheet over there on the right
5 (Indicating).

6 Joe, I wanted to ask you a couple of things. One
7 is I noticed that in front of Siena that they are doing
8 sidewalks there. I knew that there was a larger
9 sidewalk plan. I don't know if you can speak briefly
10 now about that, or in the future bring in some diagrams
11 and tell the Board and the public what is going on with
12 that.

13 MR. LACIVITA: We can certainly bring in some
14 pictures and show you what's going on. That was
15 actually a partnership that was developed with the Town
16 of Colonie, Department of Transportation and Siena
17 College. It was all under Assemblyman Reilly who gifted
18 the project of \$400,000 when he was leaving office. It
19 was a multi-modal facilities project, but DOT offered
20 design and all the expertise to build. It had to be
21 built.

22 Siena College actually took on their component in
23 front of Siena. So, they took that on as part of the
24 project. The Industrial Development Agency was
25 partnered where the funding stream came into the IDA

1 and the IDA paid a portion of money to have -

2 CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, where is the sidewalk going to
3 run?

4 MR. LACIVITA: It actually goes south of Spring
5 Street where Siena College is and Siena College takes it
6 all the way through to Fiddler's Lane. Then, the
7 project picks up from Fiddler's all the way to Maxwell,
8 crossing over Maxwell and into Newton Plaza. We're
9 working with Schulyer Companies because they have
10 interest with working with the sidewalks along the front
11 of Route 9, as well. That also picks up into the
12 Village of New Loudon all the way up to Glennon. It's
13 going to be quite a corridor that we're happy about
14 that's been on the mark for the Town's project for
15 probably 12 years. Based on that funding that we got --
16 the overall project actually costs about
17 \$600,000-something.

18 CHAIRMAN STUTO: And that will tie into the Village
19 of New Loudon in some sense.

20 MR. LACIVITA: Yes, it will. It actually is a
21 great safe area now for the kids at Siena.

22 CHAIRMAN STUTO: If you have a map of the whole
23 thing, bring it in and we'll spend another two or three
24 minutes on it.

25 We'll call up the first project. Paragraine

1 Assisted Living Facility, 5 South Family Drive. This
2 is an application for concept acceptance. This is
3 35,700 square foot, 70 bed -- I'll use the word nursing
4 home because that's what on the agenda. We discussed
5 that a little bit. We do remember the project from
6 sketch plan.

7 Joe, would you like to give an introduction?

8 MR. LACIVITA: We'll hand it right over to Dan on
9 this one.

10 MR. HERSHBERG: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name
11 is Daniel Hershberg from the firm of Hershberg and
12 Hershberg. I'm here today representing the Peregrine
13 people.

14 With me today is Donna Cardish who is the
15 Administrator of the facility in Clifton Park and she
16 can answer all the operating questions about how they
17 operate. I don't really get into that very much.

18 This project is pretty much as we showed it during
19 sketch plan. We do require two waivers; one is for
20 parking in the front yard because we're well more than
21 a 20-foot setback from South Family Drive.

22 Since that time, we have prepared a stormwater
23 feasibility study, which we have submitted. Some
24 questions were raised on a letter from Barton and
25 Loguidice about that stormwater feasibility study. We

1 think that we can make this work for the stormwater.
2 We may have to change the design a little bit. The
3 separation from the groundwater is a critical element
4 because we are a primary aquifer area being within the
5 Schenectady/Niskayuna primary aquifer.

6 That having been said, we do not think that it
7 will result in any major changes to our plan to
8 stormwater which is primarily porous pavement. One
9 area of the porous pavement -- actually, we didn't have
10 the kind of infiltration that we normally want. We're
11 still using porous pavement to collect the stormwater
12 using underdrains underneath it to drain it out. All
13 the asphalt here will be porous asphalt (Indicating).
14 The infiltration basin will handle both the overflow
15 from the pavement area as well as the roof drainage for
16 this site. We have an awful lot of roof because we
17 have 35,700 square feet with a one-story building.

18 By the way, we did have to get a variance because
19 in this particular zone, you're only allowed 30,000
20 square feet of building. Based upon the need to have
21 everything on one story and their current mode of
22 operation of having a lot of private areas on these
23 various wings, it meant that they could not fit it all
24 into a 30,000 square foot footprint. Since then, the
25 architect has shared with me some materials here. which

1 I have some that were made. I will pass them out.
2 There is stone on the front facade, there is brick and
3 there is siding. Like I said, there are different
4 types of materials.

5 We met with the Shaker Historical Society, we met
6 with Starlyn and those people over there. They were
7 not concerned that the building looked like a Shaker
8 building. They don't want us to try to make our
9 buildings look like Shaker construction. They were
10 pleased with the fact that we had what they said was an
11 interesting roofline and an interesting building
12 layout. The architect really was enamored with the
13 Shaker items that he saw there. He's been talking with
14 them about making some of the inside of the area where
15 they have various dining areas and various areas
16 modeled after various Shaker elements. So, again we
17 agreed to do that, but we think essentially that we've
18 answered most of the questions.

19 We got the letter from Barton and Loguidice and I
20 don't think that there is anything in there that will
21 stop us from completing the preliminary final
22 submission of this project.

23 I'm prepared to answer any questions the Board may
24 have.

25 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, most of us have seen it.

1 I'll open it up to the Board. We usually go to the TDE.

2 Does anybody have any questions before we go to the TDE?

3 (There was no response.)

4 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, we'll turn it over to the
5 Town Designated Engineer and that would be Ted
6 Kolankowski.

7 MR. KOLANKOWSKI: Thank you. As Dan mentioned, the
8 project is a 35,700 square foot assisted living
9 facility. They were granted a variance by the ZBA.
10 It's 54 units and 64 bed unit. Parking is for 30 cars
11 in there and they are also proposing some additional
12 banked parking. The project site is part of what was
13 previously approved as the Ashfield Subdivision. When
14 that approval took place, we found out during review
15 that the neighboring project there was actually a
16 permit that was issued that is still in effect for this
17 project, as well.

18 The site is in office residential zoning district.
19 It consists of 7.54 acres on a vacant site between CBA
20 and the corner of Family Drive and Sand Creek. The
21 proposed development appears to be consistent with the
22 adjacent land uses around which include some schools,
23 churches and some office buildings.

24 Regarding stormwater, the site has varied depths
25 of groundwater as Dan mentioned. Since our office is

1 right around the corner from the site, I drive by it
2 every day. I always like to look and see if the
3 detention basins have any water in them. We had a big
4 storm earlier this week and there wasn't any water in
5 the detention basins next door.

6 A detailed review of the hydrologic models and the
7 stormwater calculations wasn't performed at this level.
8 We have a concept level report from Hershberg and
9 Hershberg. We have some concerns but as Dan said, they
10 can likely be addressed in the subsequent phases. We
11 do have a concern about the conveyance of the
12 stormwater from the neighboring parcel because the
13 outfalls from the basins, which I just told you, didn't
14 really have any water in them after a big storm event.

15 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you go over that point again?
16 I'm not sure that I understood that point.

17 MR. KOLANKOWSKI: Along the South Family Drive
18 frontage there is the stormwater system for the Funeral
19 Director's project. There are two basins, as Dan is
20 pointing out.

21 MR. LANE: Why would their water have to flow
22 through that part of the site?

23 MR. KOLANKOWSKI: The Funeral Director's site is
24 tributary to the Paragraine site. The natural flow of
25 the water goes through there.

1 CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, you're saying that it's not
2 accounted for or not accommodated?

3 MR. KOLANKOWSKI: Right.

4 MR. HERSHBERG: We didn't make any accommodation
5 for any overflow. First of all, we didn't because we
6 did a filtration rate and we know that it's all porous
7 pavement there and we designed it for a 100-year storm.
8 We don't think that there is any overflow. However,
9 based upon that comment, we do have a problem piping
10 stuff across here because you do have the Watervliet
11 watermain that runs right through the site. We can
12 certainly propose to pick that up in a drainage system
13 at a point here (Indicating) and convey it around our
14 site to our construction area.

15 CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, you're going to look at that a
16 lot more closely.

17 MR. HERSHBERG: It's not a problem. As I said, all
18 the issues that were brought up by Barton and Logudice
19 can be addressed in the final stormwater plan.

20 MR. KOLANKOWSKI: I would agree.

21 In terms of SEQRA, this is a Type I action because
22 as we know it's in the Watervliet Shaker storm district
23 and the Planning Board would be lead agency and would
24 have to conduct a coordinated review.

25 Just a couple of minor comments -- on the EAF --

1 some consistency kind of comments where the narrative
2 says one thing and the EAF might say something else.
3 There is nothing major there.

4 CHAIRMAN STUTO: I don't think that we've seen a
5 Ttype I in awhile. How is that coordination going to
6 go?

7 MR. KOLANKOWSKI: Basically declare --

8 CHAIRMAN STUTO: When do we do that?

9 MR. KOLANKOWSKI: You can do that tonight.

10 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Why don't you say the whole thing?

11 MR. KOLANKOWSKI: You declare your intent to be
12 lead agency and then your intent to conduct a
13 coordinated review, and then you just send out the
14 letters to the likely involved agencies which I'm not
15 sure how many there would be on this project. Then
16 after 30 days, you declare yourselves lead agency.

17 CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, we'll need a Resolution as
18 part of the concept tonight on that?

19 MR. KOLANKOWSKI: I don't know if it's required.

20 CHAIRMAN STUTO: You're just going to send out the
21 notices? Is that what you're saying Ted?

22 MR. KOLANKOWSKI: Yes.

23 As Dan mentioned, the Town has consistently been
24 determining on any project that's tributary to Shaker
25 Creek -- is in the Schenectady/Niskayuna aquifer.

1 They'll have to take some special accommodations in
2 terms of the design of the stormwater management
3 system.

4 We also recognize that it's close to the border of
5 the Village of Colonie. The coordination with the
6 County Planning Board is going to be required. In
7 terms of consistency of the OR standards, we'll need to
8 see some pedestrian scale lighting down the road.
9 Based on their past project, I'm sure that they will be
10 able to comply with that standard.

11 They're requesting two waivers. One is for the
12 maximum setback of 20 feet. We concur with their
13 assessment that there is no practical alternative that
14 would allow the facility to meet the requirement. The
15 applicant also states that the setback would be
16 inconsistent with other development sites which are
17 further from the road. In our review we feel that
18 locating the building close to the road poses some
19 additional challenges due to the existence of the
20 Watervliet waterline. We would have to make some
21 accommodations architecturally to do that. It just
22 doesn't make good sense.

23 The second waiver is for parking in the front yard
24 and it sort of relates to the first waiver because of
25 the setback for the building is going to be far off the

1 road, it makes it a little difficult for them to locate
2 parking in the rear yard. We concur with the
3 applicants assessment that there is no practical
4 alternative. The applicant states that it would not be
5 feasible to place the parking in the rear or side yards
6 while maintaining the front entrance oriented towards
7 the street. Also, it would be inconstant with the
8 development of the surrounding properties. Generally,
9 all the surrounding properties will have parking in the
10 front yard.

11 We had some other kind of technical comments which
12 as Dan said, are going to be easily addressed in the
13 future, noting that there are special permissions and
14 requirements from the City of Watervliet relating to
15 the water easement.

16 We had questions about the grinder pump
17 installation. Again, I think that those are things
18 that we can work on later. Also, whether or not the
19 institutional flows can be handled by the pumps that
20 are going to be specified. I think that those are all
21 of the major comments. There are a number of smaller
22 comments that really don't have any bearing on this
23 tonight.

24 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, we're going to open it up to
25 the public.

1 I saw a letter from the Village here on the
2 sidewalks. I'm not sure exactly what they are
3 suggesting. I know that when we did the Funeral
4 Directors on Sand Creek Road, we had an aspiration of
5 connecting the sidewalks from the Village through the
6 Funeral Directors. There was a comprehensive sidewalk
7 plan in the whole process. Whoever is qualified to
8 speak to that --

9 MR. HERSHBERG: I can tell you that under the
10 Funeral Director's Office, we constructed a sidewalk all
11 the way to the Village line.

12 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Did you put money in escrow in
13 addition to that, or not?

14 MR. HERSHBERG: Yes, there is an escrow account in
15 addition to that to carry it from here across the
16 adjoining property which we understand from Ronald
17 LaBerge is always going to be greenspace. There is not
18 going to be another developer on that. That is already
19 pledged as part of the greenspace requirement for
20 another project.

21 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Are you going to be able to do the
22 sidewalk across --

23 MR. HERSHBERG: There is a line that still sits
24 regarding wetlands and ditch lines and things like that,
25 but we think that essentially those will all be

1 addressed. This applicant proposed to put a sidewalk on
2 South Family Drive from the intersection of Sand Creek
3 Road down to this property --

4 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Did he say something about
5 connecting it to the school? You mean all the way to
6 CBA?

7 MR. LACIVITA: Peter, I spoke with Ron the latter
8 part of last week and one of the things that he was
9 talking about was the initial commitment that they had
10 as far as the Village being a partner in playing a role
11 in building that gap. We do have an escrow from the
12 other projects. He was trying to encumber this project
13 to pay more money so that they could get that
14 connection. That's what he was telling me. It's in the
15 Village of Colonie. It's not in the purview of this
16 Planning Board to do it. We're kind of at an impasse
17 right now to get that done.

18 CHAIRMAN STUTO: It is suggested that the sidewalk
19 on South Family Drive be extended to the school
20 property. I guess that's what I had a question about.
21 I hear what you're saying. He wants help connecting it.

22 The Funeral Directors went above and beyond, as
23 far as I'm concerned. I don't know how to interpret
24 what he is saying in his letter.

25 MR. LACIVITA: I think that you misquoted it. He

1 wasn't talking about the school and that component going
2 down to CBA, in the conversation that I had.

3 MR. HERSHBERG: The CBA property starts from here
4 (Indicating) and our goal would be to run it all the way
5 up to South Family Drive. If you were to take Ron
6 LaBerge's letter literally to the school property,
7 that's exactly what we have done.

8 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.

9 Any members of the public have an interest in this
10 that would like to speak?

11 (There was no response.)

12 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, we'll turn it over to the
13 Board.

14 Susan?

15 MS. MILSTEIN: How did you calculate the number of
16 parking spaces?

17 MR. HERSHBERG: We have a parking table on our map.
18 They're based upon one-quarter parking space per bed,
19 based upon 64 beds. We got 16. Based upon one per
20 employee and the maximum shift, we quoted 30. So, we
21 required 46 parking spots. What we have divided here is
22 a total of 48 spots here. We show another area here
23 where we have banked some parking. We don't intent to
24 build it. We don't think that they'll need it, but we
25 wanted to show it just in case at some time of a week or

1 a special time, they do get more visitors. The answer
2 here is that we would have a space here that we could
3 always expand it to additional parking. It's not
4 anything that Peregrine thinks that they're going to
5 have to do.

6 One problem is is that although we have this very
7 large amount of paver in that roadway around there,
8 that's going to be all restricted and no parking
9 because that's a fire lane. Even though it looks like
10 we're building a lot of asphalt on the site, actually
11 it's a very small amount of asphalt other than this
12 major fire lane which by Code we have to have.

13 MS. MILSTEIN: What's the maximum number of
14 employees?

15 MR. HERSHBERG: We say 30 in a maximum shift. I
16 understand the actual maximum shift is something less
17 than that normally.

18 MS. CARDISH: It's typically less than 15.

19 MR. HERSHBERG: We also talked about that we have
20 shift change and one shift comes on and another goes
21 off, you have to have spots for both. We decided to
22 play it safe and provide enough so that arriving car
23 doesn't have to wait for someone's shift to get a
24 parking space.

25 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Karen?

1 MS. GOMEZ: I was reading on the agenda that it was
2 a 70-bed nursing home and I thought that I heard someone
3 say 64.

4 MR. HERSHBERG: Sixty four is the application.

5 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Tim?

6 MR. LANE: There is a letter in our packet of
7 September 25 from our Senior Resources Director,
8 Christine Cary. She had a couple of questions and I
9 wanted to know if those had been responded to. She said
10 that the existing facilities are not at capacity,
11 however, many seniors benefit from assisted living
12 facilities which are private. Will any units in the
13 facility be designated for low income residents? Is
14 that a question that's been addressed?

15 MR. HERSHBERG: I never addressed that. I don't
16 even know whether or not I saw that letter or whether or
17 not it came to me. It's a private pay system and in
18 order to support the facility and the staff, we think
19 that we're not in the position to offer less than market
20 rate value for the assisted facility.

21 MR. LANE: The only other question that she had was
22 to what extent will transportation be provided to 64
23 residents or medical or other necessary components. She
24 states that while some residents in the assisted living
25 units may initially still drive, they are potential

1 users of Town services that must be planned for.

2 MR. HERSHBERG: My understanding is that the
3 residents here, unless there is a couple occupying a
4 unit where one person is still capable of driving, none
5 of the residents will be driving their own cars. This
6 facility, I understand, has a vehicle on site for
7 transportation to medical offices and medical services.
8 They also, I guess, would qualify for CDTA services,
9 essentially, if they are available to them. It's
10 probably on a pay basis. We don't say that they are
11 subsidized. Again, there are other ways to get people
12 to services and I assume that all of the other needs --
13 it's not like an elderly senior housing where you have
14 to have to put together a bus trip for people that go to
15 Price Chopper. People won't be doing any shopping.
16 Everything will be provided for them there.

17 MR. LANE: But they still have doctor's
18 appointments and things of that nature, and at some
19 point they'll need transportation. I think that's what
20 she's getting at.

21 MS. CARDISH: The majority of the memory care
22 communities, which is the proposal for this property,
23 have physicians that come into our building so that does
24 cut down on the transportation. We don't really bring
25 them out to a lot of people.

1 MR. LANE: I think that the point that she's going
2 to is that being the Director of Senior Services, she
3 wants to make sure that there is not an additional large
4 burden put on what they have currently available. Thank
5 you.

6 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Brian?

7 MR. AUSTIN: You mentioned the memory care and I do
8 remember that this was a memory facility. That's not
9 mentioned in there now. Are you thinking of expanding
10 what's offered? I know that it was kind of geared
11 toward the dementia/Alzheimers --

12 MR. HERSHBERG: Again, this facility, although we
13 call it assisted living and there one time that these
14 facilities were actually called nursing homes -- it's
15 actually a memory care assisted living facility. That's
16 the goal. People will have to have a qualifying theme
17 toward the care.

18 MS. CARDISH: The transportation will not
19 necessarily be an issue to them. Most of the residents
20 living there would not be able to realize public
21 transportation.

22 MR. AUSTIN: I think that it's a great project.

23 MS. MILSTEIN: Do you have your own busses?

24 MS. CARDISH: At my property right now we have a
25 van.

1 MS. MILSTEIN: And those are for activities?

2 MS. CARDISH: Yes.

3 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Lou?

4 MR. MION: I don't have a question, but I will
5 comment that three of us went up to visit you. I don't
6 know if you remember us or not, but we were very
7 impressed with the facility. I would surely recommend
8 that if anybody is looking into that kind of care, to
9 definitely go look at it.

10 MS. CARDISH: Thank you.

11 CHAIRMAN STUTO: I have a couple of quick
12 questions.

13 Was there an enclosed outdoor area? I seem to
14 remember that.

15 MR. HERSHBERG: This area is fast care and this is
16 a dark area with walks in it. We also have some
17 wildflower gardens at the end of each unit. They can
18 sit and walk around. One reason why we limit all the
19 ingress and egress going to the front entrance is for
20 security purposes. We don't want all the entrances used
21 to the building. Anything else would be an alarmed
22 entrance, so people can't just walk through the doors.

23 CHAIRMAN STUTO: The other thing is Tim has the
24 elevations open and they look quite attractive to me.

25 You also handed out the thing that showed the

1 materials. Do you have a board on the elevations to
2 show the public?

3 MR. HERSHBERG: I don't have a board for that. We
4 think that it's an attractive building. It's such a
5 wide building. To bring up the rooflines and everything
6 else, we think that the architect did a good job of
7 making that happen. You can figure the different colors
8 with the brick and the stone and the blush color siding,
9 we think that essentially it will be an attractive
10 building.

11 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you. I agree.

12 Any other comments or questions?

13 (There was no response.)

14 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Does somebody want to make the
15 motion on -- do we declare ourselves lead agency?

16 MS. VAIDA: Actually there is a letter in your
17 packet from Rebekah where she apparently started the
18 notification process. She sent a letter to DEC about a
19 month ago to their office.

20 This is to the New York State Department of ENCON
21 basically saying that the purpose is to determine your
22 jurisdiction and your interest in assuming lead agency
23 and any issues of concern and then she notifies them
24 that it's a Type I action and if she doesn't hear
25 anything within 30 days, she's letting them know that

1 we will assume the status of lead agency.

2 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Meaning the Planning Board?

3 MS. VAIDA: Yes.

4 CHAIRMAN STUTO: When did she send that letter?

5 MS. VAIDA: This was August 27th.

6 CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, advise us. What should we do
7 now?

8 MR. LANE: I don't recall her ever having done this
9 before.

10 MR. LACIVITA: Peter, I think that at this point
11 since the dates have already gone past, we have to
12 affirm it. You can correct me if I'm wrong.

13 CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, should we have a motion to
14 appoint ourselves lead agent?

15 MS. VAIDA: Or if there is any other notifications
16 that need to be sent out -- I don't know who else she
17 notified. Usually, you have to send notices to all
18 interested agencies.

19 MR. LANE: I'll make a motion that the Town of
20 Colonie Planning Board be declared lead agency.

21 MR. MION: I'll second.

22 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Discussion?

23 (There was no response.)

24 CHAIRMAN STUTO: All those in favor say aye.

25 (Ayes were recited.)

1 CHAIRMAN STUTO: All those opposed say nay.

2 (There were none opposed.)

3 CHAIRMAN STUTO: The ayes have it.

4 On the matter before us which is concept
5 acceptance?

6 MR. MION: I'll make a motion.

7 MR. AUSTIN: Second.

8 MR. LANE: What about the waivers?

9 CHAIRMAN STUTO: That's at final.

10 Any discussion on the motion that has been
11 seconded for concept acceptance?

12 (There was no response.)

13 CHAIRMAN STUTO: All those in favor say aye.

14 (Ayes were recited.)

15 CHAIRMAN STUTO: All those opposed say nay.

16 (There were none opposed.)

17 CHAIRMAN STUTO: The ayes have it. Thank you.

18

19 (Whereas the above proceeding was concluded at
20 7:32 p.m.)

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATION

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

I, NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART, Shorthand Reporter
and Notary Public in and for the State of New York,
hereby CERTIFY that the record taken by me at the time
and place noted in the heading hereof is a true and
accurate transcript of same, to the best of my ability
and belief.

NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART

Dated October 21, 2013

