1	PLANNING BOARD	COUNTY OF ALBANY
2	TOWN OF COLONIE	
3	*********	******
4	HOME 2 HOME SUIT: 10 METRO PARK RO.	
5	SKETCH PLAN REVI	
6	*********	******
7	MUE CHENOCDADUTO MINU	
8	THE STENOGRAPHIC MINU entitled matter by NANCY S' Shorthand Reporter, commen	TRANG-VANDEBOGART, a
9	7:15 p.m. at The Public Op- Niskayuna Road, Latham, Ne	erations Center, 347 Old
10	,	
11	BOARD MEMBERS: PETER STUTO, CHAIRMAN	
12	LOU MION KAREN GOMEZ	
13	BRIAN AUSTIN TIMOTHY LANE	
14	SUSAN MILSTEIN KATHY DALTON	
15		
16		
17	ALSO PRESENT:	
18	Elena Vaida, Esq., Counsel to t	he Planning Board
19	Joe LaCivita, Director, Planning	g and Economic Development
20	Pat Mitchell, Creighton Manning	Engineering
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	CHAIRMAN STUTU: Next on our agenda is Home 2 Home
2	Suites Hotel, 10 Metro Park Road, sketch plan review,
3	four-story 91-room hotel.
4	Joe, would you like to give us an
5	introduction on this?
6	MR. LACIVITA: Sure. We'll turn this right over
7	to the development team after this.
8	This is in a COR zone. It's zoned
9	appropriately, but they were here for the
10	Development Coordination committee meeting on
11	April 24th. There are a few waivers of the design
12	standards that will be discussed during the course
13	of today's sketch plan review. As I said, this is
14	zoned appropriately. It is for a hotel off of
15	Metro Park Road and I will turn it over to Pat.
16	MR. MITCHELL: I'm Pat Mitchell from Creighton
17	Manning Engineering. I'm here with the development
18	team.
19	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I'd like to invite your team to
20	sit right next to you. You can communicate if you need
21	to, and we can see what you're talking about.
22	MR. MITCHELL: We are here to present for sketch
23	plan approval a Hilton Home 2 Home Suites Hotel. As
24	Joe had stated, it is in the proper zone. We had
25	actually been in front of the Zoning Board in March and

1	we did get a couple of variances. One was for density.
2	We exceeded the density with a vertical building.
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Let me just ask the Board: Does
4	everybody know where this is located?
5	(All the Board members confirmed that they
6	did.)
7	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, sorry to interrupt you.
8	MR. MITCHELL: We also had a variance that was
9	actually a use variance required on this one. There
10	was an issue with kitchenettes being larger than 60
11	square feet, which deemed an apartment in this Town.
12	Also, we had a variance for a billboard to be located
13	out in the same sign area at the entrance from the
14	intersection of Wolf Road onto Metro Park Road. We
15	want to pout another sign in there.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: How big is that sign?
17	MR. MITCHELL: It's 24 square feet per side. So,
18	we did get a variance and that's been approved already.
19	MR. LANE: So, the variance is because the sign is
20	not actually -
21	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, it's considered a billboard
22	because it's an off-site sign.
23	The developer had a market analysis done
24	showing a need for a hotel. As Joe stated, it's a
25	91 unit hotel with associated parking, stormwater

1	management, utilities and in this case a
2	billboard.
3	I'm sure that the Board Members had a chance
4	to take a look at the plans, so I'll try to keep
5	this brief.
6	What I wanted to bring up was a couple of the
7	items in the DCC comment review.
8	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you put up an elevation? I
9	think that would be helpful.
10	MR. LANE: We didn't get that with our packet, by
11	the way. Is that final?
12	MR. MITCHELL: It is not final at this point.
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Does the other side show what
14	it's going to look like?
15	MR. MITCHELL: Yes, although it's a little bit
16	smaller, it's more of a rendering.
17	So, we are requesting two waivers here. One
18	of them is to have the building set back farther
19	than 20 feet. This is a COR zone. The other one
20	is to have parking in the front yard. Those two
21	are very interrelated. Being a hotel use, we
22	really need perimeter access.
23	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I'm going to ask you to stop for
24	one second.
25	Elena, can you make a note we grant so

1	many waivers for that and we need to address that
2	with the Town Board. Can you take charge of that
3	and make sure that we look at that really closely?
4	MS. VAIDA: Yes.
5	MR. MITCHELL: Again, it's very much in keeping
6	with the Metro Park corridor. All of the businesses -
7	and when I say all of them I don't mean nine percent;
8	I mean all of them. Between Metro Park and our site
9	all have parking in the front yard. They all have
10	building set back more than 20 feet from the property
11	line. So, it's very much in keeping with the current
12	neighborhood out there.
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I'll speculate that you're not
14	going to have trouble with those waivers.
15	MR. LANE: What happens if you don't get the
16	waivers?
17	MR. MITCHELL: We did take a look at a couple
18	different scenarios here. Mike Lyons had asked us to
19	put more of the parking behind the building. If we
20	were to follow his direction, it actually requires that
21	we get other waivers and we would no longer be able to
22	meet greenspace. The project is so tight right now, we
23	had to be extremely efficient in the parking. Any time
24	that we have a drive aisle that's only single loaded
25	with parking, it puts us over our greenspace limit

1	here. So, we did look at these items.
2	MR. LANE: To get the waiver, you have to show
3	that there are no other reasonable alternatives. So,
4	when you come back, that's the kind of information that
5	we look at. we're not going to act on this tonigh.
6	We look at all these different ways.
7	MR. MITCHELL: We're not just actually telling you
8	this, we laid out plans trying to do what Mike Lyons
9	was looking for to show why it doesn't work. If we
10	could do what he was asking for, it either means that
11	we have to reduce the number of parking spaces and get
12	a waiver for that or we have to encroach into the
13	parking setback area. So, some of the things that
14	we're asking for would require other wavers. They
15	would be different waivers, but other waivers.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: You're surrounded by commercial
17	on all sides?
18	MR. MITCHELL: We are.
19	At this point, I just want to ask the Board
20	if they have any questions.
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you bring that closer to us
22	so that we could pass it down?
23	MR. MITCHELL: Sure. These colors aren't final.
24	There is actually a connecting section on the north of
25	what they call a support and it's artificial stone.

1	MR. LANE: We usually like a little more color and
2	more eye catching things in the equipment design.
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: We care about the architectural
4	detail and how it looks.
5	MR. MITCHELL: And I think that the biggest change
6	that we're willing to make is where you see the dark
7	brown areas. It is the artificial stone. That color
8	is going to be changed. I think that you're going to
9	see a significant change in the appearance.
10	CHAIRMAN STUTO: We'll be looking for that -
11	something that looks good.
12	Joe Grasso, do you have some comments on
13	this?
14	MR. GRASSO: We don't have a comment letter. I'm
15	going to pass out copies of an air photo that we looked
16	at for the Cap Com project. It shows the roadway
17	improvements. I'm just going to highlight which site
18	we're talking about to kind of put it in context. The
19	site that we're looking at is this one right here
20	(Indicating). It's the corner of Metro Park Road and
21	Winners Circle, or Aviation Road.
22	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Is that surrounded by three
23	roads, or two roads and a driveway?
24	MR. GRASSO: It will be surrounded by three roads
25	and a parking lot; two sides are private. The two

1	public roads are Metro Park Road and Winners Circle.
2	Along the project site is Aviation Road, which then
3	will extend through Winner Circle and extend down to
4	Albany Shaker Road. Mike Lyons had said during the DCC
5	meeting that he would consider recommending mirroring
6	the building, such that the porte-cochere, which is now
7	located on the west side of the building, or towards
8	the Wolf Road side - if you mirrored that building,
9	that porte-cochere would be on Aviation Road.
10	CHAIRMAN STUTO: In other words, you're saying to
11	flip it?
12	MR. GRASSO: It would be flipped. The front of
13	the building would still face Metro Park, but the side
14	of the building with the porte-cochere, which is also
15	has a main entry look to the building, would be facing
16	Aviation Road.
17	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Do you have two main entrances?
18	Is that what you're showing?
19	MR. MITCHELL: We do not. We have one main
20	entrance here and at DCC they asked for a secondary
21	entrance.
22	CHAIRMAN STUTO: On the diagram there it looks
23	like you have something on Metro Park Road, too.
24	MR. MITCHELL: This facility actually has a little
25	park area outside over here. So, the porte-cochere is

1	here and there is a little outdoor seating area here
2	with landscaping (Indicating). But it looks like an
3	entranceway on Metro Park. There is access on all four
4	sides of this building. We have secure buildings on
5	all these sides.
6	CHAIRMAN STUTO: The elevation that's below. It
7	looks like there is a major entrance, but you're
8	telling me
9	MR. MITCHELL: It's not. There is an entry over
10	here (Indicating). This is not a major entrance. This
11	is like a little park like area out door seating and
12	tables.
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I'm not talking about that. I'm
14	talking about the doorway.
15	MS. DALTON: This is essentially what we were
16	talking to you about down here (Indicating), because
17	what you see first depends upon which direction you're
18	coming from. All of the sides should really have
19	something that looks like a front entrance. You're
20	planning on having entrances on each side anyway. So,
21	they should just be spiffed up a little bit so that
22	they look like nice entrances. Essentially, that's
23	what Lou and I were talking about.
24	MR. MITCHELL: I think that to some extent, that's
25	correct. When I see entrances over here, I'm talking

entrances when you check into your hotel, you get a key card. We don't want people that haven't checked in coming through these entrances. We're not trying to attract people to those entrances. We want to get them directed to the proper entrance until they check in and get a key card. Then, they can access those entrances. We really don't want that to be looking like a main entrance to the building. You have to get through the lobby first.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: What I'm trying to say is that we don't want it to look like the back end of the building with nothing to it.

MR. MITCHELL: I'm sure that's something that we can certainly work through. I know that already Kevin had mentioned that typically this Home 2 sign gets placed up on this other feature. In this case, because of this facing Aviation Road, we already started to look at making this look like more of an entrance to the building, kind of what you're asking for. I think that we would look at that.

MS. DALTON: Even if it's not like your main entrance and you're only having people who have already have keys going in and out of there, the bottom line is that it's considered an extended stay hotel, so you're going to want something, whether it's shelter from the

1	rain or some kind of overhand, I would think.
2	MR. MITCHELL: It will be facing Aviation Road.
3	Again, we don't want it to look like the back of a
4	building.
5	MR. MION: Just to get it on the record, what we
6	discussed about the handicapped parking at the two
7	entrances - I would like to see at least a couple of
8	spaces at each one of your entrances. Not all
9	handicapped people need elevators, but you need parking
10	spaces.
11	MR. MITCHELL: Okay.
12	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Joe, did you want to continue?
13	MR. GRASSO: Yes, I'd just like to get some
14	clarification regarding the building orientation. Is
15	the Planning Board generally comfortable with the
16	layout of the parking and the porte-cochere being on
17	the left hand side?
18	CHAIRMAN STUTO: You mean facing Wolf Road?
19	MR. GRASSO: Yes, facing Wolf Road.
20	MS. DALTON: As long as its designed so that it
21	will look nice.
22	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I would like to hear your
23	opinion.
24	MR. GRASSO: We're comfortable with that. I will
25	say that although there will be a substantial increase

in Aviation Road/Winners Circle. You'll probably start to see a few thousands vehicles a day. We're never going to see anything nearly that we get on Wolf Road. I think that the predominant access to this site is always going to be from Wolf Road and Metro Park Road. That said, because there is going to be such a change in the dynamics of Aviation Road regarding the traffic volume, I think that the Planning Board is spot on to say that additional treatments to that side of the building are very important.

In terms of the access points, because there are two full access curb cuts shown, although we support two access points to a facility like this — one primarily for emergency access and one for primary access, we've got some concerns with the primary access shown on Metro Park because it's so close to the offset intersection. The building is one of the main entrances to the 3 Winners Circle office building that sees a lot of traffic. The one across the street is the one that goes to the Shopper's World shopping complex. We would like to see that main entrance shifted more down Metro Park Road so that it's equal distance between the west side of the property and the east side of the property. That hasn't changed. We would like to

1 see that in the future.

2 CHAIRMAN STUTO: And that would be to avoid
3 conflicts with the intersection?

MR. GRASSO: Yes, exactly. Then the intersection on Aviation Road east - we would prefer that there be no curb cuts located along that road frontage at all, and that secondary entrance be either tied into that driveway to the back which is an access drive and it serves the Winners Circle office building or actually to the private access road to the westside. Obviously, the landownership issues and the right of this property owner to those adjacent properties need to be resolved.

MR. MITCHELL: There are a couple of issues with getting access to the parking lot over here and also to this road (Indicating). One of them is this piece of property right here. It's already being used as greenspace for 3 Winners Circle. So, to put a drive across it - now we're taking greenspace from another project and it is privately owned, as well as this (Indicating). The other issue that's over to the parking lot is there are some significant drainage issues and anything that we do to constrain that is certainly a negative. I know that Joe's office is right there and I know that there are some historical flooding issues with this drainage way today and

1	anything that we do to restrict that is going to make
2	it worse.
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Do you have any rebuttal?
4	MR. GRASSO: Understanding those constraints, I
5	still think that we need to approach it from a balance
6	of where is the greatest need being met. Regarding the
7	greenspace, obviously whether or not you build an
8	access connection on one side of the building or the
9	other, you're still using the same amount of
10	greenspace, approximately.
11	CHAIRMAN STUTO: You can make it up -
12	MR. GRASSO: It's got to be mitigated. We do have
13	serious concerns regarding the access. We think that's
14	something that would need to be addressed in future
15	plans.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you take a closer look at
17	that with Joe?
18	MR. MITCHELL: Yes.
19	MR. GRASSO: There has been some landscaping and
20	lighting improvements recently along Metro Park Drive
21	done by the owner of this property; Belltrone Group.
22	We think that there is credence to continuing that
23	landscaping theme down through the frontage of the
24	site. They should be able to accommodate that as their
25	plans advance.

1	They've done a good job identifying some
2	needed pedestrian connections along the frontage
3	of both aviation road and Metro Park Road, which
4	are desirable features of the plan. We'd like to
5	see those evolve as the plan advances as well.
6	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you. We appreciate it.
7	
8	(Whereas the above proceeding was concluded
9	at 7:34 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART, Shorthand
4	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of
5	New York, hereby CERTIFY that the record taken by
6	me at the time and place noted in the heading
7	hereof is a true and accurate transcript of same,
8	to the best of my ability and belief.
9	
10	
11	NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART
12	
13	
14	Dated May 30, 2013
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	