1	PLANNING BOARD COUNTY OF ALBANY
2	TOWN OF COLONIE
3	**************************************
4	622 - 624 LOUDON ROAD
5	SITE PLAN UPDATE ************************************
6	THE STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES of the above entitled
7	<pre>proceeding BY NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART, a Shorthand Reporter, commencing on</pre>
8	May 8, 2012 at 7:35 p.m. at the Public Operations Center 347 Old Niskayuna Road, Latham, New York 12110
9	DOADD MEMBEDO.
10	BOARD MEMBERS:
11	PETER STUTO, CHAIRMAN MICHAEL SULLIVAN
12	LOUIS MION KATHY DALTON
13	BRIAN AUSTIN BRIAN HAAK
14	ELENA VAIDA, Esq., Counsel to the Planning Board
15	Also present:
16 17	Joseph LaCivita, Director, Planning and Economic Development
18	Brad Grant, PE, Barton and Loguidice
19	Dan Clarey, Clarey Development Services
20	Anthony Fazzone, Loudon Road Associates
21	Paula A. Mahan, Supervisor
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay next on the agenda
2	is the Village of New Loudon, site plan
3	update, 622 - 624 New Loudon Road. This is a
4	sketch plan, so there is no action needed by
5	the Board.
6	I know that we have seen this in
7	different contexts. The first was the
8	Berkshire Bank and the Rumors salon.
9	Do you want to give it an introduction
10	Joe?
11	MR. LACIVITA: No, that's fine.
12	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, we'll hear from
13	the applicant, then.
14	MR. CLAREY: Good evening, Mr. Chairman.
15	My name is Dan Clarey with Clarey Development
16	Services. With me here tonight is Anthony
17	Fazzone of Loudon Road Associates, the
18	property owner, as well as Mike Tucker from
19	Creighton Manning.
20	I know a lot of you have seen this
21	project over the last couple of years, but I
22	know that there are some new members. Chairman
23	Stuto asked that we come in and kind of bring
24	everyone up to speed and tell you where we've

been and where we're going.

25

Recently, about a month ago we submitted our concept package and we're hopeful that we will be in front of you very soon with our formal presentation. Tonight I just want to give you a basic overview and answer any questions you might have.

2.0

Mike is going to pass out some samples of typical architecture that we're looking at for this site. I know that you're always interested in that.

I wanted to just introduce you to the site and if you're Colonie residents, as all of you are, you can relate with the miniature golf course and the driving range next to the playland. It's a 36-acre site that New Loudon Road Associates owns, which was formerly owned by the Hoffmans. Over the last four years we've been in the process of permitting the site and we've built a Berkshire Bank which opened in the beginning of 2011.

Last summer we permitted the Rumors salon, which is currently under construction and will be hopefully opened within a month or so. Those are the first two pieces of the overall plan which I'm going to represent to

1 you tonight.

2.0

The site is located on Route 9, next to the playland. It encompasses several parcels; 628, 626, 624 and 622 Loudon Road. It basically abuts the neighbors on Glennon Road on this side (Indicating). The neighbors to the back here which are buffered by - there is a tributary to Shaker Creek that runs through this area and a large wetland area. The developable portion of the site is up here near Route 9 (Indicating) between Hoffman's and Route 9 in this area (Indicating).

Basically, the driving range site is at the top of the hill over in this area.

As I said, this plan shows the Berkshire Bank that was completed and this is the site where Rumors is currently under construction.

Back in 2008, this project originally went to the DCC and this was the site plan that was presented at that time. As you'll see in a minute, it was originally encompassed also with the playland site. So, this section over here (Indicating) was put on the playland site. Subsequently as the project moved forward, this part fell away and we're only

focused on east of Glennon Road. Essentially,

it's this piece right here (Indicating).

You'll see on the new plan that essentially

what was proposed in 2008 - what we're

proposing now is very very very similar. This

is the current proposal. It's a mixed-use

development.

2.0

We have the Berkshire Bank here, which is open and operating. Rumors is in this location (Indicating). It's all connected with access onto Glennon Road.

This portion of the site is the final portion of the project and is the remainder of the site. It is a mixed-use development that includes 71 condominium units in the rear.

There is a mixed-use of 24 apartments above some commercial retail space in this building.

This is a 15,000 square foot footprint and a three-story building here (Indicating). There is additional retail space along Route 9 which continues the string of retail from the bank, to Rumors, to a proposed retail building there (Indicating), a proposed retail building here and a main entrance which comes in here. It has a boulevard type design with a rotary

1	designed internally to distribute traffic.
2	There are parking areas here (Indicating).
3	Then, there is a gated access to the
4	residential units in the rear, as well as the
5	residential units here in this building.
6	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What's the zoning?
7	MR. CLAREY: The zoning in this district
8	is NCOR; neighborhood commercial office
9	residential.
10	CHAIRMAN STUTO: It's business type.
11	MR. CLAREY: It's a business type of use
12	and we have designed this to the point where
13	we only need two waivers from the Planning
14	Board. One is for setbacks because we
15	integrated parking to go to the property
16	lines. You have waived those in the past for
17	the other two buildings.
18	Also, parking. We're asking you for a
19	waiver of 40 parking spaces. With a mixed-use
20	nature and the synergy that you have in the
21	development, that's going to be more than
22	enough for this type of use.
23	MR. LACIVITA: With regard to the
24	question that you just asked about the zoning
25	that's a key component to this entire layout.

1	When this came to DCC back in 2008, per
2	the DCC review both from the Building
3	Department and from Planning's
4	perspective - as we were just first coming
5	in - they were highly encouraged to rezone
6	this and the applicant stood firm saying that
7	we really want to develop this with the
8	current zoning. They were not asking for any
9	relief or asking for any more density. So,
10	they really worked within the zoning based on
11	the NCOR.
12	MR. CLAREY: NCOR requires you to have an
13	80/20 max residential to retail. We've met
14	that. We've got a little buffer in there, but
15	we're pretty close to an 80/20 split. I
16	believe that we're 20.7. That does include the
17	first two buildings that were included as part
18	of the overall project.
19	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Most of the greenspace
20	is in the back.
21	MR. CLAREY: Yes. The greenspace numbers
22	on this - the overall area is we have 36.63
23	acres of land and we're only covering 12.9
24	percent of them with the buildings and the
25	other 19 with parking lot. So, about 68

1 percent of the site is either going to be new 2 greenspace in the back, or the buffer 3 area -- that will remain exactly as it is today. 5 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you walk through

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

again what is going to be where?

MR. CLAREY: This is the retail building here (Indicating). That building is about just under 13,000 square feet. There is another smaller retail building over here that's about 9,000 square feet in the center with the common green for public use. In the middle of the development - that's a two-story building. It's 9,000 square feet with 4,500 on each level. Then, we have a mixed-use building here (Indicating) which is a retail commercial space on the first floor with two stories of apartments above it. There are 24 units all together.

Then in the back, there are condominiums which are individually owned units. There will be a controlled access here for that. All the residential will be accessed through that controlled access and separated from the commercial uses. Of course, we're sharing the

parking with the other existing uses, as well.

2.0

We'll maintain the access through in this direction (Indicating) all the way through the park. We've left the ability to connect into the parcel. At such time they wish to develop that parcel there, there will be access through here, as well. We anticipate that there will be more in the long-term. We've done a full traffic study on this and submitted it as part of our proposal. We believe that ultimately a traffic signal will be warranted at this particular location and there will need to be some coordination with driveways on the other side, and some consolidations to make this particular intersection work.

For utilities, water is out here to

Loudon Road. These buildings already connected

to the water out here (Indicating). There will

be a loop system that will bring it into the

site. The service will come in here and

follows the road.

We'll connect into the sewer line which is the sewer easement out here (Indicating).

There is also a sewer easement along the back

of the property which will also connect through there as well. All the other utilities are provided along Loudon Road and we'll bring them into the site.

2.0

The stormwater - we have prepared, as part of our concept submittal which will undergo formal review, there will be a full analysis of various types of methods that we are going to use. We're going to use comprehensive stormwater management practices on this site. The main stormwater area will be back here in this basin (Indicating).

The process that we're going through right now -- we've submitted our concept plan. We would be looking to sit down with the Town departments and the TDE to review that and get our ducks in order hopefully before we come to see you the next time to make sure that everybody is together on that. We are working right now to try to get a meeting with the Town, ourselves, to get on board with a quick review of this. We want to be able to meet with them early and often, and with Joe's assistance and support, we think that we can work with them and keep this moving.

That being said, ideally, we'd like to be under construction sometime later this year or in the spring of next year and see what comes through as far as comments. Access will be one thing that we'll be working diligently as we go through this.

2.0

There was an archeological component to this when it went to DCC back in 2008. There will be a full archeological study that will be submitted as part of the concept review. I talked to them today and that will be ready next week. We've addressed all the DCC comments. We're anxious to begin the formal process.

Mark did pass out the architectural book with just typical types of elevations and kind of the design intent of what we're doing there. We will follow the guidelines of the NCOR, which are outlined in the zoning. We try to do that with our landscaping and the layout of the site by providing off street parking in the residential areas. We provided landscape strips between the sidewalks and the roadways. We have provided a large park area here (Indicating) as a centerpiece of the

1	residential. The houses will staggered to meet
2	the intent of the NCOR design standards as it
3	reflects to both the buildings and the
4	landscape architecture.
5	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I think that it's very
6	interesting and it looks very nice,
7	architecturally. I'm sure that it's going to
8	raise a lot of questions.
9	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Kathy?
10	MS. DALTON: No, I don't have any
11	questions right now.
12	MS. MION: How fast do you plan to get
13	these up and done?
14	MR. CLAREY: Because of the way that the
15	zoning is written, the retail and the housing
16	are tied together. You can have X number of
17	units with X number of retail. So, the retail
18	somewhat drives how fast we can go with this.
19	Our intent is to build this building right
20	away here (Indicating) and the first phase of
21	this housing back here at the same time. If
22	this were to lease right away, we would build
23	both of these at the same time.
24	MS. DALTON: Is there really going to be
25	a Five Guys there?

1	MR. CLAREY: There's going to be a Five
2	Guys in Latham. They've been looking at the
3	former Stewarts building.
4	There's a spot here on the corner
5	reserved for somebody very similar to that.
6	MR. AUSTIN: The elevation and the
7	architecture look great. I don't have any
8	questions.
9	Will the development be going into
10	Hoffman's Playland site at some point?
11	MR. CLAREY: That was originally part of
12	the plan, but that fell off as part of the
13	project back in 2009.
14	MR. AUSTIN: You'll be using some of
15	their parking area?
16	MR. CLAREY: Actually, some of their
17	rides and some of their things are actually on
18	our property. Mr. Fazzone is working with them
19	to swap and do some things so that we don't
20	disrupt them, if at all possible.
21	MR. AUSTIN: I think that it's a great
22	use of the property. It's kind of quiet back
23	there. I like the architectural pictures.
24	MR. FAZZONE: On the residential side of
25	over 71 units, there are already over 40

1	people that have contacted us about those
2	units. The problem is the interplay with the
3	commercial versus the residential. We want to
4	make sure that we keep that percentage in
5	tact, so we're moving very slowly.
6	CHAIRMAN STUTO: How many square feet
7	would there be - just an estimate?
8	MR. FAZZONE: About 2,200 square feet
9	would be the average.
10	The people that are surveying are more
11	like the single professionals. They don't want
12	to deal with the snow blower and they just
13	want to enjoy living. Half of those people
14	probably have a house that is 4,000 or 5,000
15	square feet, and they're looking to downsize.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Is there anything
17	comparable in the Town? There was a condo
18	thing on Route 7 going towards Schenectady on
19	the left. That's the only thing that I can
20	think of.
21	MR. FAZZONE: They're more like
22	apartments.
23	I think that overall people that are like
24	the baby boomers - I hate to say it, but they
25	don't want to spend the weekend working on the

1	house.
2	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Are there garages in the
3	residential section?
4	MR. FAZZONE: There are two car garages
5	for everyone. They're almost a three-car
6	garage.
7	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Are they multiple units?
8	MR. FAZZONE: No, each one would be up
9	and down. There is a garage and then a
10	walkway. There's a small green area there
11	(Indicating) and people can put a fire pit or
12	a garden there, or a little hot tub in that
13	area. It's like a small private yard near the
14	garage.
15	CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, each garage is
16	detached?
17	MR. FAZZONE: It looks like it is, but
18	there is a covered walkway. They all have a
19	couple of bedrooms or an office and you
20	actually put like a work area there like a
21	home office over the garage or a computer
22	room. They're actually attached. It looks like
23	a U-shape.
24	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Did you say in-street
25	parking as well?

1	MR. CLAREY: Yes we have parking along
2	here and along there (Indicating).
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, are you counting the
4	garages as part of your parking area?
5	MR. CLAREY: Yes.
6	MR. AUSTIN: I had one more question on
7	the elevations. Are those the actual ones?
8	MR. CLAREY: These are typical. These are
9	not the specific elevations. The architects
10	are still working on that. That will be part
11	of your package at the next meeting. This is
12	designed to show you typically what those
13	buildings would look like.
14	CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, you drive around and
15	park in the garage in the back and walk in the
16	front?
17	MR. CLAREY: Yes, these buildings here
18	actually have the garage in the front
19	(Indicating). You see the driveways here. Then
20	these buildings have the garages in the back.
21	This is why there is this drive aisle here.
22	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Sort of an alleyway type
23	thing.
24	MR. FAZZONE: The picture of the garages
25	that are lined up - you would pull in there

1	and then you kind of go into your kitchen.
2	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What amenities are there
3	for the residents? Is there anything? I see
4	you have the park in the middle.
5	MR. CLAREY: Yes, there is the large
6	greenspace here. There is a post office/common
7	area in this building here (Indicating).
8	CHAIRMAN STUTO: No pools or tennis
9	courts?
10	MR. CLAREY: No; no pools or tennis
11	courts. There is some open space in this area
12	here (Indicating).
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Is it wet in the back?
14	Do you have trails or any type of do you
15	think that the park in the middle is adequate?
16	MR. CLAREY: Yes, it's kind of the
17	centerpiece.
18	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What's going to be in
19	the park?
20	MR. CLAREY: We're just showing it now as
21	grass and trees and landscaped on the
22	perimeter. We haven't gone into a full
23	landscape design of it. Once the landscape
24	architects on it, it will likely change a
25	little bit.

MR. FAZZONE: The interesting thing is that when we initially divided it - the building in the back had a pool and fitness area. One thing that we found in traveling and talking to people is that most of the fitness places that they work out, there are pools at most of the private clubs in the area. So, the average person that buys one doesn't want to pay the monthly maintenance and then not to use them. The insurance cost and the maintenance drives up the cost of living. So, strangely enough we thought that, too. A lot of the things that we learned in the area is that if they want to use that, they'd rather pay extra rather than be forced to pay for it and not use it. This way, they have a choice and it's more private. If they want to go to a gym, they can. From this location, you could walk to three gyms. Really, it didn't make sense to make everybody pay for it and that's the reason. MR. CLAREY: The greenspace is about half an acre. It's about 120 on this end and 80 on

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Legal Transcription

of the development.

this end and about 214. It's a sizable chunk

MR. SULLIVAN: My question deals with the traffic study. Have you met with DOT about what would be required for DOT to put a signal there?

2.0

MR. CLAREY: The original review that they did asked us to look at two things; one was to put in a rotary out here and the other was that we thought at that time that a traffic signal would likely be recommended. Creighton Manning has done a full traffic study. They've looked at both of those issues and the traffic signal would likely to be towards the end of this development. We are in the process of trying to set up a meeting with them right now to present the study to them and go over it with them. The Town will be part of that as well.

MR. FAZZONE: They did meet with them initially and that's when the idea of what the access shape and form of the access road would look like. That was discussed, and at that point there were no numbers on the table or anything. It was just like - if this ever happened, this is what we want you to look at. Now, we're at the point where it's happening.

MR. SULLIVAN: I don't need an exact number, but do you know what the drop in the level of service is? It was mentioned in the packet that there will be increases in vehicle delays resulting in a drop in the number of service.

2.0

MR. CLAREY: We started all the way from 155 down to Newton Plaza. At 155 there were one or two lanes that went from E to F. The rest of them didn't have changes that changed the level of service. So, there were no significant changes and I think that the way that Creighton Manning addressed them in the traffic study is by signal timing changes and things like that. So, there is nothing that we're looking that's going to need any significant mitigation. We don't generate that much traffic. I want to say that during the peak hour it was like 500 trips.

MR. SULLIVAN: I just want to be sure that DOT is aware and would be agreeable to having it in such close proximity to Newton Plaza and also it did require you to have A Frame -- with regard to their access -

MR. CLAREY: One of the things that's

acknowledged in the traffic study is that we will have to work with our neighbors across the street to consolidate their access. Signal or no signal, it makes sense at this point.

2.0

As far as the location of the signal, we fully anticipate that there will be a lot of discussions as far as A, will the signal ever be warranted and if it is, will it be connected? We're anticipating getting into those kinds of discussions with DOT.

MR. FAZZONE: They looked at it pretty hard on the brochure of Rumors and the joint access to it. They also reserve the right to review that. In their approval - they want to review that full access.

MR. CLAREY: This might get restricted to right-in and right-out and might get eliminated all together. Right now it's working actually pretty good.

In the original plan, there was another access down here (Indicating) which was taken off the plan. We also provided for future access. There are a number of curb cuts through this area and we've cleaned up quite a few. If this ever develops, these two are

interconnected and it will make a big difference on this side.

2.0

CHAIRMAN STUTO: While you're on traffic can you talk a little bit about what analysis tips it from a roundabout into a signal? A signal seems to tend to slow things down a little bit.

MR. CLAREY: Two things: One in this particular corridor, it's signalized. Most of the major intersections do have traffic signals. What really tips this, Mr. Chairman, is real estate. You need a lot of land to put in a rotary. We control this side of it, but we don't control the other side.

MR. FAZZONE: We would have to change our retail space up here and it chops it off a little bit, so that it starts to make it economically infeasible for us. It's not out of character with the corridor. Putting in a traffic signal that's linked to the other two signals — and the spacing on this — I think that we were about 700 or 800 feet away. We're not that close where it would be an issue with coordination.

MR. HAAK: One of my questions was about

1 the trip that you answered because traveling 2 through there sometimes at peak hours; I know 3 that things can get backed up. So, that was one of my concerns. 5 For my own information, what is the 6 buffer between your development and the playland going to be? It seems like the playland is more toward the commercial as 9 opposed to the residential. 10 MR. CLAREY: Yes, it's right in here 11 (Indicating). 12 MR. HAAK: I was just thinking would I 13 want to buy a condo if I had something so 14 close -15 MR. CLAREY: You can see from the aerial 16 that essentially the condos are back in here 17 and the playland is up in here (Indicating). 18 Our commercial portion of our development 19 abuts the playland right here. This is the 2.0 wetland buffer right here. They're up in this 21 area. At the closest, we are about 15 feet, 22 which is for zoning. 23 MR. FAZZONE: That was the other aspect 24 to the 80/20. Probably this is the only site 25 that will have dedicated residential because

1 the depth of the road. So, if you go all the 2 way to the church past Newton, there isn't any 3 other residential that share that frontage. The wetland comes up and impacts the depth 5 significantly. 6 MR. HAAK: My only other universal comment is about we talked at the last meeting about the development of the property there at 9 the corner of Homestead. We talked about 10 traffic flow through there. Has there been any 11 discussion of doing a more universal traffic 12 study like we're doing now up in the Boght 13 area? 14 MR. LACIVITA: We should be engaging DOT on that. I'm sure that there are conversations 15 16 happening now with this project. I know that 17 DOT has an access plan and this addresses some 18 of that. 19 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you keep an eye on 2.0 that Joe? 21 MR. CLAREY: You mentioned the trips. I 22 stated before that I gave you the wrong 23 numbers of trips. Our actual impact on our 24 maximum traffic impact on the road is 254 in 25 the peak hour in the evening. So, it's half of 1 what I said earlier.

10

11

14

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 The other thing is that being a mixed-use 3 development, there is a lot of interactivity here. In providing this connection here and 5 hopefully this connection down here (Indicating), you'll get a lot of benefit and a lot of traffic that won't go out onto the road. Overall, cleaning up the 9 interconnectivity and cleaning up the driveways, the mixed-use and shared use of that -- some businesses are active during the 12 day, restaurants are active at night. People 13 are home at night. There is pedestrian connectivity. So, there are a lot of things 15 here that are done that really help keep the 16 traffic generation down to a minimum.

> The one thing that I didn't cover that is the Planning Board's favorite subject on this project; pedestrian access and sidewalks along Loudon Road.

> Just a primer for those that haven't been through these discussions before: In the past, we've set aside escrow money for sidewalks along Berkshire and along Rumors. As was the case in the past, we're willing to put those

1	sidewalks in as part of our development.
2	However, it's been the Board's position that
3	they wanted to get a larger buy in from the
4	rest of the Town departments and some of the
5	other stakeholders before we move forward with
6	sidewalks. That's still our position. We're
7	anxious to see them. We see a need for them.
8	We want that to be one of the primary benefits
9	of this project, hopefully to provide a good
10	chunk of putting a sidewalk here which
11	enhances the accessibility of our site and the
12	safety for all of the pedestrians out there.
13	So, we'll continue to move in that direction.
14	I know that it's addressed in the traffic
15	study, and we'll continue to work with Joe and
16	the other to advance that issue.
17	MR. AUSTIN: So, why wouldn't you put a
18	sidewalk in now? Most of the projects that we
19	have going forward, that's the way that -
20	MR. CLAREY: In this particular case, it
21	was the decision of the Board to put the money
22	in escrow for the Town and eventually decide
23	where those sidewalks need to be and put them
24	in.
25	CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, there's not a

sidewalk segment to nowhere.

2.0

MR. CLAREY: Right. We're dealing with a section that goes all the way from Siena College across the front of Newton Plaza and down to our site. There are some issues that need to be looked at in order to really site the sidewalk and provide all the amenities and address all the issues that are going to come up. In our particular case, we've got the room and we're putting it aside. We know where it's going to be. It's just a matter of when to build it.

MR. HAAK: I think that certainly as you develop the residential area, it's going to become an issue to address.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Joe, on that subject, we have a couple of sidewalks -- regarding the comprehensive sidewalk study? Can you pull that out and have someone do a presentation for us? In particular, in the Route 9 corridor?

MR. LACIVITA: Sure. With this corridor it would be Planning's recommendation that they would recommend that the developer install them. One of the things that I've seen

1	with the projects that are coming in - when
2	you look at Siena College that has 4,200
3	students, that's a population that's going to
4	be patronizing these commercial entities. So,
5	we want to see them come in. I know that the
6	supervisor has been in communication with
7	Siena College as well in developing sidewalks
8	in that corridor.
9	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you get somebody in
10	to do a presentation?
11	MR. LACIVITA: Sure.
12	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Any questions in the
13	back of the room?
14	Madam Supervisor, do you have any
15	comments or questions?
16	SUPERVISOR MAHAN: No, I think that this
17	looks like a great project. I think that it's
18	important to cooperate with DOT and the
19	accesses. It's important for the pedestrians
20	to get to these business and not only for
21	Siena students.
22	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What do you think your
23	timing is on your concept application?
24	MR. CLAREY: I'm hoping that we'll have
25	it in a month. We already submitted it.

1	CHAIRMAN STUTO: And I assume that we'll
2	be hearing from the residents, too. I'm sure
3	that will elicit more comments and questions.
4	Anything Else?
5	MR. GRANT: You know that there is a lot
6	of structures being proposed there. I don't
7	know if the soil investigation has been
8	planned yet. You would want to coordinate
9	those with the stormwater office.
10	MR. CLAREY: Sure.
11	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Any other comments or
12	questions?
13	(There was no response.)
14	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, thank you.
15	
16	
17	(Whereas the proceeding concerning the above
18	entitled matter was concluded at
19	8:22 p.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	I, NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART, Shorthand
5	Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the
6	State of New York, hereby CERTIFY that the
7	record taken by me at the time and place
8	noted in the heading hereof is a true and
9	accurate transcript of same, to the best of
10	my ability and belief.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART
16	
17	
18	Dated June 13, 2012
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	