1	PLANNING BOARD COUNTY OF ALBANY
2	TOWN OF COLONIE
3	
4	**************************************
5	THE TOWN OF COLONIE ************************************
6	THE STENOGRAPHIC MINUTES of the above entitled
7	public hearing BY NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART, a Shorthand Reporter, commencing on June 14, 2011 at
8	7:15 p.m. at the Public Operations Center 347 Old Niskayuna Road, Latham, New York 12110
9	BOARD MEMBERS:
10	
11	PETER STUTO, Chairman THOMAS NARDACCI
12	LOUIS MION KATHLEEN DALTON
13	PAUL ROSANO TIMOTHY LANE
14	MICHAEL SULLIVAN ELENA VAIDA, Esq., Attorney for the Planning Board
16	Also present:
17	Joseph LaCivita, Director, Planning and Economic Development
18	Suzanne Perry-Potts
19	Donald Zee, Esq.
20	Ralph Schimmel
21	Thomas Romano
22	Helen Romano
23	Jerry Phibbs
24	Charles Stokes, Callanan Industries
25	Charles Stokes, Carrahan Industries

1	Joe Bianchine, ABD Engineers
2	Steven Dollefeld
3	Dan Kelly, Hudson River Industries
4	Mark Rockwell, Rockwell's Autobody
5	Bob Martino
6	David Fusco
7	John Wolcott
8	Anthony Valentine, Estate of Benjamin Valentine
9	Jennifer Barone
10	Katrinka Scarff
11	Teresa Schicatano-Duvall
13	Shelley Lupe
14	David Raber
15	Tim Nugent, Esq., Watervliet Fish and Game and Forbes Rifle and Pistol Club
16 17	Don Allard
18	Judy Hauser
19	John Belvin
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 CHAIRMAN STUTO: We're going to begin the 2 proceedings. 3 Could you read the notice to orient us as to what we're doing tonight and then I'll ask 5 Joe for an introduction. We have one main 6 applicant, and there are other applicants that have joined in this process. I'll give them the opportunity to speak first, since they 9 have made the application. Then, we'll take a 10 list of anybody who wants to speak. We'll give 11 you a choice of microphones. 12 Elena, could you start with reading the 13 notice? 14 MS. VAIDA: This is the public meeting 15 notice that has been published in the Times Union and the Loudonville Spotlight. 16 17 CHAIRMAN STUTO: AS well as our website. 18 MS. VAIDA: Yes, as well as the website. 19 A public meeting will be held at the Town 2.0 of Colonie Public Operations Center, main 21 meeting room, 347 Old Niskayuna Road, Latham, 22 New York on Tuesday, June 14, 2011 at 7:00 pm. 23 All persons interested in hearing information 24 regarding the proposed rezoning of certain 25 parcels of land in the Morris Road, Cordell

1	Road, Kings Road, Lupe Way, Curry Road and
2	Conservation overlay area are encouraged to
3	attend. Property owners will present
4	information to the Planning Board and the
5	public at the meeting regarding their proposal
6	to rezone parcels currently in a single-family
7	zoning district to be transferred back to an
8	industrial district zone. Information will
9	also be presented on the effect, if any,
10	requested what a zoning change would have on
11	the conservation overlay affecting the
12	parcels, which proposal is pending before the
13	Town of Colonie Planning and Economic
14	Department.
15	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Joe, I'll ask you to an
16	introduction.
17	Is it fair to say that the Town of
18	Colonie Town Board has asked us to review this
19	and make a recommendation to them?
20	MR. LACIVITA: Yes, that's exactly it.
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: And our recommendation
22	will be simply that; a recommendation. The
23	ultimate decision will be made by the Town
24	Board.
25	MR. LACIVITA: That's correct; yes.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Do you want to give a brief overview or history of this?

2.0

MR. LACIVITA: Sure. Back in 2003 the prior administration decided to take a comprehensive look at the issue related to the continued growth and the economic development of the Town would begin to move forward. In 2003 they started the Comprehensive Plan overview and in 2004 they hired Saratoga Associates to develop the Comprehensive Plan for the Town of Colonie, which again, was all inclusive of the entire Town of Colonie. That plan was adopted in August of 2005 by the Town Board.

They there were certain goals, visions and implementation practices within the Comprehensive Plan. The implementation practices of our Comprehensive Plan were very defined. It looked at a one-year, which was immediate action and it also looked at short-term actions, which were one to two years. Midterm actions were 2 to 5 years and ongoing actions, as they are stated, are ongoing to see if the plan is meeting the targets and the goals set forth.

One of the immediate actions within that one year time frame of the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan was to update the zoning and subdivision regulations throughout the Town of Colonie. So, again it was an inclusive of all throughout the Town of Colonie. It did not look specifically into one geographic area. It was all encompassing of the Town.

2.0

In January 2007 the Land Use Law was adopted, which also at that point in time zoning regulations and zoning districts were adopted throughout the Town.

With that said, the reason were here this evening is the west end of the Town was rezoned at the time from an industrial use - to some of it - a single-family with the implementation of an overlay district. We're here this evening to discuss the change in that zoning from again, industrial to single-family and the implementations of the overlay district that's on it.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you. Just as a final introduction before we turn this over to the applicants, everybody will get an opportunity to speak. At this time we're not

going to set time limits on it. We hope that everyone is just polite and keeps it to a reasonable period of time. If we need to set time limits on it, we will. Please address the Board. There should not be a back-and-forth between people in the crowd. Everyone will get a chance to be heard.

2.0

The Board Members do take this very, very seriously and we're trying to gather all the information that we have had at prior meetings. We have files that we have been reviewing, as well. We have been reviewing the history of this; both the official history and the new history that has come to us. We have had a couple meetings on this. We have the transcripts. We have been reviewing those and we're very, very interested in information that we're going to gather tonight. Everyone will get an opportunity to speak. We appreciate you coming out tonight and if we need to take a break in the middle, we well. If not, we'll plow right through.

Now, Suzanne Perry-Potts, you're the original applicant and will give you the courtesy of speaking first if that's your

desire. I know that you and Victor have been working together, also.

2.0

MR. CAPONERA: Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, members of the audience, I'm Victor Caponera. I represent several of the property owners that have made this application adjoining with Ms. Potts. With your permission, Suzanne would like to basically give an overview of what she went through last year. I'm not sure if all of you Board Members were on the Board at that time, but she's going to try to summarize this in a short period of time. Then, I'll get up and do my summary.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: That will be fine.

MS. PERRY-POTTS: Hi, my name is Suzanne Perry-Potts and I live over on 1050 Kings Road in the Town of Colonie. I would like to thank the Planning Board for granting our appearance on the agenda tonight.

The adoption of the Town of Colonie's

Local Law number one of 2007 resulted in well

over 1,000 acres in the western end of Town

rezoned from industrial and Business E to

single-family residential/land conservation

and two sections of commercial office. It also placed a Conservation Development overlay and the entire Western end of the Town.

2.0

This rezoning has resulted in making almost approximately 50 businesses nonconforming. The intent behind nonconforming use is that these businesses will be phased out over time. These businesses are beneficial to the Town. Of these 50 businesses, almost all of them are in some type of warehousing for construction business. Those businesses provide a needed service to this community. They provide employment for residents in Colonie and the surrounding communities. They are jobs that pay well above the minimum wage. They pay property and school taxes to the tune of three quarters of a million a year. These are all pluses.

In addition to that, many of these businesses pay a highway use tax, which is the tax rate based on every mile driven in New York State.

In addition to the Conservation

Development Overlay, as an added expense to

develop or redevelop the property, you have to

do a conservation analysis to assess the conservation value of the property. Based on the outcome of the analysis, we're penalized for conserving land by a reduction in the allowable density of the property. That overlay further devalues our property.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Let me explain how the nonconforming use affects these businesses. If it's a rental property, you have to see a use variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals every time you have a tenant change, unless it is the same identical use. If you need a building permit for expansion, once again, you need to seek a use variance from the ZBA because nonconforming use structures are not allowed to be enlarged. When a nonconforming use is discontinued for 18 months, it cannot be reestablished unless you apply for a use variance. If the owner decides to sell their developed property, a buyer would have to conform the building to the new zoning, or have the exact same type of use. Otherwise, they would have to try to get a use variance for their proposed use. If a structure of a nonconforming use were to become damaged for

75 percent or more of fair market value, it would not be allowed to be rebuilt. Every time you appear before the Zoning Board of Appeals, you have to pay a fee. Most property owners would probably have an attorney because of the difficulties in getting variances.

2.0

This rezoning application is only asking for 645 acres to be returned to their previous zoning classification, or to the former Business E for something that is comparable.

There are 104 properties. I have obtained a signed letter for 91 of them. In acreage, 601 acres out of 645 have a letter asking to be rezoned back and for the overlay to be removed. In addition, I have letters from property owners of 24 properties that are not in the rezone, but are asking for the overlay to be removed from their properties. With 210 additional acres added to the 601, that equals 811 acres that want that overlay removed. I have four additional letters that I have received since I turned in some paperwork to Joe about two weeks ago.

In my original application to the Town Board, I've asked the previous Business E

properties to be changed to airport business area.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Due to the opposition of that designation, Victor and I have worked together to create a suggested modified industrial zone for that area. He has more information on that. In my opinion, the 2007 Zoning Law was a planned attack by a few individuals to reduce the property rights and the property values of the industrial and Business E owners in the west end of Colonie. I just want to remind the property owners that are here tonight that the people that I'm going to mention involved in the rezoned no longer work for the Town or hold any elected positions in the Town. As far as I know, the people on our Planning Board, the Town Board and current employees in the Planning Department were not involved. So, please keep that in mind.

One of the residents asked how it was that the business owners were in the dark about this whole thing - this whole very lengthy process. First of all, on the residential survey that they sent out, they notified residents that upcoming meetings

would be on the Town of Colonie website and in the Colonie Spotlight. This was omitted on the business survey. There were updates in the Town newsletter, which is mailed out two or three times a year to residents only; not to businesses. The mail house for the Town that sends out these notices has acknowledged that not all areas of the Town received this newsletter and survey. And since the 12303 zip code is mostly a Schenectady zip code, that area may not have been receiving them. There was no individual notification by the Town. This was confirmed by Kelly Majeta\*, formerly of the Planning Department. The actual notice of public hearing for the Zoning Law that was enacted in January of 2007 was only published once in the Colonie Spotlight. Let's compare that to the notice of yearly flushing of the Latham water system. That is published in nine different newspapers a total of nine times every year. One of the first things that the Town did

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

One of the first things that the Town did in 2003 to start this whole process that ended with the Zoning Law 2007 was they sent out a survey to the residents and a different survey

to the businesses. The Town received back almost 5,000 residential surveys and they broke down the responses to the 43 questions by zip code. Evidently, they didn't like the response they received for question number 23 which was: The following commercial facilities are needed in the area that I live. You can place a check mark for any or all of the following: a small corner store with no gas station, a gas station, a neighborhood shopping area, supermarket complex, or no additional commercial facilities are needed.

2.0

The responses for this reason code in the West End of the Town: 12303, 12304 and 12309 -- your responses for the neighborhood shopping area and supermarket were between 95 percent and 100 percent. Those respondents said that they were needed. The Town should take the total results of the respondents. For the entire Town they changed it to 2 percent to 3 percent. No matter how you do the math, it doesn't add up to those totals. They changed the results. In my opinion, this shows that they have an agenda in mind that involved no more commercial development in the west end

of Town, no matter what the people who live there wanted. They posted those fraudulent survey results in November of 2003, six months before they even started the meeting to develop the Town Comprehensive Plan.

2.0

Supervisor Brizzell started an unofficial committee to decide if there was a moratorium needed in the western end of Town. They had their first meeting on August 26, 2004. No land owners of business properties were asked to join. The committee consisted of the Town Attorney, the Director of the Planning Department, Senior Planner DeLaughter, two Town Board Members, Save the Pine Bush representative Lynn Jackson, and John Wolcott, the Pine Bush Preserve Commission's Director Chris Haber\*, Neil Gifford, and four residents that all live outside of the industrial and Business E area. That committee is known as the Pine Bush Committee.

These meetings were secrets and they were not open to the public. In my opinion, the two directors from the Preserve don't belong on a committee that is developing a moratorium. The moratorium is a temporary land use control.

1 The New York State Environmental Law 107, 2 paragraph 5 states that the Albany Pine Bush 3 Preserve Commission shall have no authority to control private land. I do realize that the 5 Town does have a right to develop a 6 moratorium, but that group had secret closed meetings and never gave a report to the Town Board. The representatives on the committee, 9 Chris Hawver and Lynn Jackson - that committee 10 was just for developing a moratorium. That 11 isn't true because they spoke at the 12 Comprehensive Plan public hearing and they 13 asked them to consider the current industrial 14 zoning and they asked them to put overlay on. 15 Neil Gifford was also on the committee. He also asked that. They said they had nothing to 16 17 do with the zoning change. That isn't true 18 either because the Town Attorney still held 19 those meetings that all those people were 2.0 asked and they still had input to Saratoga 21 Associates. 22 They also attended a meeting for the 23 zoning. Neil Gifford admitted that he provided

24

25

information to the Town to draw the boundaries

with the overlay and said that was the extent

of his input. E-mail this shows he drew up a letter explaining what he felt the overlay should be called and that it should be called the Pine Bush Site Review Plan. He wanted to make it part of the Zoning Law that all applications in the Pine Bush area would be reviewed by the Albany Pine Bush Preserve Technical Committee. He also wrote a section of the law concerning the overlay, word for word. This committee definitely had input and of how the Pine Bush area was represented in the Comp Plan and in the development of the 2007 Zoning Law. This committee was biased and definitely inappropriate. The Town Attorney, Planning Director, Lead Planner and Town Board Members were members. Furthermore, Mr. Gifford from the Preserve does not belong on any committee making decisions to certain private land. confusing statements concerning the Pine Bush

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The Comprehensive Plan has misleading and confusing statements concerning the Pine Bush area and the Preserve, making one think that their private land is part of the Preserve.

You need the space that the Town will work with the Commission to identify critical

parcels for development in the Preserve. Their map of the Preserve includes all our private land. You're not even supposed to develop in a Preserve. In my opinion, they're trying to make people think the Preserve Commission has the same powers as the Adirondack Park Agency. They do not.

2.0

The first public hearing for the 2007

Zoning Law Planning Director Mr. Pearson

misrepresented the western end of Town when he stated that the industrial zoning is not a logical use for that area, considering the fact that there is a lot of vacant land in that area. In my opinion, if you have 50 businesses on four miles of roadway that needs an industrial designation, that is not logical.

Lead Planner DeLaughter also stated that a commercial office designation was put on Cordell Road to accommodate the existing commercial and industrial. This is another lie. Commercial office does not allow industrial.

Last year I mentioned the fact that Dan
Wojcik of Saratoga Associates was also writing

a Comp Plan for the Town of Bethlehem. In their Comp Plan there was an overlay that did reduce the density. In Colonie's, they recommended a typical conservation subdivision design that doesn't reduce the density. It is possible that he confused that when he wrote the Zoning Law. After all, he accidentally used the name Bethlehem instead of Colonie in earlier versions of our Comp Plan. He was not exactly the most unbiased person to write the Comp Plan Zoning Law. Twenty years ago he was on the Land Use Management Advisory Council with Mary Brizzell when they attempted to down zone this very same area.

2.0

When the Town adopted the 2007 Zoning
Law, part of the law stated that the Town
would comply with SEQRA. They certainly did
not comply with SEQRA to adopt this law. Lead
Planner DeLaughter filled out a very
inadequate long environmental assessment form.
He didn't even list the correct proposed
zoning classifications. He absolutely failed
to address any environmental impacts in three
areas that were rezoned more intensive. These
three areas were anywhere from 60 to 120 acres

each from the residential and land conservation to industrial. One area in the Pine Bush Preserve study area that was hundred acres went from Residential Business E to all industrial. He didn't say one word about any of those three areas. The negative declaration was not published, as required, on DEC's Environmental Notice Bulletin until six months after the Zoning Law was adopted. It is supposed to be published before. The notice that they did finally publish stated that the Zoning Law is just a consolidation of various land-use regulations into one chapter. There was not one word about zoning changes. Also, at last year's meeting I had stated evidence that the Town Board had already agreed to vote yes for this new Zoning Law before the Town Board or the Supervisor had even received a copy of DeLaughter's inadequate environmental assessment form. Furthermore, there is not even a signed and dated SEQRA form for the 2007 Zoning Law. SEQRA has never been completed for this law. Lastly, according to New York State

1

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

General Municipal Law, when the Town proposes

to adopt a law that would apply to land that abuts a boundary line of another municipality, it has to refer the proposed law to the County Planning Board. The Albany County Planning Board operates differently than our Planning Board. Here, Joe gives the members all the information in the application and the Board makes a decision.

2.0

At the Albany County Planning Board the County Planning Director, who is Mark Fitzsimmons, oversees the application and writes a recommendation for the action. He then gives the recommendation to the Board. The Board either agrees, disagrees or they recommend various things. You never see the actual application.

The Town of Colonie only referred the proposed adoption of the Colonie Land Use Law to the Albany County Planning Board. They failed to refer the full statement on the proposed action. That included the deletion of the existing Zoning District and the formation of new ones. Furthermore, the Director of the Albany County Planning Department,

Mr. Fitzsimmons, knew full well what the

proposed action was. He knew that it was a town wide rezoning, including the drastic down zoning of the industrial land in the Pine Bush area. He allowed the incomplete referral to go before the Albany County Planning Board. The Albany County Planning Board only looked at this on regarding the consolidation of various provisions of the Town Code dealing with Land Use regulations into one chapter. The Albany County Planning Board never received a referral for rezoning. Mr. Fitzsimmons was well aware of the full action because he is a member of the Albany Pine Bush Preserve Commission Technical Committee along with Kevin DeLaughter, and Neil Gifford. They met monthly.

1

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The matter of Colonie's proposed

Comprehensive Plan and Land Use Law and specifically the down zoning of the industrial lands in the Pine Bush area have been discussed at those meetings over a three-year period. The Town also failed to file a report of final action as required within 30 days.

They never did. How is Albany County supposed to know the Town adopts the law if the Town

doesn't follow through with legal requirements and notify them?

Mr. Caponera will have more information
on that.

1

2

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

To sum it up, in my opinion these property owners had their rights severely violated. The Pine Bush Committee has fired against them to defraud these industrial property owners out of their property rights and property values to benefit the Preserve. They did it as slyly and quietly as they could. If we didn't find out within four months of the adoption, there would be nothing that we could do. Not only did they consider possible impacts on the environment for the approximately 300 acres that they rezone more intensive, they also didn't consider the socioeconomic impacts of down zoning over 1,000 acres of industrial land in the Pine Bush area. They never considered the reduction in property and school taxes and future loss of jobs in this area and how that would affect the residents. I know that the Preserve has said that living next to the Preserve increases your property values and that

1 translates into higher taxes, but I disagree. 2 I don't see how living next to property where 3 the employees wear hazmat suits to apply pesticides, or property that they burn every 5 few years, or property that they destroy 6 that's you can even walk through would increase your property values. It is not the same as living next to the Preserve that is 9 left untouched. The 2007 Zoning Law is a 10 disgrace and embarrassment, to the Town of 11 Colonie. If this is what the people of the 12 municipality wanted, why did the Town have to 13 commit fraud, violate the business owners 14 property 14th amendment right, intentionally 15 violate SEQRA procedure and intentionally 16 violate New York State GML 239 to pass the 17 2007 Zoning Law. It may have been to cheat 18 these property owners out of the property 19 rights and values. They didn't do it for the 2.0 Town residents. These few people conspired 21 together for their own personal agenda. 22 I most respectfully ask you to give a 23 positive recommendation to the Town Board 24 resolution number 482, the west side rezone, 25 and the removal of the conservation

1 development overlay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you. 3 MR. CAPONERA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board. 5 What I'd like to do is kind of lay this out to the Board as clearly as I possibly can. I know that Suzanne has done a very nice job in putting this together for us, but I want 9 everyone on the Board to understand where we 10 stand and where we were before January 4, 11 2007. The easiest way to do it is to provide 12 to all you members these color-coded maps. I'm 13 going to start by basically showing you what 14 amount was in 2004, which is the map. It shows 15 a much larger amount. 16 This is the official map of the Town of 17 Colonie in 2004 (Indicating). This is with the 18 zoning that was in the Town of Colonie in 19 2004. What we've done is taken a portion of 2.0 that, which is the area that we're talking 21 about, and we put it on this foam board. 22 What's important to note here is that you 23 look at the west end and what do you see? You 24 see purple. It's all purple. It's industrial. 25 If you look closer, we see that it's been

purple - all purple in 1957. Since 1957 this land that I have my hand on (Indicating) is zoned industrial. So, the Town must have thought something about rezoning the property 50 plus years ago to industrial. The main railroad line is running right through it. There are other roads that go through there and I'll talk about that in just a minute.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

What happened is what Suzanne talked about - January 2007 when the Town Land Use Law - that purple changed -- and if you look up on top you'll see that same exact location and you don't see purple anymore. See the green and yellow in a small area here that is kind of a tangerine color (Indicating)? What does that mean? What happened is exactly what Suzanne said. Every bit of this with the exception of the lower portion down here (Indicating) was rezoned from industrial to single-family residential, or conservation, or along Cordell Road was commercial office. When I told the Board that, I didn't know that this was happening. I think that everyone on the Board knows that I'm in front of this Board a lot, and in front of the Zoning Board a lot. I

have to be honest, I didn't know this was going on. I didn't know until some of my clients, many of whom are here tonight, called me up and said Victor, something really weird happened. I'm trying to get a tenant for my property and I'm told that my property is not zoned industrial anymore. It's zoned residential, or commercial office and therefore it's no longer a conforming use. The use I want to change it to isn't exactly the way the previous use was, which means you need a variance - a use variance. Some of you folks on the Board know that's an extremely difficult variance to get. There are two types of variances; area variances and use variances. So, I started looking at this and I said: Holy smokes, about 700 acres were rezoned from industrial for the past 50 years to either residential, conservation, or for a couple small spots, commercial office. It doesn't make any sense. Why, you might ask? Well, I'll

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

tell you.

In working with Suzanne more, I realize that there are scores of businesses that have

Ţ	been in existence in this area for 40 or
2	50 years and they've been in existence and
3	paying the taxes that have been employing many
4	people. All the sudden without any written
5	notice given to any one of these businesses,
6	the road gets slipped out right from
7	underneath them. Here they are trying to do a
8	business and now realizing that the property
9	that they have been doing business on the last
10	30, 40 or 50 years doesn't conform anymore.
11	Yes, the exact use that you have now can
12	continue, but as soon as you want to do
13	anything like change tenants or changing use
14	that would have been allowed in industrial
15	zone - that's no longer allowed.
16	Fundamentally, ladies and gentlemen on the
17	Planning Board, that's not fair. Now we've
18	gone through this before and I've done this or
19	several occasions on other properties in the
20	Town where similar situations have happened,
21	but nothing near the scope of this; nothing
22	near the scope of 600 plus acres.
23	The comments were made that we sent
24	notices out. You didn't send notices out to
25	the paper. I submit to you the following: If

1	you look at the 2004 zoning map here
2	(Indicating) and you look at the 2007 zoning
3	map, what you see is as follows: What you'll
4	find is that in 95 percent of the area of the
5	Town was rezoned. The zoning simply went from
6	single-family residential to single-family
7	residential. Business went to a commercial
8	office residential, or NCOR zone. That's
9	essentially the same as the business zone.
10	What am I saying? In plain language what I'm
11	saying to is that most of the Town when they
12	rezoned, they simply renamed the type of zone.
13	Take this area right here (Indicating);
14	it's all yellow. This area stays yellow. We
15	had a designation in 2004. We've had
16	single-family, R1, R2 or R3. It allowed
17	single-family residential. The lots had to be
18	a little bit different in size when they
19	rezoned. They named it one single-family zone.
20	They coded it SFR or single-family
21	residential. The lot size now became uniform;
22	18,000 square feet. If you have a
23	single-family residential use in 2004 - and I
24	think this probably dates back in the
25	50's - you can still do this in 2007 when they

changed it. Likewise, with pretty much all of the other parts of the Town. You get what I'm saying. They didn't fundamentally change a property owner's rights.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

If you have a commercial use on Route 5, you continue to have a commercial use on Route 5. It didn't change the use, except in this area. To the best of my knowledge, not one of the 50 plus or minus business owners that have conducted business in this area for the last 30, 40 or 50 years ever got notice. Wouldn't you think that in common fairness and common decency that if we're going to change someone's property rights for the uses they have on their property, which is industrial for 50 plus years and just completely pull the rug out they well now it's residential wouldn't you think that someone would have given someone notice? Every single client that I've talked to and was talking with Ms. Perry - no one got any notice. Fundamental fairness was not followed here. What we ask for here? We're not asking to gild the lily here. We're not simply saying take every single business property that you rezoned and

put it back to what it was. Instead, we have the proposal down below and every one of you got a color copy. We're only asking for the folks that have signed their names on this request to zone it back to industrial. There are 91 of them of, according to Suzanne's latest report. This is shown on the area here on the lower map where the red lines are through it (Indicating).

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Now, in addition you will know that there's an area here that I have my finger on (Indicating). It looks like a fork. It's the same in 2004. What happens in 2004 which is the same as Business E. What happens? It goes to 100 percent residential. Again, none of the commercial property owners in this area got notice for any written notification of this and now the property is completely nonconforming. We're not asking to put this back to industrial, or asking for it to be pulled back to the way that it was, which allowed a Business E use. We propose a modified industrial use that doesn't allow certain uses. It would allow currently in industrial zones: correctional facilities,

manufacturing, processing and mining is allowed. In this modified version that we're proposing for asking that none of that. It's much the same as what was allowed prior to 2007 when it became single-family residential. So, in a nutshell, that's exactly what we're asking for.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

As I said before, we're certainly not looking to gild the lily here. We're simply asking for the people who never got written notice on, never knew what was going on with their property rights or property values until after the fact to be put back to where they were for the past 50 or 60 years. Namely, bring this back to their industrial use. You can see from the lower color photograph here of the exact same replica of the west end (Indicating). We're not asking to put any of these green areas back to industrial. They may stay as the Town Board rezoned it back in 2007, which is conservation. There are other areas here that are residential that again, we're not asking to change. One of the other things that I want to mention -

1	CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, how many acres are
2	you asking to have changed? How many parcels
3	are you asking to be changed?
4	MR. CAPONERA: We have 91 parcels.
5	Is that correct, Suzanne?
6	MS. PERRY-POTTS: No, there are 104
7	parcels in the 645 acres that we're asking to
8	be rezoned.
9	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Just for a point of
10	clarification, you had mentioned number 50.
11	MS. PERRY-POTTS: There were 50
12	businesses, approximately.
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: How does that play into
14	the 104 parcels? Do some of them own more than
15	one parcel in question? Can you explain that
16	to me?
17	MS. PERRY-POTTS: There are only about 50
18	businesses and there are approximately 60
19	owners. Some of them do own more than one
20	parcel. Some of them are just vacant land.
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.
22	MR. CAPONERA: I think that clarifies
23	that. As I said there's more than one -
24	CHAIRMAN STUTO: There are 645 acres? Is
25	that the amount?

1	MR. CAPONERA: Suzanne, is that correct?
2	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: How many acres were
4	changed originally? Did you say 1,000?
5	MS. PERRY-POTTS: I couldn't add up the
6	total. It's got to be well over 1,000 acres.
7	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, about 60 percent
8	that you're looking to have converted back; is
9	that your approximation?
10	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Or maybe even less,
11	because I think it's over 1,000.
12	MR. CAPONERA: So, basically we feel that
13	there was no justifiable reason to have
14	rezoned these properties. Again, in the letter
15	that I submitted to the Board, it contains
16	approximately 50 businesses that are up and
17	running in the west and all have signed
18	letters requesting that their property be
19	zoned back to the way that it was prior to the
20	2007 January 4 rezoning - back to the way that
21	was the past 60 years. It certainly was not
22	justifiable.
23	In an effort to further the credibility
24	to my presentation, I brought in Joe Bianchine
25	who is an engineer with ABD

Engineers. I'd like him to talk to the Board about his position about the people that he's represented in this area and the types of work that he's done in this area. I would like for him to give his professional opinion based upon him working in this area for the last 30 or 40 years. I'd like him to talk about why this should never ever have been rezoned to residential or conservation.

2.0

MS. VAIDA: I have a few questions. This may have been addressed already. I think that there was some reference to it, but you were saying that there was no notice sent out to the people in the west end.

I wanted to ask Ms. Potts whether or not she received the survey notice that was sent, according to the findings in the Comprehensive Plan. I'm going to attest that I personally recall receiving this survey. I was sort of excited about the fact that the Town was doing this revamping and was looking for the public's input but there was a survey sent to - it says all households in the Town of Colonie, approximately 35,000 households.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you identify the

1	document that you're referring to?
2	MS. VAIDA: It's actually the
3	Comprehensive Plan. It's in there as regarding
4	the public involvement process.
5	MS. PERRY-POTTS: The residential
6	survey - I do not recall -
7	MS. VAIDA: It doesn't say that it was a
8	residential survey. It was a survey that was
9	sent to all of the households.
10	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Yes, they called it the
11	residential survey. I got a copy and that was
12	sent out, they said, to all of the homeowners.
13	They also sent a separate survey called the
14	business survey and they claim that they sent
15	it to all businesses. I do not recall
16	receiving it in 2003. I know that I didn't
17	fill it out because then I would have
18	remembered. Is it possible that I could have
19	received it? I do not remember getting it.
20	MS. VAIDA: I guess one of my concerns is
21	that if the Town mailed - which it seems like
22	it did - all of these surveys, even if a
23	notice was sent to you, maybe you wouldn't
24	have opened it. I assume that this might have
25	gone in the garbage.

1	MS. PERRY-POTTS: I can tell you what I
2	would have done with that survey. I do open
3	all the mail. In 2003 I was very busy. I had a
4	two-year old child who didn't sleep and I was
5	running a business. A survey probably would
6	have gone on the bottom of the pile. I
7	probably didn't fill it out. I'm not saying
8	that I didn't receive it. That's possible.
9	Also, I spoke to the mail house that sent
10	these out almost two years ago. I think that
11	it's in the newspaper, too. They admitted that
12	the survey was not sent out to all parts of
13	the Town of Colonie. There are certain
14	sections at that time that did not receive it;
15	12303 was possibly part of it because it was
16	only a small section. It was mostly a
17	Schenectady County zip code.
18	MS. VAIDA: Who did you speak to?
19	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Someone in the mail
20	house. I think that it was a Jennifer, or
21	something like that.
22	MS. VAIDA: When you say mail house -
23	MS. PERRY-POTTS: It's a business that
24	sends out the Town newsletter and it sent out
25	the surveys.

1	MR. LANE: Is it Mailworks?
2	MS. PERRY-POTTS: It might have been
3	Mailworks. There are two that they were using
4	at that time.
5	MS. VAIDA: And you don't have the name
6	of the person?
7	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Not here with me today;
8	no I don't. It was like two years ago.
9	As for individual notification, for the
10	public hearing for the Zoning Law -
11	MS. VAIDA: I'm just saying that the
12	survey, in a sense, was an individual notice
13	and that the Town was contemplating and in
14	the process of doing a comprehensive review of
15	the current Zoning Law, was looking for input
16	from the public.
17	MS. PERRY-POTTS: You will not find the
18	word zoning on the front page of that survey.
19	It just says that they're developing a
20	Comprehensive Plan and they just wanted to see
21	how people feel and what they think about the
22	Town. I don't believe the word zoning is on
23	it.
24	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Do you have a copy of
25	the survey handy?

1	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Yes.
2	MS. VAIDA: This also talks about there
3	being various work groups and public
4	workshops.
5	MS. PERRY-POTTS: For the Comprehensive
6	Plan - there were a lot of meetings, yes.
7	MS. VAIDA: So, did you know about those?
8	MS. PERRY-POTTS: No, I did not.
9	MS. VAIDA: But there were a lot of
10	meetings. They were divided into workshops and
11	focus group meetings. They had about six of
12	those business workshops, youth workshops,
13	town-wide meeting 1, town-wide meeting 2,
14	Comprehensive Plan Advisory Committee public
15	hearing and then a Town Board public hearing.
16	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Now, they said that
17	they sent the survey out to the same people
18	who got the Town newsletter. I know for a fact
19	that in 2003 I did not get the Town
20	newsletter. I didn't start to receive it - I
21	think that I got one or two maybe towards the
22	end of 2007. Before that, I did not get the
23	Town newsletter.
24	MS. VAIDA: This doesn't say that it was
25	limited to the people who get the Town

1	newsletter. It says that it's mailed to all of
2	the households in the Town of Colonie.
3	MR. CAPONERA: Let me just follow up on
4	what you said. I can assure you one thing, one
5	of the clients I represent, got not a
6	scintilla of notice that their property was
7	going to be rezoned, or was contemplated to be
8	rezoned from a 15 year or 16 year industrial
9	to residential. I assure you that they would
10	have been at the next Board meeting, or
11	created a Board meeting, or demanding a Board
12	meeting to show their opposition on this.
13	For those of you who I represent, am
14	accurate on that?
15	MS. LUPE: Absolutely. We did not receive
16	anything on that.
17	MR. CAPONERA: Is there anything that I
18	just said that isn't accurate?
19	MS. LUPE: No.
20	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can we have a show of
21	hands please to see who agrees with that
22	statement?
23	(Those that agreed raised their hands.)
24	MR. LANE: Victor, overall throughout the
25	Town, other than the changes that were made

1	obviously, no other area is changing use
2	except for this area? There is now one other
3	area that you can identify?
4	MR. CAPONERA: There may be other areas
5	and I will point one out to you that I got
6	rezoned.
7	Rate here, along Route 7. Along Route 7
8	they rezoned 10 clients of mine - their
9	properties were business to multi-family
10	residential.
11	MR. LANE: And they did what?
12	MR. CAPONERA: I implored the Board to
13	rezone it back to the way that it was. In
14	other words, it's a different name, but at the
15	same use. I came before the Planning Board. I
16	don't know if you were on the Board at that
17	time. I made my application. The Planning
18	Board recommended that I go back to the way
19	that it was. The Town Board adopted a rezone.
20	What I'm saying is that nowhere in the Town
21	was the expansiveness of the rezoning done
22	like the west end. There are other pockets.
23	There were many other areas in the Town where
24	they mistakenly rezoned properties.
25	In fact, I just did another one on

Central Avenue where the Town rezoned it from business to residential. When I showed the Town Attorney what happened, he immediately identified it as a mistake and they corrected it. It took a while, but it got done. So, this is not the only place where an industrial or commercial use was zoned to residential. It's the only place that encompasses 600 plus acres.

2.0

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you show me on the map where the actual residences are that you had mentioned? I want to see the residences that are going to be impacted. You talked about a buffer.

MR. CAPONERA: Yes, I was going to talk about that before I hand it over to Joe.

As you can see, this is the map of 2004. It basically was industrial and then it became residential. We have proposed a 101-foot buffer that would run all along these properties that we're proposing and actually go down along here where it backs up to any residential uses. That was a mitigating factor that we were proposing. The idea is that if this property were to be rezoned and this

property would be used for any allowable use in an industrial zone, nothing could happen within the 101-foot buffer. That's the buffer area that we're talking about. That's where the residences are, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CAPONERA: Regarding the survey that Ms. Vaida referred to, I'll read it into the record.

"The Town of Colonie Comprehensive Confidential Residential Survey. The Town of Colonie is beginning a process of preparing the Comprehensive Plan for the community. The plan will provide recommendation about how the Town will grow in the future, including where and what type of new development should occur, what natural areas or historic sites should be protected and with community and infrastructure that will be needed in the short and long term. This is being conducted by the Town's Planning and Economic Development Department to help assess the needs and concerns of residents. Please take a moment to answer the following questions and then returned to the Town by September 5,

2 the back. Your response is extremely important 3 and will help guide the development of our Comprehensive Plan. Public participation 5 workshops and opportunities will be held in the future and advertised on the Town's website www.Colonie.org and in the Spotlight newspaper. We strongly encourage you to get 9 involved with this process. If you have any questions or comments, please contact Kelly 10 11 Mateja. Thank you very much, The Town 12 Supervisor. 13 MS. VAIDA: Ms. Potts said that there was 14 a separate one for businesses. Does she have 15 that one also? 16 MR. CAPONERA: Yes, indeed. I'll read 17 that into the record, too. 18 The Town of Colonie Comprehensive Plan 19 Confidential Business Survey. The Town of 2.0

2003. Feel free to make additional comments on

1

21

22

23

24

25

The Town of Colonie Comprehensive Plan

Confidential Business Survey. The Town of

Colonie is beginning the process of creating a

Comprehensive Plan for our community. The plan

will provide recommendation about how the Town

will grow in the future, including where and

what type of new development should occur with

natural areas and what historic sites should

1	be protected and what community and
2	infrastructure improvements will be needed in
3	the short and long-term. The survey is being
4	conducted by the Planning and Economic
5	Development Department to help assess the
6	needs and concerns of businesses. Please take
7	a moment to answer the following questions and
8	then return it to the Town of Colonie by
9	September 5, 2003. Feel free to make
10	additional comments on the back.
11	It says the exact same thing.
12	MS. VAIDA: If we can have copies for the
13	transcript, that would be appreciated
14	MR. CAPONERA: Absolutely. It just says
15	if you have any questions, call Kelly.
16	MS. DALTON: Of the folks that raise
17	their hands, if you are business on this and
18	would appear - who here is a business owner in
19	the Town of Colonie and not a resident of the
20	Town of Colonie?
21	(The audience responded with raised hands.)
22	MS. DALTON: We can then assume that the
23	people that own businesses and owned
24	residences got the notice.
25	FROM THE FLOOR: I didn't get any notice.

1	MR. CAPONERA: I would like to ask the
2	question. Did any of the business owners in
3	this area who got a copy of this Town of
4	Colonie Comprehensive Plan Confidential
5	Business Survey - could we ask that Mr.
6	Chairman?
7	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Sure.
8	MR. CAPONERA: How many of the business
9	owners got a copy of this confidential
10	business survey?
11	(No one in the audience raised their hand.)
12	MR. CAPONERA: Not one person.
13	I'm going to turn this over to Joe
14	Bianchine right now and he's going to follow
15	up on what I've been saying.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: We're talking about
17	conservation overlay?
18	MR. CAPONERA: Well, he's going to talk
19	about the rezoning that and is going to get
20	into the conservation overlay. I think that
21	needs to be explained.
22	MR. BIANCHINE: I'm Joe Bianchine with
23	ABD Engineers and Surveyors. I've been before
24	this Board many times. There have been many
25	changes to this Board over the past 35 years

and more. I'm familiar with the zoning in the Town, the site planning process with the Town and so forth. I have represented many clients in this purple zone here (Indicating) over the years in developing their property. Primarily, I work with property owners and developers in citing their property and developing their property in terms of conforming to the Town Zoning in terms of setbacks, green space requirements, water requirements, drainage requirements, sewer, traffic, transportation, neighborhood concerns -- all of these issues we have come before the Planning Board and gone through a process to develop. I've also worked in a number of other communities in the area, so I'm familiar with other communities. In this particular case what they're asking for is a rezoning back to pretty much to what it was because most of these properties, as in Victor said, are already developed. It's not that there is a lot of vacant property here. These properties are already developed and they were developed in accordance with the Town's zoning in place at the time. So, it's a real hardship for these properties to change

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

the zoning now because now they're all nonconforming uses. They can add-on and they can modify their properties without going through, as Victor said, a use variance. That is a very restrictive and very hard process to prove. It's time-consuming and costs a lot of money. Further, if they go to sell their property, they can't really sell it because the only people that they can sell it to are people who are businesses that are almost the exact same use as they have. So, it restricts the sale of their property such that somebody is not going to come in and buy this property that's already developed and then convert that property to a commercial office use. It's just cost prohibitive to buy it when the property owner has to diminish the value of the property just to get rid of it. It puts a real hardship on them.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The next thing is this conservation overlay. All of this land is conservation overlay (Indicating) which means essentially that you have to dedicate at least 40 percent of your land to green space. In Colonie, it's forever been at 35 percent across the Town.

1	You have to have at least 35 percent green
2	space. You can count wetlands and you can
3	count constrained lands. Now, with the
4	conservation overlay, the minimum is 40
5	percent - plus, you can count wetlands, or
6	easements or steep slopes. You could have a
7	piece of land where not just 40 percent of
8	your land is green space, but you can have
9	50 percent, 60 percent or 70 percent of your
10	land be as required green space. It really
11	significantly hurts the property owner in that
12	case because now a lot of this he cannot use.
13	In most cases, as I said, the land is already
14	developed so that green space is something
15	that you can change. You have to have it in
16	order to develop in the Town. It puts a real
17	hardship on the property owner. In this case,
18	the rezoning is basically just for primarily
19	properties that are already developed, because
20	it puts a significant restraint on those
21	properties in terms of how they can be used.
22	MR. NARDACCI: Joe I have a quick
23	question. I know the answer to this, but I
24	want to have it said publicly.
25	Have you ever had a client that you

brought here that wasn't approved because they were in the conservation overlay and they couldn't meet the requirements?

2.0

MR. BIANCHINE: No, I have not. I have one still pending, but they've been approved. But, they had the land to do it. It is constrained as to what they could developed and what they thought they could get on them and now they get less.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: There are exceptions too. I know this is one of the major topics tonight. Section 190-30 of the Colonie Town Code which is part of the Zoning and Land Use Law about the Conservation Development Overlay District. There are a number of exceptions under 190-30b.

"The Conservation Development Overlay shall apply unless the development is a minor site plan development. A development is classified as a minor subdivision as defined in this article. The Planning Board determines based upon a conservation analysis outlined below that there is no reasonable basis for requiring conservation development. In order for the Planning Board to make such

1	determination, the applicant must demonstrate
2	at least one of the following: the land
3	contains the resources, conservation value,
4	the acreage is too small to preserve a
5	substantial amount of land for conservation
6	value, or the lot configuration is unique and
7	precludes preservation of a substantial amount
8	of land of conservation value."
9	I just say that so you have a complete
10	record for our Board Members and also for the
11	public.
12	In addition, it seems that I recall that
13	we have applied these exceptions to some of
14	the applications that have been reviewed. I
15	can't remember a specific one. I believe that
16	was one where the land contains no resources
17	for conservation. We didn't like that one.
18	MR. NARDACCI: Another thing - there are
19	seven distinct Conservation Overlay Districts
20	in the Town. Those were put in with the zoning
21	change.
22	MR. BIANCHINE: It's not just that. Here
23	there are other areas.
24	MR. NARDACCI: I wasn't there during this
25	conversation, but why do you believe the

1	Conservation Overlay District was included in
2	this area of the Town?
3	MR. BIANCHINE: I think that was just as
4	a result of the Pine Bush people insisting on
5	it in their review of the rezoning.
6	CHAIRMAN STUTO: In addition, if we do go
7	through this analysis and looking at that
8	portion of the law, there can be no minimum
9	lot sizes. So, you could get more on a lot
10	smaller area.
11	MR. BIANCHINE: I don't disagree with
12	that this land is already developed. What
13	we're saying is in this case you can get more
14	allowance because it's already developed as
15	such.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Well, some is developed
17	and some isn't.
18	MR. BIANCHINE: Pretty much most of it
19	is, in this case. What are undeveloped are
20	wetlands or constrained lands that you can't
21	develop anyhow.
22	CHAIRMAN STUTO: So, 645 acres is what
23	you're asking to be rezoned back? Most of this
24	development?
25	MR. BIANCHINE: It's developed - and even if

1	it was just partially developed, they could
2	expand on the property but they would have to
3	go through this process. Now, 35 percent is
4	40 percent and you can't count wetlands and
5	you can't count the constrained lands. So,
6	that adds to the 40 percent. Other communities
7	many times don't even have a green space
8	requirement, or 30 percent is the norm not
9	35 percent and now it's 40 percent.
10	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I think 35 percent is
11	reasonable.
12	MR. BIANCHINE: It's always been
13	35 percent in Colonie.
14	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, I just want a
15	complete record.
16	MS. VAIDA: I have a question, also. Have
17	you reviewed the Comprehensive Plan?
18	MR. BIANCHINE: No, not in a while.
19	MS. VAIDA: Because one of the goals of
20	the Comprehensive Plan - and this was based
21	upon the results of the survey - was to
22	develop a network of open lands, provide
23	wildlife habitat - potential recreational
24	trail corridors. Also, to encourage the
25	conservation of viable farmland and

1	significant open space throughout the Town.
2	One of the things that we're going to do is
3	we're getting the minutes from the meetings
4	that were held, because I assume that there
5	was some presentation regarding the importance
6	of that area and why it was important to try
7	to conserve space there.
8	MR. BIANCHINE: What you see up here
9	(Indicating) in green, primarily this land
10	is already set aside for conservation land if
11	lands that cannot be developed. So, there is
12	somewhat of a network already.
13	MS. VAIDA: And would you agree that any
14	Zoning Law has to be consistent with the
15	Comprehensive Plan?
16	MR. BIANCHINE: Oh, it absolutely has to
17	be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.
18	MS. VAIDA: And what's being proposed
19	right now is inconsistent.
20	MR. BIANCHINE: Yes. So, in order to
21	change the zoning, you need to change the
22	Comprehensive Plan as well before you change
23	the zoning, if that happens
24	MS. VAIDA: I would like to point out
25	that there's a section called the Pine Bush

1	area and it says change the existing
2	industrial zoning to low density residential
3	and pockets of industrial to consider the
4	natural resources. Revise zoning to use of
5	conservation subdivision design.
6	And again, I understand you didn't get
7	notice, but I don't know if you've ever looked
8	at the Town's website. You seem to be
9	interested in this. This was published on the
10	website for, I believe, almost a year and
11	comments in addition to all those meetings.
12	MS. PERRY-POTTS: I never even heard of
13	the Town's website until I found out about
14	this rezoning.
15	To comment about the Comprehensive Plan ,
16	that information at the Comprehensive Plan
17	came from a certain group of people. They came
18	from Neil Gifford and Chris Hawver -
19	FROM THE FLOOR: Mr. Chairman, when is
20	she going to be done? Is she going to be able
21	to speak for the rest of the folks and
22	representatives here, or are we going to be
23	able to speak?
24	CHAIRMAN STUTO: These are the
25	applicants. They have initiated this. They

will have as much time as they need to make their presentation. They are acting as a group; the attorney, the engineer and Ms. Perry-Potts.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MS. VAIDA: You're making this allegation, but I don't agree with you. I don't think the record supports what you're saying.

I have e-mails and MS. PERRY-POTTS: letters the state that the Pine Bush Committee spoke in front of the public hearing for the Comp Plan and they requested that they reconsider the industrial zone and they asked to have that overlay put on. Both Mr. Gifford for the Preserve and the Pine Bush Committee, of which he is a member, the Town Attorney, two Town Board Members, the Director of Planning and the Lead Planner were members of that group. I wouldn't have a problem if it was a group of residents, but the fact that there were people that were Town employees and elected officials that were on that committee -- the Town Attorney and Kevin DeLaughter actually reviewed a letter that Chris Revere wrote - she read the letter in

1 front of the Comprehensive Plan public 2 hearing. They're reviewing what those 3 residents say. It was very biased. MS. VAIDA: Do we have that e-mail? 5 MS. PERRY-POTTS: I probably gave it to you, but I do have it here. I have a question because MS. DALTON: I'm not understanding the allegations of 9 biased, lying, conspiracy and fraud. Those are 10 all words which you have used. What I hear, 11 without the benefit of seeing the documents 12 that you have, is that people took every 13 opportunity that they had to participate in 14 every public open and private lobbying 15 opportunity that they could have. I heard 16 public officials participated in groups to 17 collect information. I don't hear lying, 18 conspiracy and I don't hear fraud. Let me just 19 finish my statement before you answer. If you 2.0 do have evidence of lying, conspiracy and 21 fraud, it's very important to present that 22 because we don't want that in our Town. 23 MS. PERRY-POTTS: I can give people a 24 copy of the survey where they change the 25 figures. Is that distributed? It was just one

1	page in question, number three, where they
2	change the results.
3	MR. LACIVITA: Was that in your recent
4	packet?
5	MS. PERRY-POTTS: That was one, plus I
6	sent it in a year ago when C.J. O'Rourke
7	requested certain information. I sent in like
8	a month or two after that. So, I submitted
9	that survey twice. That survey by the Town
10	changing the results - that's fraud.
11	MS. VAIDA: Changed the results?
12	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Well I didn't do it.
13	Who you think would change the results?
14	MS. DALTON: I think there's also room
15	for error here.
16	MS. PERRY-POTTS: You know what? I
17	thought there was an error too. When I first
18	looked at it, the codes were broken up on top.
19	I went to my zip code, 12303, and I was just
20	skimming quick to see it. I saw all 100
21	percent all along. Then when I took it home,
22	and I looked at it a few weeks later I noticed
23	the other two zip codes right next to it which
24	were all in the same area. They were all
25	94.5 percent, 93.7 percent, 98.6 percent.

1 Maybe 100 percent is correct because in this 2 area there are no gas stations, and there are 3 no supermarkets, and there are no shopping centers. So, maybe the people that didn't send 5 it in said yes, we can use one. I have that, too, if you'd like a copy again. Suzanne, I'd like to MR. LACIVITA: 9 speak specifically to the May 23rd submission 10 that you gave us. This is not a survey, per 11 se. It seems like it summarized facts. Is that 12 what you submitted? 13 MS. PERRY-POTTS: It was one page. The 14 survey is like six or seven pages long. MR. LACIVITA: So, it is in the packet 15 16 that the Planning Board Members received. 17 MR. CAPONERA: I just want to wrap it up. 18 I'm not talking about any of this conspiracy, 19 or any of that. You understand that I'm 2.0 basically representing folks that have owned 21 and used their property for the last 30, 40, 22 50, 60 years and I trust that every member of 23 this Board has taken the opportunity to go and 24 drive through this area and see what's out 25 there. This isn't a figment of my imagination

of what is going on. Just look. Open your eyes and see what's going on out there. You can see that the uses like Callanan Industries, Wade Lupe - how that ever became a commercial office zone is beyond my wildest imagination. How does that happen? I'm saying that it was done mistakenly. I'm going to give you that. Wrongfully - I'll give you that, too. It should not have happened. This is what my push is.

2.0

These folks are hardworking, taxpaying folks that have used their property for this industrial use and heavy commercial use for 50 or 60 years - as long as this property has been rezoned. There is a main railroad that goes right through this property that spurs off of it. The warehousing is used. Common sense - that's all I'm saying.

You heard me ask everybody in this room if they ever got this notice that Elena talked about. You know, did you get this notice to fill out, relative to commercial? Not one person raised their hand. My point is this: I told you about how most of the Town was rezoned and that it went from business to

business and residential to residential,
except in this large area. Fundamentally
speaking, if you're going to change my zoning,
give me notice. Give me the opportunity to
come before the Board and say, you can't do
this to me. You can't disenfranchise me.

2.0

The Constitution of our United States says that you have to give due process to people before you can take their property.

It's right in the constitution. It happened here.

I'm representing these folks that have strived all of their lives. They're hardworking people paying their taxes and now they're faced with a situation where guess what? Our property is not zoned for the use that we have uses this property for - for the last 30, 40 or 50 years. That's fundamentally wrong and fundamentally unfair. That's all I'm asking this Board to consider, when you finally formulate a recommendation to the Town Board relative to our request. Again, we're not looking for the whole piece. We're not looking for everything to go back. We're only looking for the people that have signed the

1	letters requesting the rezoning. That's all.
2	Thank you, very much.
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: In our packet we have a
4	full environmental assessment form. Do you
5	have anything to do with that?
6	MR. LACIVITA: That was the one actually
7	submitted by Suzanne Perry-Potts.
8	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I see her name on it. I
9	just want to give the Attorney the opportunity
10	to speak to it.
11	MR. CAPONERA: I haven't really looked at
12	it that closely. There are some cases on this
13	that I'm going to share with your counsel
14	relative to that.
15	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I'm not sure of the
16	point that you're making. Does it require an
17	environmental review?
18	MR. CAPONERA: No, the current one - I'm
19	not sure that it's needed in a situation like
20	this, based on some case law that I
21	have - based upon what was previously done.
22	CHAIRMAN STUTO: We have a flow chart
23	here - rezoning procedure for the Town of
24	Colonie. Are you familiar with that?
25	MR CAPONERA: Yes. I am

1	CHAIRMAN STUTO: It clearly calls for an
2	EAF.
3	MR. CAPONERA: I understand and we're not
4	going to get into that right now. There are
5	all kinds of issues that I could bring up
6	relative to the previous passage of the law.
7	This isn't the time for that. I understand the
8	flow chart.
9	CHAIRMAN STUTO: We, as a Board, have to
10	deal with the environmental issue. I'm giving
11	you an opportunity to speak to that if you
12	want to now.
13	MS. PERRY-POTTS: I would like to.
14	CHAIRMAN STUTO: We may end up closing
15	the hearing after tonight.
16	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Did you just want to
17	talk about SEQRA?
18	CHAIRMAN STUTO: The EAF that's before
19	us, yes.
20	MS. PERRY-POTTS: From what I understood
21	from the Town Attorney's office is that since
22	the Town Board is going to be making the
23	decision, the Town Board and the Town
24	Attorneys were going to be handling the SEQRA
25	process.

MR. CAPONERA: I presume that the Town

Board would be the lead agent on this - since

they are the ones making the proposed change.

This Board is a recommendation Board and I

don't know that you would be the lead agent in

this.

2.0

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Our flow chart would imply that some environmental assessment and a determination of impact - whether significant or non significant - is done prior to the Town Board. We will let the attorneys work on that, as part of our record, I want to say that.

You've been critical prior to the '07 and this Planning Board was not in existence at that time, insofar as the membership, and it was a prior administration of the Town Board.

MR. CAPONERA: That's my understanding and I will tell you Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board, that with all due respect, remember what we're asking for. We're not looking to change a zoning district that was once residential into industrial. We're looking to bring it back to what it was before January 4, 2007. Therefore, I submit to the Board what environmental significance can

1	there be if we're looking to go back to what
2	it was? To me, that's just common sense. I'm
3	not asking to take a residential piece and
4	turn it into an industrial zone. I'm simply
5	saying; give us back what we had. Oh, by the
6	way, I don't want all 600-some acres rezoned.
7	I only want the 91 people or so who have
8	signed letters requesting that the Town Board
9	do this.
10	MS. VAIDA: Victor, an environmental
11	study - because you keep saying that this was
12	zoned industrial and it's been like that for
13	50 years - keep in mind that 50 years ago they
14	didn't do any kind of environmental review. I
15	don't even know if the law was in existence.
16	When this rezoning occurred, it may have been
17	the first time that a SEQRA review was
18	actually conducted.
19	FROM THE FLOOR: Was the Pine Bush
20	Conservancy there 50 years ago?
21	MS. VAIDA: I have no idea. I know that
22	the Pine Bush was.
23	FROM THE FLOOR: That protects land.
24	CHAIRMAN STUTO: We're not going have a
25	back and forth. You'll get your opportunity.

1 We're going to take a five minute break and then we'll come back. 3 (There was a brief break in the proceedings.) CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, we're going to 5 start going through this. Again, I'd like to repeat that we're going to keep it as efficient as possible and we'll see how it goes. If we have to call it back into order, we'll do that. 10 I'm generally going in the order that you 11 signed in, but I also have the prerogative to 12 bring some logic to this. So, because it's 13 germane to one of the major components of the 14 last presentation, I'm going to call Neil 15 Gifford from the Pine Bush Commission. 16 MR. GIFFORD: Good evening, Mr. Chairman. 17 Thank you for the opportunity to speak this 18 evening. My name is Neil Gifford: the 19 conservator's conservation director of the 2.0 Albany Pine Bush Preserve Commission. I've 21 worked for the Commission for about 14 years 22 in various positions. 23 As you all know, one thing the Commission 24 does in addition to buying property and adding 25 it to the Preserve of fair market value, is

also commenting on development proposals in overlay districts in development and zoning changes.

2.0

The Commission members, as you know, are made up of the Supervisor of the Town of Colonie, the Supervisor of the Town of Guilderland, the Colonie Executive, the Mayor of the City of Albany, four citizens appointed by the Governor, the Chapter Director of the Nature Conservancy and it's being shared and co-chaired by the Department of Environmental Conservation Commissioner and the Commissioner of New York State office of Parks and Recreation and Historic Preservation.

Because all of those entities are involved, the Commission works with those various entities to provide comments. The Commission is a non-regulatory public benefit corporation created by the New York State Legislature in 1988. We have no powers of domain and no regulatory authority beyond the borders of the Preserve. As I said, we do to make recommendations or suggestions to Planning Boards, Town Boards, the Common Council of the City of Albany when proposals

1 are presented within what the Commission 2 considers the Albany Pine Bush Study Area. 3 That is approximately bounded By Central Avenue, Western Avenue or Route 20 on the 5 South. It extends from Fuller Road out to the 6 Schenectady County border. CHAIRMAN STUTO: Is that area defined by statute? 9 MR. GIFFORD: Areas of the Preserve are 10 defined by statute. The study of the area is 11 not. The law requires that we create and 12 maintain our own Comprehensive Plan. In that 13 Comprehensive Plan or management plan and 14 final environmental impact statement - that 15 boundary is identified in detail. 16 It roughly covers about 13,000 acres 17 within that study area boundary. The 18 Commission went through our own public process 19 initially in 1993, again in 1996, in 2002 and 2.0 again in 2010 to evaluate undeveloped open 21 space in that area for four basic criteria. 22 This was to the degree to which they contain 23 existing historical significance, offers and 24 linkages for Preserve land, open space and to

which they support archaeological or

25

environmental resources like the species, habitat, wetland resources or important archaeological resources.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

As was eluded to by Ms. Potts and by a member of your own Board, the Commission did take every opportunity as with a number of other representatives throughout the public planning process for the Comprehensive Plan to make recommendations and suggestions. One of the recommendations that was made to the Comprehensive Plan Committee and to the Town when they were reviewing the draft Comp Plan was the Conservation Development Overlay District or whatever the terminology that is used in the Comp Plan for the Pine Bush area. That was specifically because there were six other Conservation Development Overlay Districts proposed in the draft plan. There was not one proposed for the Albany Pine Bush area. We noted that the six other areas support important open space that residents that live there love very much and provides environmental benefits. It is the only area that supports globally endangered ecosystems, 45 documented wildlife species with

1	conservation need, several lists including the
2	species including state and federally
3	endangered species, threatened species and
4	species of threatened concerned. There are
5	also about a dozen globally rare plants. So,
6	it seems appropriate for the Commission to
7	make a recommendation that the Conservation
8	Overlay Development District be included
9	within the eastern end of the Town of Colonie
10	that more or less corresponded to the Albany
11	Pine Bush study area boundary established
12	years ago. We have not made, to the best of my
13	knowledge, any specific recommendation for
14	suggestions regarding zoning. We did make
15	recommendations regarding an overlay district
16	because I said the Pine Bush supports all of
17	seven Conservation Development Overlay
18	Districts - Pine Bush is the only one that
19	supports threatened and endangered species in
20	globally impaired ecosystems. It seems logical
21	that you would have a Conservation Overlay
22	Development District here.
23	Additionally, in 2007 the Comp Plan was
24	approved in the Local Land Use Law was
25	adopted. One of the changes was that a

significant amount of acreage in this section
of the Town had been purchased at fair market
value and added to the Preserve to support
some of these important environmental
resources; ie wetlands, endangered species,
threatened species or high-quality pitch pines
or oak barons. All of the Commission's work is
consistent with the management plan for final
environmental impact statements that went for
a full public review and that is available on
our website at www.albanypinebush.org. That
entire plan and the website outlines exactly
why these properties were valued, and what we
call a vision for the Albany Pine Bush
Preserve. That vision recommends open space
added to the Preserve over time through the
acquisition outright. We have done that many
times in working with the Nature Conservancy
and working with the State of New York, as
well as through conservation easements. It's
recommended and suggested in the Comprehensive
Plan. So, there are a number of tools in the
toolbox for us to work with property owners
and with each of the municipalities in the
Pine Bush study areas to balance economic and

environmental concerns. That is what we strive to do in a non-confrontational and proactive way.

1

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Is that fair to say with respect to the application in front of us that you have no opinion with respect to the rezone, but you would like to maintain the conservation overlay; is that right?

MR. GIFFORD: More or less, that is correct, but the Commission today had not seen the entire application for rezoning, the environmental drafts impact statement or the long environmental assessment form. So, the Commission would at some point request the opportunity to review that application in its entirety. Yes, the Commission has no opinion on the rezone itself. I think ideally you would look at the use of any given area of any given parcel and how that may affect the adjacent Preserve land. We would not like to see the Conservation Development Overlay District lifted. We believe it would be inconsistent with the Comprehensive Plan and with SEQRA.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you. Are there any

1	questions from the Board Members?
2	(There was no response.)
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: At this point, I'm going
4	to go through the list.
5	Donald Zee?
6	MR. ZEE: Good evening. I'm an attorney
7	and I'm here I'm behalf of 2880 and 2894 Curry
8	Road, better-known as the site of the
9	Weatherguard Roofing facility. That property
10	currently has 250 employees on a daily basis.
11	The property owner pays tens of thousands of
12	dollars of taxes on it.
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: How many acres?
14	MR. ZEE: He owns 5.8 acres. The concern
15	that we have are numerous with regard to the
16	current zoning of the property. We would like
17	it to be rezoned back to the industrial zone
18	use that my client acquired the property in
19	1989, as well as 1997. He has two parcels
20	there.
21	Part of the concern is that this industry
22	is changing. It's changing to a newer, greener
23	technology; green roofs etc. As a result,
24	there is going to be modifications that are
25	necessary to this industry and to the use of

1 this property. Based on the overlay zone, 2 based on the fact that the current 3 zoning -- my client was one of the first to utilize green roofs - environmentally 5 sensitive for the roofing - they're going to 6 need to expand. Even the restrictions on the property - they will not be able to expand their business there. If they cannot expand 9 the business there, they will be forced to 10 move to another location. So, that is 11 something that will be a potentially adverse 12 environmental impact to the community. You're 13 talking about 250 jobs. You're talking about 14 the tax base that is generated from the 15 property itself. 16 With regard to the notices - I understand 17 what has been said. My clients have concurred 18 that they did not receive those. 19 Be that as it may, I'm here to also talk 2.0 about the Comprehensive Plan and analyzing the 21 Comprehensive Plan and that there are ways to 22 modify and amend the Comprehensive Plan. I 23 just ask the Town and this Board to look at 24 the zoning map that was in place in 2004.

Given what has transpired in 2007, it looks

1 like 60 percent to 70 percent of the industrial zoned land in the Town is lost and 3 converted to residential uses. As a practical planning matter, that's really part of the 5 charge of this Board to look at good planning and to make recommendations to the Town Board. Is it really appropriate for the Town to lose 60 percent of its industrial zoned land? In 9 fact, in the United States today we are losing 10 manufacturing jobs and industrial jobs. Now 11 you're talking about drying out the businesses 12 that are used and in need of the use of the 13 industrial lands. We're not talking about 14 bringing back all 1,100 acres of this land. By 15 doing this, you are bringing back a certain 16 percentage of industrial zoned land. This can 17 only have a positive effect to areas such as 18 the school system. 19 Secondly, I want to talk about some 2.0 comments that were stated. It was said four 21 times in the short presentation. 22 He says that the Pine Bush Preserve 23 Commission buys the land at fair market value. 24 I have to say that personally representing a 25 lot of clients, not only in the Town of

1 Colonie, but throughout the capital region 2 that I have been involved with -- probably the 3 transfer of 45 acres of land to the Pine Bush. I have to say that 90 percent of them were not 5 willing participants, but they ultimately gave 6 in because the methodology in which the Pine Bush Preserve Commission uses to determine fair market value and the undue influence, in 9 my opinion, on the Planning Board, Town 10 Boards, Planning Boards, Zoning Boards is 11 incredible. They do impact fair market value. 12 What they deem as fair market value - I doubt 13 many of the property owners sitting here today 14 were even willing to sell their property for. 15 I think the creation of this overlay district is making it so difficult for property owners 16 17 in the future for expansion purposes. 18 I talk about the people who own the 19 Weatherquard facility and probably other 2.0 businesses here - you are, in fact, driving 21 down the value of their property. In driving 22 down the value of their property, you're going

CHAIRMAN STUTO: I don't mean to

to drive down the value of the adjoining

properties as well which are vacant -

23

24

1	interrupt, but a lot of the points that you
2	are making are consistent with Mr. Caponera's.
3	We have a lot of people that we have to get
4	to.
5	MR. ZEE: I don't recall Mr. Caponera
6	saying anything about property values in the
7	sense of underlying -
8	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Are you near wrapping
9	up?
10	MR. ZEE: I didn't think there was a time
11	limit.
12	CHAIRMAN STUTO: You're right. I said
13	there was no time limit but if things get too
14	long since there are so many people here
15	tonight I just asked the question, are you
16	near the end? You're not near the end, is that
17	what you're saying?
18	MR. ZEE: No, I'm very close to the end.
19	I understand that the Town of Colonie
20	has had issues with regard to cash revenue;
21	the budget of the Town and the shortfalls of
22	money. For the Town to set aside 600 acres of
23	land and change the zoning from industrial to
24	residential - I believe the value of
25	residential land or if you're versus the

industrial zone land per acre is substantially different. If you are satisfied changing that zone and further compounding it by not lifting the overlay district, you're going to reduce the value of the land thus reducing the total tax assessment in the Town. The burden of the tax reduction is going to be borne by the rest of the Town. I think that should be in economic valuation. I don't know if that ever took place when they did the initial SEQRA reviews. I don't know if they considered what the economic impact of the rezoning and the loss of all this industrial zoned land, as well as the future potential of the industrial zoned land now that it is zoned exclusively single-family residential would be. I think those elements should be looked at. A lot of people will not recognize thi,

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A lot of people will not recognize thi, but appearing before the Planning Board notice - I know it's Victor's pleasure to come here as is my pleasure, but it's a very costly thing. There's Mr. Bianchine and Victor's services and at the end of the day you're talking about the review process. It's not \$100 per acre of developed land, you're

talking about tens of thousands of dollars
that it costs a property owner to seek
governmental approval. If you're adding an
overlay district you're adding several
thousand dollars more to that.

2.0

I believe, Mr. Chairman, you had mentioned the fact that there had been some situations where the overlay districts - the Planning Board set aside the requirements of the overlay district to amend certain criteria. I think given the fact of its proximity to the Pine Bush Preserve lands, that it would be very difficult for a property owner if this overlay district is to stay in place to allow for a waiver of requirements for the overlay district.

Mr. Gifford had talked about being with the Bush Preserve Commission. I've been doing land planning for 25 years and honestly Save the Pine Bush is a very important organization that helps preserve the Pine Bush.

Back when the first cases came back in 1986 or thereabouts, they pointed out that there was needed 2,000 acres of land for the preservation of the Pine Bush. I believe now

1	they said they need 3,000 plus. I believe that
2	Mr. Gifford said they need 13,000. The area of
3	review was 13,000 acres. I read the
4	transcript - all 144 pages of that the other
5	day. They said that now they are expanding
6	into the Woodlawn area of Schenectady County.
7	So, at one point in time does the County,
8	State and Town say stop spending money to put
9	aside -
10	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I have 20 more names are
11	after yours. If I have this start imposing
12	time limits I'll let you come back at the
13	end.
14	MR. ZEE: I just wanted to say that at
15	some point in time there should be some
16	logical planning.
17	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Ralph Schimmel.
18	MR. SCHIMMEL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19	My name is Ralph Schimmel. I live at 5 Lupe
20	Way, which is not contiguous to but adjacent
21	to the property known as 8 Lupe Way. All of my
22	remarks tonight are specifically designated
23	for the property being rezoned at 8 Lupe Way.
24	For the record, I live in the zip code
25	12304 and I attended all the Comprehensive

1	Plan meetings and I got notice as to the Town
2	and off the web which I might add is a
3	wonderful vehicle for people to use.
4	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you show us where
5	that is on the map?
6	MR. SCHIMMEL: It's right here
7	(Indicating).
8	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.
9	MS. DALTON: Mr. Schimmel, you attended
10	the last public hearing last year, did you
11	not?
12	MR. SCHIMMEL: Yes, I was and that was a
13	question that I had. The comments that we made
14	and the petitions that we submitted - I assume
15	that they're all going to be part of the total
16	record for this review?
17	MS. DALTON: I read them.
18	MR. SCHIMMEL: I thought you were going
19	to bring up about my participation on the new
20	Comprehensive Plan. Don't mention that,
21	please.
22	The other thing that I wanted to mention
23	is that 8 Lupe Way is an undeveloped piece of
24	land. It has been for as long as I've been
25	here which is 2002, and I'm sure many, many

1 decades before that since I've seen no 2 evidence that there was any. You're not 3 talking about a piece of property where there is an existing business that has been 5 disturbed by this zoning process. Again, my comments are relative to 8 Lupe Way. I live in a development which is called Rose Garden, which is in the area of maybe 30 or 35 houses. 9 Some are older than the new development. I 10 think that we have pretty close to 11 100 percent - everybody opposed to changing of 12 that parcel from single-family residence to 13 anything other than that. 14 Further, we are completely supportive of 15 the conservation overlay that exists there in 16 that particular area. I remind you that at 17 some point in the process here that Mr. Lupe 18 Owen was in negotiations with the Pine Bush to 19 take that parcel under their wing as the Pine 2.0 Bush. I think that those negotiations failed 21 because of whatever - whether it was money or 22 whatever it was. But there was consideration

The other thing that I want to talk about

at one point of having that area now called

8 Lupe Way as part of the Pine Bush.

23

24

is as I said in that 2010 meeting, I think that the highest and best use for that parcel of property is residential. There are a lot of people that are going to be impacted by anything other than residential in that area and I see no other reason to change it. Quite frankly, in the 2010 hearings and the hearings that were done, I've heard no compelling reasons to change that from what it is to something different. Again, any change from that zone will be a significant impact to the residents in the area.

2.0

The other thing that I wanted to say was an issue that I brought up which has nothing to do with the zoning, but it's an issue I'll make. Whatever you propose to do with that parcel, I'd like you to address the issue of ingress and egress. I had a discussion with Mr. LaCivita about that. We're in an area that's a dead end and it continues to expand. It's a new subdivision down at the end of the street which has six or seven new houses that are there with no secondary way of egress out of there. In the event of some natural disaster or emergency, we're landlocked.

1	Anything that you do in this future parcel or
2	whatever it is that you recommend, that's
3	
	something that you should take under
4	advisement.
5	I don't know if you have any questions
6	for me. That's all I have to say.
7	MR. LANE: Within the proposed change
8	that Mr. Caponera presented, where would you
9	be? Is your lot the bottom one?
10	MR. SCHIMMEL: Yes, the bottom one, here
11	(Indicating).
12	MR. LANE: Would he be changed?
13	MR. CAPONERA: He would stay residential,
14	and that's the area where we propose the
15	101-foot buffer.
16	MR. LANE: But it remains residential.
17	MR. CAPONERA: Yes, it remains
18	residential.
19	CHAIRMAN STUTO: How do you feel about
20	his proposal of that 100-foot buffer?
21	MR. SCHIMMEL: If you propose to change
22	it, I think that's appropriate. It's my
23	opinion that I'm in opposition to that.
24	CHAIRMAN STUTO: The whole area or just
25	one particular parcel?

1	MR. SCHIMMEL: That whole parcel of
2	8 Lupe Way is about 50-some acres. Originally,
3	8 Lupe Way was one parcel in the subdivision
4	that I bought into. In some other process they
5	changed it so the entire acreage was
6	considered to be 8 Lupe Way.
7	MS. LUPE: They subdivided it and put
8	part of it as residential in the part that
9	you're in.
10	MR. NARDACCI: Sir, when did they develop
11	your -
12	MR. SCHIMMEL: In 2002. In the earlier
13	stages were back in 1990's.
14	MR. LACIVITA: Peter, I just had one
15	question.
16	Mr. Schimmel, I just want to be clear. We
17	heard about the rezoning of existing
18	industrial uses back to the residential. I
19	just want to be clear; 8 Lupe Way currently
20	exists as a vacant piece of land and was not
21	used back as industrial back when the
22	industrial was around?
23	MR. SCHIMMEL: That was my
24	understanding - that it was part of the
25	subdivision that I bought into. It was a

1	residential lot.
2	MS. LUPE: It was all industrial;
3	including his lot. When they built their
4	subdivision, they applied to have it rezoned
5	from industrial to residential. That's when
6	his development was done. It was all
7	industrial land.
8	MR. NARDACCI: Who applied? The owners of
9	the development?
10	MS. LUPE: It was my cousins. It was my
11	family.
12	MR. NARDACCI: They applied for a
13	subdivision change?
14	MS. LUPE: Yes, and we expanded - we made
15	a neighborhood there.
16	MR. NARDACCI: From the industrial zone.
17	MS. LUPE: From an industrial zone, yes.
18	MR. LACIVITA: But there is no industrial
19	activity going on today on that site.
20	MS. LUPE: It's adjacent to our
21	warehouses. It's all in between our
22	warehouses.
23	MR. SCHIMMEL: It's all vacant land,
24	except on Cordell Road.
25	MR. LANE: How many acres?

1 MR. SCHIMMEL: I saw somebody put a sign 2 up there that said for sale. It was CRBE. My 3 neighbor looked it up on the website and it said something less than 60 acres. 5 MS. LUPE: It is. CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you. Thomas and Helen Romano. MS. ROMANO: I just want to confirm that 9 yes, there was a committee formed by Mary 10 Brizzell. The purpose of it was a moratorium 11 in this area of the Town. A lot of this stuff 12 could have been a driving force with the 13 Comprehensive Plan to have developers to get 14 plans approved. The purpose of that was not 15 for rezoning. The purpose of that was for a 16 moratorium. 17 We usually met upstairs in Mary 18 Brizzell's library office. I think once we may 19 have met at the firehouse. There was one 2.0 meeting that was an informal meeting because 21 we were kind of getting bogged down and 22 nothing was really going forward. Several 23 people met at my house. No Town official was 24 at my house. The Pine Bush Commission was not

at my house. A bunch of us private citizens

got together and drafted a moratorium at which 2 time I did send it off to the Pine Bush 3 Commission and to the Town. That was the sole purpose of it. 5 We've been having meetings since 1985. I 6 have gone to every LUMAC meeting. I gone to all the Comprehensive Plan meetings. I'm sorry that they didn't know about what was going on. 9 At the same time, there were plenty of places 10 that they could've found out. There's the 11 website that we all know about. I find it hard 12 to understand why they all get up now after 13 the fact and complain. They had a lot of 14 opportunities back then. I also live in the 15 12303 zip code and I got all the information. 16 That's all I really have to say. 17 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you. 18 Mr. Romano? 19 MR. ROMANO: Hi. Thank you for the 2.0 opportunities here. This will be very long. 21 I'm totally in favor of the conservation 22 overlay. It doesn't restrict any development 23 at all. It saves the builder costs as far as 24 infrastructure, permits, development. You must 25 also take into consideration slopes and the

wetlands. Even in doing all of that, still permitting and development can continue to occur. So, once again I would hope that we can continue to have the conservation overlay in place. I think it's a good idea to address the issue of existing buildings and existing businesses that are operating. Some should be grandfathered. However, I think it could be dealing with something that may be could be expanded into something that you really don't want. I'm afraid that other individuals might come from other areas of the Town and perhaps say well, you rezoned these people, why can't you rezone me? You're going to have to be careful if you grandfather these people. I do support the grandfathering to the existing businesses. I believe the buffer zones are critical and I would hope that the Board Members would have an opportunity to come out and see various features such as protected stream corridors, wetlands and the abutment of commercial and industrial with residential. That never should've occurred, but it did because of the way that the zoning was set up.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

A few parcels of land went up for sale on

1 Cordell Road just recently. One of them was 2 106 Cordell Road. It was 1.7 acres. The asking 3 price is \$150,000. That's 1.7 acres. Another parcel at 110 Cordell Road was 2.1 acres; 5 \$200,000; and 8 Lupe Way which was mentioned earlier and consists of 56 acres and the asking price of that is \$850,000. So, I'm not certain that any of the zoning, as it appears 9 right now, is affecting the value of the land 10 that much. Thank you for your time. 11 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you. 12 David Raber. 13 MR. RABER: I'd like to thank Susan for a 14 very comprehensive presentation. I like to 15 address briefly the mailing which I have never 16 received, or had known anything about. 17 I spoke to the previous meeting and 18 briefly said that I own other property and any 19 time that I've had any kind of impact or my 2.0 neighbors or any organization would impact my 21 property, I have received a registered letter. 22 I'm currently involved in property 23 downstate that's in the New York City 24 watershed, that I own. Any agricultural 25 Council in Delaware County by easements - they

come to the landowners and then make a presentation to purchase the easement for water quality, wildlife and preservation of farmland.

2.0

I do disagree strongly with the concept of the overlay. The overlay is not a purchase of an easement. If the Pine Bush desires to purchase an easement they should purchase an easement. The overlay is a way to get around purchasing an easement which compensates the landowner for fair market value of the property, which is affected by essentially an overlay or an easement; whatever you would like to call it has the same affect.

I do appreciate having the Pine Bush surround our property. I think it's great. I enjoy going to work. I enjoy looking out of the field and seeing deer and turkeys in all the land around us that they have purchased — and I stress purchased. It is, I think, a great asset to the Town of Colonie. It's one of the reasons that we located our business here. My partners and I all enjoy wildlife. We all enjoy having a commercial business. We employ roughly 30 individuals and

we like driving to work in the morning and seeing deer and turkeys right next to our building.

2.0

I would stress that when we purchased the property for fair market value, it was purchased knowing that it had commercial zoning attached to the property. We did not hear or receive any written notification whatsoever. As soon as we did, we started attending meetings and voicing our concerns for how the process developed and how it's continuing to develop. I do wholeheartedly agree with what Victor and others have put together, as far as presentations.

I asked earlier how much land Pine Bush currently owned and I'm sure Mr. Gifford knows - I don't know and I'm surprised that nobody on the Board knew the answer. I assume it's a substantial amount. Having said that, I'm totally against how it devalues our property and makes it harder for all the property owners to develop their properties. Thank you for your time.

24 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Charles Stokes.

MR. STOKES: I represent Callanan and

1	Kings Road Materials. I made a presentation
2	last year so I will pass.
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Last summer at the Town
4	Hall?
5	MR. STOKES: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I remember seeing you
7	there.
8	MR. CAPONERA: They take our position,
9	Peter.
10	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Dr. Steven Doellefeld.
11	MR. DOELLEFELD: I would like for my
12	attorney to be able to speak on my behalf.
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Sure.
14	MR. NUGENT: Hi, my name is Tim Nugent
15	and I'm here representing both Watervliet Fish
16	and Game and Forbes Rifle and Pistol Club.
17	Those entities own much of the area that
18	was affected by your rezoning in 2007.
19	MR. LACIVITA: Members of the Board, this
20	was the fax that we received towards the end
21	of the day and it's in your packet that I gave
22	you.
23	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Give us one second.
24	MR. LACIVITA: It's behind the
25	environmental impact assessment form.

1	MR. NUGENT: Watervliet Fish owns
2	approximately 41 acres. Forbes owns over
3	59 acres. Together they have about 10 percent
4	of the area that was rezoned in 2007. They own
5	the 100 or more acres of which some 93 acres
6	have been rezoned. They are not part of this
7	application, but had they known that this was
8	going forward earlier, I'm sure they would
9	have asked to join. Just like the businesses,
10	these clubs receive -
11	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Let me stop you right
12	there.
13	Victor, is it your understanding that in
14	the current application that they're not
15	included in the application -
16	MR. CAPONERA: Correct.
17	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Joe, is there a way to
18	make sure that -
19	MR. LACIVITA: That we include them?
20	CHAIRMAN STUTO: That we make a clear
21	note that there are two parcels?
22	MR. NUGENT: Actually, there are four or
23	five parcels. There are three in particular
24	that were rezoned.
25	MS. VAIDA: Ms. Potts, didn't you do

placards and notifications to the property owners in this area so that everyone knew about this?

2.0

MS. PERRY-POTTS: When I first talked to people specifically, I did not notice that they were off of 155; Rifle Range Road. I did not realize that was there. I didn't realize it at all. I didn't realize until about six months or year ago, when I did a more detailed assessment of the area. If I had known, I would've definitely -- as soon as I did, I tried to attempt to call them. They are included in the overlay.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Joe, the point I'm making is that if we were considering this - if they are similarly situated to the other applicants we should at least give it a very close consideration.

MR. NUGENT: That's something that I was going to ask the Board to do. That is accessed by what's known as Rifle Range Road, which is a private drive. It's not a Town road. It's owned by two different entities. There is one owner for the top and then I believe Forbes owns -

1	MR. DOELLEFELD: There are actually three
2	owners of that road. The Vacarelli properties
3	that are right out at 155; they own the first
4	few hundred feet. Forbes owns three eighths of
5	a mile, I would guess. Watervliet owns the
6	remainder.
7	CHAIRMAN STUTO: They're all on Rifle
8	Range Road?
9	MR. DOELLEFELD: They all have Rifle
10	Range Road as an address.
11	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I understand that, but
12	they're all for shooting rifles and that type
13	of thing?
14	MR. DOELLEFELD: The Vacarelli property
15	is not.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Are the Vacarellis
17	represented here?
18	MS. PERRY-POTTS: I don't think there's
19	one single-family that's they're still zoned
20	industrial.
21	MR. NUGENT: This upper portion that is
22	largely industrial is what I'm about to
23	comment on. You have a privately held road in
24	the Town that has now created essentially a
25	land lock single-family zone, which can only

1 be accessed by private road over which other 2 entities have control. 3 CHAIRMAN STUTO: You don't have easement over the road? 5 MR. NUGENT: The club has an easement. Additionally, the club, Watervliet, has two parcels here; a roughly 28-acre parcel and an 11-acre parcel. The 11-acre parcel is subject 9 to a no development restriction. 10 CHAIRMAN STUTO: To whose benefit? 11 MR. NUGENT: The Nature Conservancy. 12 Similarly, a large section of the [SIC] Baxson 13 parcel which is somewhere around 59 acres 14 owned by Forbes has similar restrictions. 15 While the Town has created a single-family 16 residential zone, nothing can get built there. 17 Again, it's very restricted access. While they 18 may have an easement to drive in and out, that 19 easement or roadway is not going to rise to 2.0 the standards of the Town road. You're not 21 going to be able to get your emergency 22 vehicles, school buses or fire trucks on 23 there. I don't understand the purpose of the 24 rezoning particularly when one of the factors 25 in your Comprehensive Plan, as Ms. Vaida

1	pointed out, was to encourage recreation. This
2	29-acre parcel was zoned other outdoor uses
3	and now it's zoned single-family.
4	MR. LANE: Is that a designation that
5	exists anymore?
6	MR. LACIVITA: That's one that has
7	changed and is no longer utilized.
8	MR. NUGENT: If your purpose was really
9	to encourage recreation, you took something
10	that was zoned basically for recreation and
11	made it a single-family use. It doesn't exist
12	any more.
13	MR. LANE: So, in your letter you want to
14	go back to what the original zoning was.
15	MR. NUGENT: And if they don't, have that
16	zoned industrial, as most of the parcels in
17	the area were.
18	MR. LANE: But you just said they can't
19	develop because there were restrictions on it.
20	Then you'd want to go back to industrial?
21	MS. VAIDA: That land is vacant land?
22	MR. NUGENT: Yes, most of the land is
23	vacant. There is a clubhouse and a couple of
24	outbuildings.
25	MS. VAIDA: The rezoning hasn't effected

1	your clients' ability to continue their
2	business there, correct?
3	MR. NUGENT: They continue to operate
4	this as their clubs.
5	MS. DALTON: How does the new zoning
6	inhibit them from using their existing
7	property in the ways that they use their
8	existing property? That's the part I'm not
9	understanding.
10	MR. NUGENT: Currently it doesn't, but it
11	certainly restricts their ability when they
12	want to go and make any improvements, or if
13	they want to sell the land in the future.
14	MS. VAIDA: Well, they can sell it if
15	it's used for the same purpose under the
16	current Zoning Law because the current Zoning
17	Law does allow nonconforming uses to continue.
18	MR. NUGENT: I understand. But if they
19	don't find someone who fits that niche, then
20	they're restricted to that market.
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: It's a limited market.
22	MR. NUGENT: Yes, extremely limited.
23	MS. DALTON: Am I misunderstanding? You
24	can sell it for a single-family residence or
25	you can sell it for the same type of use.

MR. NUGENT: But they don't have a roadway in.

2.0

MS. DALTON: I'm assuming that if somebody bought the property they would have to negotiate the same kind of easement that you have negotiated.

MR. NUGENT: Even if they get the same easement, the easement isn't going to allow a road that can be built to Town standards. I don't think that this Board is going to allow development of 59 acres into residential houses without being able to have adequate roadways. It's ingress and egress only. It doesn't allow for utilities to be brought in.

MS. DALTON: I'm sorry. If it was only for recreational and outdoor use in the past, then even if it was returned to its former zoning, you could only sell it to someone for recreational and outdoor use. In this case, what we're talking about is you can either sell it to somebody for recreational and outdoor use or you can now sell it to somebody for residential use if they can figure out how to make it work. So, what it looks like to me is that you have more options than you had

1	before. Could you explain to me how I'm not
2	seeing this properly?
3	MR. NUGENT: I'm not sure I can. All I
4	can do is repeat myself. There is no access.
5	MS. DALTON: I understand that.
6	MR. NUGENT: Without access, nobody is
7	going to buy it.
8	MS. DALTON: But there wasn't access
9	before. You have lost nothing that I can
10	understand. You had a restricted use parcel
11	before without access. You have a restricted
12	use parcel now without access. You've lost
13	nothing that I can see.
14	MR. NUGENT: The ingress and egress
15	easement would allow industrial, or if some
16	person wants to come in and do commercial
17	activity or industrial activity - to drive
18	through the property. Those people don't call
19	on the Town to have services such as school
20	busses to pick up their children.
21	MR. LANE: If industry came in there,
22	industry would need to have a Town standard
23	road. They wouldn't need to bring utilities.
24	That's no different than a residential
25	development. They would still have to meet

those requirements.

2.0

CHAIRMAN STUTO: The point is here is that there are still access problems. If you come in for a major site plan review for an industrial development, you're still going to need access and have the same issues that you would with a residential subdivision. You'd have the same utility problems as well.

MR. NUGENT: Except that if it's zoned similarly to the other parcels, it's much easier to merge your parcels and bring in the necessary utilities and roadways.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: It's the same argument.

MR. CAPONERA: The bottom-line is that if you look at the yellow here, you can see that it was rezoned from industrial to residential. That's all. It sounds like there are some restrictions that are attached to it that I'm not aware of, but the fact of the matter is that it was rezoned from industrial to residential. The idea is that I suspect that if there was any idea of redevelopment, you could more easily market the property to surrounding properties if it was where it was.

I just wanted to add that.

1	CHAIRMAN STUTO: But the property to the
2	left - is that green? What is that listing?
3	MR. CAPONERA: Conservation. I will say
4	that we're not asking to have that rezoned.
5	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I understand. I think
6	that it's a unique property. I think that we
7	should give it close scrutiny.
8	MR. LANE: He's going to need a more
9	specific proposal than going back to what it
10	was.
11	MR. NUGENT: We still have 70 acres that
12	was previously zoned industrial. There are 11
13	here, 59 or so there and then roughly 29 here
14	(Indicating) that was previously the other
15	outdoor sports area.
16	MS. VAIDA: So, that could only be used
17	for other outdoor sports - the 29 acres? Is
18	that which you're saying?
19	MR. NUGENT: I think the 29 or 27 was
20	just outdoor sports, previously. Everything
21	else was industrial. I'm suggesting that
22	everything else surrounding it was industrial
23	The club would be fine going forward with the
24	previous use. That would make it industrial
25	like everything else and makes it much more

1	attractive to the surrounding parcels.
2	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.
3	Dan Kelly.
4	MR. KELLY: Good evening. Planning Board,
5	I like to thank you for setting up a special
6	meeting tonight. Being a property owner and
7	the business owner at 119 Morris Road, I
8	believe we're part of Sue's - we're with her
9	on that. I purchased the property in 1998.
10	We're an industrial manufacturing operation.
11	When I purchased it, it was industrially
12	zoned. Through this rezoning process, we're
13	office commercial.
14	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What kind of business do
15	you have?
16	MR. KELLY: We're a commercial
17	architectural woodworking company.
18	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Design and manufacture?
19	MR. KELLY: Yes, design and manufacture.
20	We have a couple tractor-trailers a today and
21	probably another dozen different delivery
22	vehicles in and out of our facility.
23	CHAIRMAN STUTO: How large is the parcel?
24	MR. KELLY: It's probably about 6 acres.
25	We're a 15,000 square foot facility.

1	MR. LANE: What's the name of the
2	business?
3	MR. KELLY: Hudson River Industries.
4	We received no notification of all. I
5	don't live in the Town of Colonie. We received
6	no notification, or e-mail, or any other form
7	of correspondence.
8	I am a planning Board in another Town. I
9	make a point to make sure that any
10	modifications - that the property owners are
11	notified by certified mail or other means.
12	That certainly didn't take place in this
13	process. I just want to say that I'd like to
14	have the zoning that we had before. I think
15	that Sue's proposal is reasonable. I think
16	they left a lot of green space. The buffers
17	have been left in place. I think those
18	properties should be rezoned to industrial
19	zoning.
20	MS. VAIDA: This rezoning has not
21	affected your ability -
22	MR. KELLY: This rezoning has most
23	definitely affected the value of my property.
24	MS. VAIDA: But you can continue the
25	business.

1	MR. KELLY: I can continue to operate.
2	That's absolutely correct. If I choose to sell
3	this property, it's an industrial building.
4	So, who knows what someone might want to do in
5	that building some day? It's going to greatly
6	restrict the value of that property. So, it's
7	a detriment to my property rights.
8	MS. VAIDA: Unless you were selling your
9	business?
10	MR. KELLY: To the same exact type of
11	operation; that's correct. That really limits
12	the market and greatly reduces the market
13	value of my property.
14	MR. LACIVITA: I have one question. Based
15	on the original application that was submitted
16	to us by Ms. Perry-Potts, 119 Morris
17	Road - based on the map that was provided, it
18	shows 3.1 acres and you just stated that your
19	parcel is about six acres. Did you acquire
20	some since then?
21	MR. KELLY: No, that's correct. I
22	misspoke. That sounds about right. If that's
23	what it says on the map, then that's what it
24	is.
25	MR. LACIVITA: Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Don Allard.

2.0

MR. ALLARD: Mr. Chairman and Board

Members, my name is Don Allard and I live at

92 New Shaker Road. I would say I'm about a

half a mile from the Pine Bush, or a five

minute drive to some spectacular unique

natural area. Every minute I can I try to get

out there and hike. I urge all of you that if

you have a map out there to explore it, that

you find out what you've got here. You've got

a real gem. I just would like to encourage the

Board to leave the zoning the way that it is

currently; single use with a conservation

overlay.

I think that the previous administration did a great favor in working to develop a Comprehensive Plan. I did get the survey. I remember getting it very specifically. I turned it in. I think that there are thousands of Colonie residents that use this area on a daily basis.

There is a high percentage of lawyers tonight. I wish there were more Colonie residents here to speak about the overall benefit of this area and that's all I wanted

1	to say. Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.
3	Mark Rockwell.
4	MR. ROCKWELL: I own 97 and 99 Morris
5	Road. I'm sure that you're familiar with my
6	location. I purchased less than an acre of
7	property in 2005. In 2006 I went in for a
8	permit to put barriers in my parking lot and
9	found out through the Planning Department that
10	they had rezoned me. I never got notification
11	of it.
12	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Are you in business or
13	are you intending to be in business?
14	MR. ROCKWELL: Yes, I'm in businesses;
15	Rockwell's Autobody. When they rezoned my
16	property from industrial to residential, it
17	took me out of compliance with the EPA. I'm
18	kind of in turmoil. I've been in turmoil since
19	I found out about the rezoning change. I've
20	been walking on eggshells. I can't even really
21	do business the way that I want to do business
22	because I don't know where I stand as far as
23	what is required of me.
24	When I purchased the building to comply
25	with the Town of Colonie, one of the

1	requirements was to put a spray booth in. They
2	gave me a year's time to do that. I came to
3	the point where I was going to purchase the
4	spray booth, which was \$56,000. I went in and
5	found out that they had rezoned me so I had
6	stopped the sale on the spray booth which
7	takes me out for compliance. Since 2005 I've
8	been in turmoil. I really don't know how to go
9	forward.
10	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can I ask how you're
11	conducting your business? It doesn't sound
12	like you're doing what you intended to do.
13	MR. ROCKWELL: Because of the fact that
14	I'm not in compliance - because of the fact
15	when you rezoned me, you took me out of
16	compliance.
17	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Are you doing any
18	business?
19	MR. ROCKWELL: Yes, I'm doing business.
20	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Detailing but nothing
21	else?
22	MR. ROCKWELL: I do auto body repair and
23	detailing. The thing is that I'm at a
24	standstill. I don't know which way to go
25	because of the fact if you're going to rezone

1	me to residential, you pull my livelihood away
2	from me, my retirement. I've been a struggling
3	artist. I purchased the property because it
4	was zoned industrial. If you keep it
5	residential, there is no point in me
6	continuing there other than the fact that I'll
7	make it a living hell for everybody. That's
8	what it will turn out to be.
9	I don't mean to be argumentative or rude,
10	but I worked hard to get to where I am. I'm
11	not going to let anyone pull it out from under
12	me, at this point in time. Thanks for your
13	time.
14	CHAIRMAN STUTO: David Fusco
15	MR. FUSCO: My name is David Fusco. I own
16	the property at 151 Cordell Road. Victor
17	Caponera and Joe Bianchine are here
18	representing me tonight. I just want to let
19	everyone know that I'm in favor of the rezone
20	to put our properties back to where we were.
21	Thank you.
22	MR. LANE: Can you tell us a little bit
23	about your property?
24	MR. FUSCO: The property at 151 Cordell
25	Road was the former Krause Junkyard. I

purchased it and found out I couldn't use it as I wished to, which was a construction company and maintenance shop. I then hired Victor Caponera and Joe to come in and apply for a use variance, which I was granted at about nine months of my time and \$40,000. So, I'm in full compliance.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

If this overlay is not removed, I'm thinking about going back to the Assessor and since I'll probably be losing another 20 percent of my property, I'm going to ask for a 20 percent reduction because of the value that I'm losing from this overlay. I'm bordering wetlands and I have a creek that goes through the center of the property, which I lose 100 feet on each side of the creek for a buffer area. I don't have much property to use out of the four acres - which I understood going in there, but with the Conservation overlay in play, I lose another 20 percent of my profit. I have made a little more than an acre property use out a piece of property that Mr. Krause let me use prior to purchasing it. I purchased the property and now I can't even do what I was doing before I owned it.

1	I do a lot of development in the Town.
2	Joe LaCivita knows of me. I want to do the
3	right thing. We acquired the use variance, but
4	as I listen to all these people here and with
5	this conservation overly, I didn't realize
6	what an impact that it had on my property.
7	Just doing some math and quick thinking here,
8	I think that I'm going to go to the Assessor
9	in the morning and talk to him about reducing
10	the value of my property.
11	MS. VAIDA: What is your business that
12	you got a variance for?
13	MR. FUSCO: It's a construction company.
14	MS. VAIDA: What did you say about a
15	junkyard?
16	MR. FUSCO: Prior, it was Krause's
17	junkyard on Cordell Road next to Callanan. We
18	cleaned it up.
19	MS. VAIDA: So, you didn't want to use it
20	as a junkyard anymore.
21	MR. FUSCO: No, we didn't. We wanted to
22	use it as a construction parcel.
23	MS. VAIDA: How big is it?
24	MR. FUSCO: It's a little more than four
25	acres.

1	MS. VAIDA: And you got a use variance.
2	MR. FUSCO: I got a use variance. It cost
3	me \$40,000.
4	MS. VAIDA: But that runs with the land.
5	You're not in any danger -
6	MR. FUSCO: No, I'm in full compliance.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN STUTO: John Wolcott.
9	MR. WOLCOTT: My name is John Wolcott. I
10	live at 344 Sheridan Avenue in Albany. I'm a
11	member of Save the Pine Bush. I have to say
12	that a lot of what's been said tonight applies
13	to open space versus industrial zoning and so
14	forth. This isn't ordinary open space we're
15	talking about. I'd like to reiterate two
16	things.
17	This is globally unique and it's
18	absolutely beautiful land. It's recreation for
19	the residents. There are people that are
20	concerned about it all over the world from a
21	natural standpoint for of its natural species.
22	It's geologically unique, too.
23	I find some overstatements and
24	mischaracterizations and they're typical. Any
25	development eases the tax burden and helps the

school taxes. Well, yes and no. With regard to the residential zoning and putting in the utilities and so forth, afterwards, the tax payers have to pay for the road. You lose something really valuable here and it's beauty and you lose the availability for education and science. It started out as 58,000 acres and now it's down to a lot less. It's less than 10 percent of that.

I don't think that the Commission or the

2.0

I don't think that the Commission or the Nature Conservancy are asking for that much.

Even in the percentage in the Town, that's been exaggerated. As a public body, you're committed to seeking the common good.

I participated in the Comprehensive

Master Plan. I didn't go to too many meetings.

I sent stuff in by mail. I made some

suggestions and want the parties to stick with

the Pine Bush Commission on both the zoning

and the Comprehensive Plan. Conservation

zoning is just zoning that's compatible with

nature, like recreation uses like the

Watervliet Rod and Gun Club.

Another mischaracterization that I see is going back to 50 or 60 years - that's

incorrect. It wasn't industrial. It could have been. It actually was by Karner Crossing, but that's not the area that we're talking about.

That was all barns.

2.0

Also, 50 or 60 years ago, even back before 1966, people didn't know what the Pine Bush really was. A minority of people did.

Now, they know a lot more. That's why there is rezoning and the conservation overlay. I think that's reasonable.

If you look back at what I sent in, I asked for that and I also recommended a financial plan. The Town could have bought up a lot of the land since 2007 in a very reasonable and affordable way by implementing the provisions that are available to the state. That was used in the Town of Warwick in Rockland County. A small percentage of all property transfers are put into a fund that's managed by citizens for open space in any town or county. What is called community protection law, which is significant? Open space protects a community. It's true. It does. I know people believe that they own land outright and they can do anything that they want to do with it.

1	That's modified. That's what we have zoning
2	for. You can't dam up a creek and have it
3	going into a neighbor's parcel to do damage or
4	cut down a row of trees that are windbreakers.
5	When you get into the terminology, land is
6	owned in tenancy, tenancy in common, tenancy
7	in its entirety and so forth.
8	MR. NARDACCI: I'm sorry to interrupt.
9	I'm hoping that you can wrap it up so that we
10	can move on.
11	MR. WOLCOTT: I'll make this quick.
12	I'm currently informed that one of these
13	landowners or representative told a
14	representative of the Pine Bush Commission
15	that we'll never sell anything to you. If
16	that's something that's spreading around, the
17	only thing that I can say is that we're going
18	to lobby for taking land by eminent domain.
19	MR. ROSANO: This is for the record.
20	How much of the Pine Bush Preserve
21	actually falls within the Town of Colonie?
22	MR. WOLCOTT: Quite a lot of it.
23	MR. ROSANO: How many acres?
24	MR. WOLCOTT: I'm not sure.
25	MR. ROSANO: If you can't answer it, I

1	can ask Mr. Gifford to answer that.
2	MR. GIFFORD: I don't know exactly off
3	the top of my head. I believe it's about 900.
4	It's outlined in the management plan. It
5	breaks out the existing Preserve property for
6	each municipality and the acreage where the
7	municipality is recommended, in addition to
8	the Preserve.
9	MR. ROSANO: How much in taxes do you pay
10	to the Town of Colonie?
11	MR. GIFFORD: The Commission doesn't pay
12	anything. The Commission doesn't actually own
13	the land. Each individual Commission members,
14	like the Town of Colonie, Town of
15	Guilderland -
16	MR. ROSANO: So, let me cut to the chase
17	here. Every time you take over property in the
18	Town of Colonie, you're taking tax dollars out
19	of our revenue, right? Am I right, or am I
20	wrong?
21	MR. GIFFORD: That's correct.
22	MR. ROSANO: You're telling me that every
23	time you buy a piece for property at fair
24	value, you're taking it off the tax rolls.
25	MR. GIFFORD: That's correct and it's

dedicated to the Preserve.

2.0

2 CHAIRMAN STUTO: The Estate of Benjamin
3 Valentine.

MR. VALENTINE: I'm not the best public speaker, but I purchased this property in the late 60's or early 70's on Morris Road, Kings Road and Curry Road. Benjamin had the property because he wanted to build. He passed now. He started to build and all of the sudden they're cutting down on the appraised value. He's gone now. What are we supposed to sell the properties for? Now that you've changed it, it's a lot less. It creates a financial burden for his estate.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: I just want to pause for a second. We didn't change anything, just for the record. A couple of people have said that. What happened was - there was a rezoning done in 2007 by the Town Board which was from a prior administration. That's not the function of this Board, which is the Planning Board and none of the people on this Board right now were around back then. That's the same thing for the Town Board. Only because a couple of people have said that - I wanted to make sure

1	that we all understood.
2	MS. DALTON: Mr. Valentine, before you
3	move on I just want to ask you a question
4	about what you just said. You just said that
5	when your dad passed - and I'm sorry for your
6	loss - that the property was appraised. What
7	year was that and what was the appraised value
8	at that time?
9	MR. VALENTINE: It was in '05 and I don't
10	have the appraised values with me. Now, it's
11	going to be lower -
12	MS. DALTON: You don't know for certain.
13	MR. VALENTINE: If we leave it like this,
14	it's going to be lower.
15	MS. DALTON: But you can't tell me that
16	you've lost five percent or ten percent? You
17	don't know right now what the amount of
18	value -
19	MR. VALENTINE: The property that is
20	there right now is worth a considerable
21	amount, and we have to pay Uncle Sam. Now,
22	that cuts us back. That's going to create a
23	real problem.
24	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can I ask how many acres
25	you're talking about?

MR. VALENTINE: About 30 acres, then he has another property across the road there. That's in Guilderland. I'd like to see it be returned back to what it was and then after, if the people that own property - give them the option to change it back individually, if they would like to. That would be fair. It just blocks all the use for these people that have properties. They count on it to pay their taxes. You're shortchanging them on their abilities to make a dollar and to provide revenue for the Town.

2.0

I'm a conservationist. I love nature. I respect anything that you have to say about nature. There is one bad thing about the Pine Bush that anybody that has spent time there would understand. The tick problem is killing people there. That's one thing that should be addressed. Rather than buying property and creating more tick area - instead of introducing a snake or a spider or animal or predator to eat the ticks -- a good friend of mine died of Lyme disease and he used to stay over there all the time. He lived right there. That's something that we all have to worry

1 about. If you did bring back industry, it 2 would eliminate a lot of the tick problems. It 3 wouldn't give them a lot to live on. There are many other places for people to live without 5 having them get diseases from where they live. 6 I just wanted to say that if you had a chance to redo this again, I would appreciate consideration to bring it back to how it was, 9 initially. Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you. 11 Jennifer Barone. 12 MS. BARONE: First of all I'm a resident 13 and a citizen. I live at 68 Morris Road. I've 14 spent six months of my life since I was 15 or 15 16 - I've had Lyme disease and lived 16 downstate, actually. I got misdiagnosed. My 17 life has been severely impacted by it. I've 18 been on disability and I've been re-infected 19 many times over the last 23 years since I 2.0 originally got infected. Actually, I haven't 21 been able to work because of the Lyme disease 22 and complications from it along with lead 23 poisoning. That wouldn't improve with any 24 industrial development. That would get worse

25

with more pollution and with the increase of

heavy metals and all the other things in our environment. A lot of people have heavy metal poisoning and aren't aware of it because the blood test actually didn't show it. I actually had to do a special kind of urine test.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

You can get rid of all the trees, but you still wouldn't get rid of the ticks. You can get Lyme disease in New York City and any other city. It's essential to the life cycle of the tick to be able to reproduce and complete the life cycle. They need a rodent; either a rat or a squirrel, in addition to a large mammal and it's been proven. Concreting this area is not going to make any difference. It would probably make the population worse because you're killing off all the natural predators that feed on the rats and the squirrels that would help decrease the tick population; like the eagles and the hawks and all of birds and prey and all the other animals that would feed on them.

Of all of the opinions that I

got - almost everybody in the community except

for one agreed to sign my petition. I did

contact almost every single person on my road;

Morris Road. We don't have a lot of people on that road. The initial signatures that I got were about 80 and they're not all from Morris. Some of them are from the roads next to mine because we don't have a lot of people on that road, which is the main reason that I and most other people live there because we like living in a semi-rural environment. We like the clean air. I have asthma and I need to have clean air around me. Any kind of development is not going to help that.

2.0

The total signatures from all of the petitions is about 300. They were all obtained from only a few concerned residents and citizens who went around to get them from their neighbors. We're not from any group.

We're not affiliated with any group in doing these petitions. We all did them on our own.

All the signatures are from residents; most of them from residents right in this immediate neighborhood in the Town of Colonie and in the Town of Guilderland.

We're all opposed to the rezoning and if the conservation overlay changes, any kind of rezoning would be a complete disaster

1	environmentally in terms of public health, our
2	quality of life, our property values and
3	homes. We're not just talking about our
4	businesses; we're talking about where we live.
5	Never mind our businesses or lack of ability
6	to work. If we have no place to live, that
7	doesn't matter. If you destroy our property
8	values, we have nothing. For me, personally,
9	that's all I have. I don't even have that. The
10	bank has it. If you do any kind of
11	development, there is no way that I can ever
12	sell it for anything close to the value. I
13	still can't sell it for close to the value now
14	because I got a neighbor down the road selling
15	mulch. So anytime the temperature gets warm,
16	my whole house and yard stinks like horse
17	manure now. It smells like I'm living next to
18	a horse farm. This is just from some guy
19	selling mulch. This is not some big business.
20	This is a very small business down the road.
21	was surprised to see how much it actually
22	stunk, but I couldn't sit in my back yard. I
23	had to sit in the air conditioning. It was
24	very unpleasant to be in the house smelling
25	that, as well, having had the fans blowing the

smell in. The guy next store has been operating an illegal business since I've moved into my house. He shares a driveway with me.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

He is zoned as a residential property, but is running a commercial business out of his property. I've complained several times to people that work the Building Department in the past. They did try to contact him, but nothing was ever done. My question is: Why didn't the Town do something to force him to comply to the zoning that is already there - since he is zoned residential? He runs a super small business out of there, too. He just got a couple of trucks. He's burning garbage back there, which is also illegal. He's storing construction debris back there. That's the view out of half the windows out of my house now. It's looking at his debris that he lays back there. I've also complained about that and I've called the Fire Department.

I hate to see what's going to happen if we do change the zoning anymore and allow these people any more leeway than what they already have. They're abusing and impacting us already with what they're doing. It's

basically at the limit right now for what they're doing.

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

As Mr. Romano mentioned before, residential, commercial and industrial property should have never been put right next to each other ever.

When I bought my house, I didn't even know my own house was zoned industrial, even though all the properties around it are zoned residential and now it's commercial. It used to be industrial in the old zoning. All the properties around me are zoned residential; we are all residences. We've been residences forever, but it was zoned industrial. The zoning was originally messed up and made no sense at all. It still is nonsensical. It's somewhat better than it was before. It's at least somewhat more of a balance than it was, I would say, restrict more of the harmful development by keeping it to a commercial office, instead of industrial. I'm not saying that it's good, but it's definitely preferable to any changes. Everyone agrees.

I don't think that it's fair to destroy livelihoods any more than they've already been

impacted by this and by the shop chopping down the road.

2.0

I don't even feel safe walking down the road by myself anymore. I can't walk my dogs down my road. I have to drive a couple blocks over to one of the dead end streets and walk them down there or drive them to a park and walk them. That's because of the traffic on my road and because mostly the truck traffic. They do speed.

The petition did ask for more help with the traffic control with the truck traffic to actually eliminate all nonresidential truck traffic so that just people that did business would drive there. Most people come through during rush hour. They come through in the middle of night from 890. They have a lot of other options. They can stay right on 890 and go to State Street and Central Avenue or Route 7; wherever they need to go. There is no need to cut through our neighborhood.

The rifle range is already horribly disruptive. I actually called the Police because I thought that people were hunting illegally behind my house and setting off

fireworks. It was very early on a Sunday and Saturday morning. It disturbed my sleep. My dogs were so scared they were cowering and hiding underneath the bed. I felt like I was in a war zone. It was very traumatic. I went through 911 in New York City and I've actually been in a war zone before.

2.0

MR. NARDACCI: Can you make one or two more points and then wrap up?

MS. BARONE: It was horrible. I live on Morris, which is pretty far from the rifle range. I'm just radically opposed to development.

I lived in more than 20 countries over my life and mostly when I was younger and in college and after college. I spent three and a half years in Nepal and India. Nepal is the second poorest country in the world. India is also one of the poorest. Some of the most stunning, if not the most stunning natural beauty on the planet was in the Himalayas, the jungles, the grasslands and desserts. They have huge conservations districts there. In spite of blinding poverty and people going through diseases and dying from terrible

diseases -- they don't even have enough money for flip flops or soap or to send their kids to school. Kids have to work in order to eat either in the fields or outside the home. They still preserve their environment. They recognize the value of it. Even the poorest people over there understand it. They do it because they know that it's important to their economy, their society and their own health and future.

2.0

In spite of the extreme beauty over
there, they don't have the endangered Karner
Blue Butterfly. We are the only place in the
world that has this butterfly. There are a few
very isolated small areas on Long Island,
Saratoga, Maine and Michigan, but this is the
only area they have in the entire world,
except for these small pockets here and there
in the northeast. It's very limited and
they're endangered. It's the law that we
protect them. I think that it's probably a
violation of the species law to do any
development or to get rid of this conservation
overlay. There are other things with the
wetland development too. Even if it's not

1	violating that, it's definitely going to
2	violate the principals of that and affect our
3	water supply by contamination. It's going to
4	effect the greenhouse gasses.
5	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you bring it around
6	to a conclusion? We'd love to see your
7	petition, if you've handed that into Joe
8	LaCivita for part of the record.
9	MS. BARONE: I believe that this was made
10	part of the record at a meeting last year. I
11	did have some additional signatures that we
12	are going to make part of that record. I'd
13	have to make a photocopy for you.
14	MR. LACIVITA: Jennifer, if I could get a
15	copy of the newest one because what you gave
16	me in March of 2009 only has about 40 names
17	and you said you have 100 or maybe more. I'd
18	like to get a copy of that.
19	MS. BARONE: Okay. I'll give you a copy
20	of the whole thing.
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: And you can also mail it
22	in, if you want to keep a copy.
23	MS. BARONE: I won't mention anything
24	that's actually written on the petition.
25	I'll just finish up real quick.

1 They say that this area is irreplaceable 2 once it's destroyed. We need to keep it a 3 conservation overlay to protect this. It should be a permanent protection and not 5 subject to zoning changes by special interest 6 businesses. If these areas are lost, they can't be replaced, replanted or regenerated. If they did, it would take an enormously long 9 time to do that. It's not even sure that it 10 would be possible. We owe it to ourselves and 11 to our children. We need to think about the 12 future, please. Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Anybody who hasn't 14 spoke? 15 MR. PHIBBS: My name is Jerry Phibbs and 16 I have owned 75 acres here in the Town of 17 Colonie probably longer than most people that 18 are on the Board. I'd like to give you a 19 little lesson in economics as to what happened 2.0 to me. I'll make it as fast as I can. 21 As a young guy, I bought that piece of 22 property because at that time it was Business 23 E. Then they changed it to the purple stuff 24 here (Indicating) and left me out of this. I 25 got changed to residential. At the time that

happened, I was not advised of it. I would have never went for it. One of the reasons that I bought it is that I was in the bottled gas business and I delivered a lot of propane to all the trailer parks up and down Central Avenue. Whitestone Trailer Park which is on the other side of the railroad tracks and now part of what is the city dump, was the nicest thing that was around.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I wanted to create a real nice mobile home park; not a trailer park, but a mobile home park. I went to the Town of Colonie and asked them what I had to do. Within three months they changed the zoning because they didn't want a trailer park in that part of Town. As a matter of fact, they changed the zoning of where a mobile home park could be and it was only in a couple of very small places in the Town of Colonie that they left for anybody to develop. I and been in Florida and I had seen what was happening in Florida and I wanted to do it up here. I brought my family up on that piece of property and I paid taxes on it for 50 years. I figured at some point in life I could retire from it.

T	So, about 15 years ago, I tried to
2	develop it. Donald Zee represented me in front
3	of the Planning Board at that time. I had
4	spent \$155,000 with engineers making beautiful
5	roads through my property and everything that
6	they wanted and we came up with 115 houses
7	that could maximally be built in there, if I
8	made the roads square. If I made them with
9	twists and turns and gave the Pine Bush and
10	Nature Conservancy and everyone all the room
11	that they wanted and all the greenspace, I
12	could only get 95. Every time I came to the
13	Planning Board, I got knocked down again. The
14	Nature Conservancy, through Pine Bush, offered
15	to buy me. At that time I'm going to say that
16	the boom was really starting in real estate.
17	It was back in the 90's. I said, okay, I'll
18	live with the fact of it being forever wild.
19	He offered me \$890,000 if I remember exactly.
20	At that time, being developed, it was worth
21	close to two million. I agreed to take one
22	million. They came back to me a few years
23	later and offered me the million if I would
24	forgive half of that and give half of it away
25	as a donation so that I didn't have to pay

taxes on that million because it was all going to be capital gains.

2.0

I'm trying to give you guys the facts.

You weren't around then. You weren't born and if you were born, you were so small you don't even know what was happening back at that time. That's how long I've been on that road and I've seen everything happen that can happen there.

I've heard Mr. and Mrs. Romano on their little piece of property to try to keep everything forever wild. I'm not against nature. I love to see the deer. I don't shoot the deer. I see the turkeys. Today I was up there and I saw a red fox. It's been a long time since I've seen a fox.

Getting back to the story that I wanted to give you about economics, after all of these years and all of these problems trying to develop and get the money when it was worth a lot of money, they were having problems raising the money. They bought different pieces of property. Crossgates couldn't develop. They mitigated different pieces of property. They were screwing around and they

got thrown out of the Pine Bush or the Nature Conservancy. They don't want to hear about it.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Who asked a question about them paying taxes? The minute that they get their hands on your property - which they will never pay fair value for - they'll screw you around and I'll get back to that. They'll pay no taxes. It comes off the rolls. I wanted to put 100 houses up there. I had a lot of property because the prior administration was part of the Pine Bush. I don't know why they're afraid of these people, or why you guys would be afraid of them. They take away from you. This is all green and beautiful and it's all nice, but you certainly don't need the overlay. They have enough now. He just said that he had 1,000 acres. You guys just took 1,000 acres away here and put the overlay on top of it.

I'd like to get back to that half million. They're going to give me a million dollars for my piece of property if I'll forgive half of that so that I don't have to pay taxes on the other \$500,000. I said forget it. I'll go the other route. I'll pay my fair share of taxes and I'll sell it to a

I don't know how it happened, but we just got knocked out of the box. We never went any further. Every time we went into the Town to do something, up comes the Pine Bush, up comes the Nature Conservancy. We got shot down again. Probably at the same time, I had Don [SIC] Sweeton as engineers. They said we're knocking our heads against the wall. Mary Brizzell and her whole situation are members of the Pine Bush. You're not getting any place with this.

2.0

So, along comes the Town. Joe Mahan, who is our Supervisor's husband at the time was on the Board. He said Jerry, we're looking for land in the Town to build softball fields - recreational fields. You have flat level land and very few trees. We can go in there and we can try and get the Town to buy it. One of the Town lawyers lived by Veeder's property and abutted 12 acres of their property and gets the Town to buy it. Arnis was who it was. I told him just give me some money. Give me \$100,000. I'll give you 10 years to give me the million - no interest, no

nothing and you can have the whole farm. It will be forever wild and will take care of the nature conservancy. We have no water or sewer although we're paying sewer assessments now.

Some day they're going to put it in. I'll never live long enough to see that. Our kids go to Mohonasen schools.

2.0

I want to tell you what happened lately. About three or four years ago I was contacted and asked if I wanted to sell my farm and I said, yes, I do. I'm up there. I want to retire. I'm 70 years old. Yes, I do. At that time is when we got into this down economy in about 2008. They hired an appraiser from Amsterdam. He was some farmer and I walked the farm with him. He said, I'll give you fair market value for your property. Do you know what he came back and said my property was worth? This is right shortly after this crap went into effect.

I never was notified one time by any means that this thing was under consideration. That's because I don't live in a house. I live on Albany-Shaker Road near my business in a new house. So, the way that the Town's

planning is - they'll let you build one house but that's the end of it. If you want to go two houses, you have to go for a subdivision.

So, I elected not to build a house there because I wanted to develop this area.

2.0

I'm getting sidetracked a little bit, but I'm almost done. I wanted to tell you what the appraisal came back on. They said \$375,000 and that was right after this went into effect.

Now, the next thing that I would like to tell you is that out of the goodness of their heart they offered \$400,000. Now, that's what I took personally after owning that piece of property for 50 years.

Mr. Caponera knows me. He knows that I'm not a liar. You people may know me because I sell motorcycles down on Albany-Shaker Road.

I've got no reason to come in here and say that I don't want to see nature. I love nature. As a matter of fact at one time I had 20 horses on that farm. That's many years ago. Here I am today watching this and I have to say that it's bull because you listen to all these people.

One fellow stood up here and he lives on

Sheridan Avenue. That's good for him. He belongs to the Nature Conservancy or Pine Bush, but he has nothing to do here. He doesn't pay any taxes here and probably never did pay any taxes here.

2.0

I know the lady that just got up there and told her story and it was quite amusing about her having Lyme disease. She lives on a small piece of property and didn't pay any money for it and she lives near the man who had a body shop that he screwed up with. I don't think that this Board is looking to hurt anybody. They weren't in office when this thing went down. But they've got to take a look at what happened. I just told you what happened. I lost my retirement. It's still forever wild.

Someone else mentioned about selling to the Nature Conservancy. It will never happen in my lifetime; never. I would never take \$400,000 for that piece of property. Where can you go and buy 75 acres of open property for \$400,000? Figure what they're getting per building lot in this Town. We never got water and we never got sewer, although we were

promised it over the years waiting for this or that to happen. It never happened. It never will happen. I don't believe that the Town cares about this end of the Town of Colonie.

Why were they screwing with it back in the last administration? If you guys do your job right and you are in office now, I hope you'd like to stay in office. The right thing to do is to help the people that are losing all this money. They put their whole life into it like I did for retirement and they get screwed with this stuff.

2.0

Do you know what the Nature Conservancy and Pine Bush is? It's a job for these people. That's all it is. It's a bottom line job. When they retire, they could care less. They're not conservationists. It's a job.

I don't think that you know why this happened. Nobody has even asked you why. You haven't told anybody why it happened. I don't think that the previous administration did. They hired someone from Saratoga and that's what their idea was. Sure, if you do this stuff, you don't have to build schools.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Did your property get

1	affected by the rezoning?
2	MR. PHIBBS: I'm against this.
3	MR. LANE: You're in that property
4	though.
5	MR. PHIBBS: Yes, I'm in the overlay.
6	MR. LANE: You're still Business E?
7	MR. PHIBBS: No, I'm residential. Years
8	ago they rezoned this section. This is where I
9	am right here (Indicating). They rezoned right
10	there.
11	CHAIRMAN STUTO: You've been residential
12	for quite awhile, is that correct?
13	MR. PHIBBS: I have been, yes. It was
14	worth something because there is a lot of
15	industrial up here. These people don't need
16	more land. This is really small. When you look
17	at this overlay, there is very little
18	industrial here. It's all residential, but you
19	won't let anybody build. I'm talking about the
20	regime that's been in office for many years. I
21	don't know if it's changed yet in the Town,
22	but it's so hard, long and costly to get
23	anything done.
24	I hired Victor Caponera years ago to get
25	a building on Shaker Road - commercial,

1	100 percent commercial. I wanted to put up a
2	steel building. It took me two years and
3	\$10,000 just to get a building permit and what
4	did they do afterwards? They jumped my taxes
5	which is what they do to everybody. They never
6	lower your taxes for what you do to these
7	people's value. Real estate went down. My
8	condo went down. The house that I live in the
9	wintertime went down.
10	Florida in the Fort Myers Beach
11	area - Florida dropped my taxes. I went from
12	\$9,000 on my house to \$6,000. I forgot what
13	the condo was. It was pretty near \$4,000. That
14	doesn't happen here.
15	CHAIRMAN STUTO: We're getting a little
16	off the topic.
17	MR. PHIBBS: Okay, well, I spoke my
18	peace. I think you understand where I'm coming
19	from.
20	CHAIRMAN STUTO: We're not asking
21	anything for your particular property, right?
22	MR. PHIBBS: No. I don't see how you can
23	do this to people without compensating them.
24	MR. CAPONERA: With regard to properties
25	in the Conservation Overlay Zone - he's asking

1	that be removed; am I correct?
2	MR. PHIBBS: Yes, I'd like to see it go
3	back to be fair to everybody. They have enough
4	property already. There are all kinds of
5	animals there. Thank you for your time.
6	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, who else hasn't
7	spoken here?
8	MS. HAUSER: My name is Judy Hauser and I
9	didn't intend to speak tonight, but there were
10	two points that were made tonight that I'd
11	really like to speak on.
12	First of all you talked about purchasing
13	the land and that it actually goes to the Town
14	of Colonie?
15	MR. GIFFORD: Some of it goes to the Town
16	of Colonie and some of it goes to New York
17	State.
18	MS. HAUSER: So, on the weighing scale,
19	one equals the other.
20	Mr. Caponera talked about property that
21	was zoned previously Business E and now there
22	is a different category. In most cases that
23	particular land is already in that particular
24	use. They just adjusted it to make it the
25	current zoning. That's a viewpoint that has

nothing to do with what's being done here.

2.0

One other thing that I would like to bring out is that if you decide to change this back to commercial, make sure that it's only the property that was affected by the last rezoning. As Mr. Phibbs mentioned, his was already residential. There are other properties on there that were residential back in 1990 that are now asking to turn residential because it was just purchased. He knew very well that it was residential at the time. He wants to put a building on it, but he wants to turn it back to commercial. I do ask that you take that into consideration.

As far as the surveys go, it seems to be a little odd that 100 percent of the businesses that also have houses in Colonie did not receive a survey. When you take into consideration that my husband and I both get mail - if I get the mail first and I think it's not worth reading, I toss it. Perhaps that's what happened to these people. It's not necessarily that 100 percent did not receive surveys, maybe they realized what it was and just tossed it out. We were at the last

meeting last time. They said that they didn't know anything about it.

2.0

Helen and I have been to almost every meeting. Not so much lately, but almost every day since the beginning. We were very interested in what was going on in our area. If they've only been interested in the last four years, what can I say? They should be checking on it periodically. Obviously, things changed and nobody cared to check into what was going on even though it was their property.

When I bought into Residential E, I was 19 years old. I didn't know what zoning meant. I learned a lot since then though. It's buyer beware.

Mr. Phibbs talked about not knowing that the property was rezoned. I just have to say that there are many people that forget quite often when they stand up in front of the meetings and argue profusely and then they don't win and then all the sudden they don't remember. I think that there were a few of those. There was one individual that bought property and then wanted to build on it and

then found that he couldn't do it and then said I didn't know I couldn't do that; when he was very, very boisterous at the previous meeting.

2.0

I just ask you to take everything into consideration and keep in mind that those of us that want to keep it residential — we live there. They're our homes. We put up with the air pollution that they have been giving us. We put up with the water pollution that they've been giving us. It's only a certain area of ours that has to have water. A big portion of it still have wells. That's something that you really, really need to take into consideration. It's not just one that will be effected by it; it's everybody.

They want environmental overlays. Why are they afraid to put protection on the other lands?

My last question is to Suzanne. What it your property going to be rezoned to?

Currently, you're residential and you use it as commercial but you also have a house on it. So either way, no matter what it does, you're going to be noncompliant.

1	MS. PERRY-POTTS: When we bought the
2	property, it was industrial and Business E
3	with the intent of putting a business there.
4	My husband got a building off of Central
5	Avenue for free. All he had to do was move it
6	We got an approval to put that house there. We
7	had to get approval.
8	MS. HAUSER: But that property was
9	residential at that time.
10	MS. PERRY-POTTS: No, it wasn't, but you
11	were allowed to put a residence in the
12	industrial. That's the whole problem with this
13	whole thing. There were so many mixed-uses in
14	all the zones.
15	MS. HAUSER: What are you going to do
16	now?
17	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Right now, where our
18	house is - not our garage - is single-family
19	residential.
20	MS. HAUSER: And the rest of the
21	property?
22	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Now, the property line
23	go with the zoning so our entire little corner
24	where our house and our garage is
25	single-family residential.

1 MS. HAUSER: Thank you. CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you. 3 Who hasn't spoken? MR. RUTH: I'm Paul Ruth. I am in favor 5 of changing back over because I am a property 6 owner, but as the esteemed gentleman over here who quoted Benjamin Franklin - it seems that he's doing the same thing over and over again. 9 I'm hoping that this time we'll have different 10 results because I feel the same way that 11 Mr. Phibbs does. That's my retirement. I own 12 45 acres. I have a home in Colonie which is 13 23 Oak Tree Lane and I also own a business on 14 New Karner Road. I've lived my whole life in 15 the Town of Colonie. 16 When I bought my property, it was zoned 17 industrial. Just like Mr. Phibbs, I bought it 18 for my future and my family's future. I pay my 19 taxes regularly and I do have several places 2.0 to receive these notices and I never received 21 notice. I will swear that on a lie detector 22 test. I say this every time I come to a 23 meeting. 24 I had property in Clifton Park. When I 25 bought the property, I got all kinds of

notices and registered mail for anything. None of that transpired here. I pay my taxes when they send the bill to me. I figure if I pay my taxes, I should be able to get my due process. There are attorneys here and they're all saying the same thing.

2.0

What I'm going back to - and this is for everybody here: If you buy a dime, you expect a dime. If you buy your woman a diamond and she finds out it's a cubic zirconium, you get slapped.

When I pay my taxes - why do I do these things? It's for my family and the Town that I live. I sponsor little league teams and I do everything I can to help the Town. The way I look at it, I generate money here. I pay my employees and they spend their money here. I pay my property taxes on my building. I'm not bitching about my taxes. I'm bitching about the fact that I bought something that should have been what it was and it got changed without me knowing about it.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.

MR. MARTINO: My name is Bob Martino and
I live on the corner of Albany Street and

1	Lishakill Road. I'm at 8 Lishakill Road and
2	I'm here because of the pink slip that was on
3	the telephone pole across from my property. I
4	didn't realize that you had an agenda with
5	these particular properties. As long as you're
6	considering a possible rezoning, when this
7	rezoning went through, the line off of Central
8	Avenue was established where Business E and
9	Residential were divided. When this rezoning
10	came through, they changed from Lishakill Road
11	for about one-third of a mile up Albany Street
12	and made that Business E. There are all
13	residences in there. That's affecting us as
14	far as putting garages up because now we have
15	to go with the commercial side sets and the
16	commercial front sets. I'd like you to
17	consider changing that back to where it was.
18	MR. LANE: That's not a part of this
19	application, right?
20	MR. MARTINO: It's not part of this
21	application.
22	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Were you industrial? Car
23	you show me where your property is?
24	MR. MARTINO: I'm right here
25	(Indicating).

1	CHAIRMAN STUTO: So you were residential
2	already, is that correct?
3	MR. MARTINO: That's correct.
4	CHAIRMAN STUTO: You weren't affected by
5	the older rezone so I don't think -
6	MR. MARTINO: I'm just saying that if you
7	look, there is a short section and it's all
8	basically residences and wetlands with the
9	creeks. It has no business being commercial.
10	CHAIRMAN STUTO: You were residential and
11	then you got changed to commercial, is that
12	what you're saying?
13	MR. MARTINO: Yes. I would like to see it
14	go back to residential so that we can build
15	garages and things to residential specs.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What is the address?
17	MR. MARTINO: That was 8 Lishakill Road.
18	CHAIRMAN STUTO: It's a little hard to
19	analyze that now and think about it. You may
20	have to make a separate application.
21	MR. MARTINO: I was here last year when
22	this small group was put together that said
23	that they were going to study this stuff and
24	then it went underground.
25	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What meeting was that?

1 MR. MARTINO: It was about a year ago. It 2 was like four or five people that said that 3 they were appointed by the Town to look into this situation and the rezoning. They were 5 supposed to have meetings and then the whole 6 thing went underground and I didn't find out anything about it. The next thing I see is your pink slip on a pole and it says exactly 9 the same thing that it said last year. 10 MR. LACIVITA: I think that there are two 11 separate issues here. I think that the one 12 that you're talking about with the four or 13 five people that came together was the 14 Comprehensive Plan. 15 MR. MARTINO: No, it wasn't the Comprehensive Plan. It was to study the 16 17 mistakes that were made on the rezoning. 18 CHAIRMAN STUTO: I wasn't aware of that 19 since I've been on this Planning Board. 2.0 MR. NARDACCI: Sir, I think that 21 regardless, unfortunately, it's going to be a 22 separate issue because this is specific to 23 this application. This application 24 specifically relates to a different area. This 25 is a forum and you're giving your remarks, but

1	what I would suggest that you do and the more
2	appropriate thing for you to do is to follow
3	up directly with the Planning Director, Joe
4	LaCivita and talk to him and then perhaps you
5	could sit down with the staff and figure out
6	and understand what the process is.
7	MR. MARTINO: I'm saying you put the pink
8	slips across the street from my house and
9	across the street from my house has nothing to
10	do with what you're talking about here
11	tonight. I don't understand.
12	CHAIRMAN STUTO: That's to notify you of
13	this application here.
14	MR. MARTINO: But it also says that it
15	affects this property.
16	MS. PERRY-POTTS: It was for the borders
17	of the overlay - all the way down Albany
18	Street - because that's where the overlay is.
19	That's where you saw it was on Albany Street.
20	MR. MARTINO: I'm probably in the
21	overlay.
22	MS. PERRY-POTTS: You're not if you're on
23	the other side. On Lishakill between Albany
24	Street and Route 5 - you're not in the
25	overlay.

1	MR. MARTINO: But you guys put the signs
2	up.
3	MR. NARDACCI: I would suggest that in
4	order so that you're fully informed that's
5	what I would do. I think that's the most
6	appropriate action.
7	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Who else hasn't been
8	heard?
9	(There was no response.)
10	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Would you like to sum
11	up, Mr. Caponera.
12	MR. CAPONERA: I paid attention to
13	everyone's comments and I thought that they
14	were spoken from the heart. I appreciate that,
15	but the bottom line is that nothing changes.
16	I think that the majority of people here
17	are asking this Board's favorable
18	consideration of this application. You know
19	what we're looking for. We've heard all the
20	other remarks. I think that there are valid
21	substantive reasons why we're asking for this
22	and I'd be happy to answer any questions that
23	you might have.
24	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I have a question and
25	it's more of a discussion. We had talked

during the break about some kind of creative solution that might be a middle ground, for lack of a better word. Are there any creative ideas either on the Board or -- as I look at it, I think that we have to drill down a lot closer to what each and every property is here, instead of just looking at a blanket map. I've driven through the property. The devil is in the details.

2.0

MR. CAPONERA: Which is why this lower map was so detailish. Suzanne actually had a piece with every single property listed with the SBL number and every number related to that. There has been a tremendous amount of detail drawn up on this, in terms of which properties are part of the proposal and which properties are not. It's been drawn up basically on this lower map. Clearly, there has been a lot of detail and then as far as the mitigation – we heard from some folks over on Lupe Way. That whole area there has a buffer. There has been mitigation issues that we have considered.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you go over where you proposed the buffers again?

1	MR. CAPONERA: Absolutely. This follows
2	along the residential area, all the way around
3	and it's even further down than what is shown
4	on this map (Indicating).
5	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Is it the red dots?
6	MR. CAPONERA: Yes, but it goes beyond
7	that. We're extending it all the way out, all
8	the way around so as to where there is any
9	residential development, there is a buffer.
10	MR. FUSCO: We did take into
11	consideration anybody who upholds this - their
12	particular property we carved them out. The
13	map is very detailed. We showed who did and
14	didn't want the zoning changed back.
15	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Joe, do we have the map?
16	MR. LACIVITA: I think so.
17	MR. LANE: We don't have exactly what you
18	have, but we do have this one (Indicating).
19	MR. CAPONERA: That's pretty much it.
20	What Mr. Fusco is saying is that Suzanne spent
21	probably thousands of hours on looking at this
22	and identifying each and every property owner,
23	communicating with those folks and those folks
24	that didn't want the zoning. Obviously, they
25	were carved out. So, we tried, like you said,

1 Mr. Chairman, the devil is in the details. 3 MR. LANE: Does that bump us into any issues with spot zoning? 5 MR. CAPONERA: As I said before, spot zoning is where you take a piece of property - let's assume we'll take this piece right over here and say to the Board, I'd like 9 this to be changed to industrial. That's not 10 what we're doing here. I'm asking the Town 11 Board - we're asking the Town Board to just 12 bring property back to the way that it was 13 prior to January 4, 2007. 14 MR. LANE: With the exception of those 15 people who do not. 16 MR. CAPONERA: Correct. 17 MS. DALTON: I think that the problem 18 here, in my perspective, is that despite 19 allegations that these changes were made 2.0 improperly, it seems that there has been 21 compelling evidence that there were a lot of 22 things considered when these changes were 23 made. At this point, to simply change it back 24 negates all the study that was already done 25 and all the reasons why it was proposed to

1	begin with. In fact, while there was economic
2	impact on the business owners whose zoning was
3	changed, changing it back at this point has
4	the potential for creating an economic impact
5	for the people that have residential homes.
6	So, one of the things that we talked about is
7	that you don't want to lay a disproportionate
8	burden on your business owners and your Town
9	just because we now have new information about
10	the value of how this conservation area
11	doesn't pay taxes. On the other hand, there
12	are compelling reasons to make the changes
13	that were made. So, is there another
14	mitigation strategy, whether it is something
15	like making it less expensive to create a
16	variance or creating a new kind of zoning that
17	allows additional uses, but some sense at some
18	point? It seems to me that there has to be
19	something more creative or keep what we have
20	or go back to what we used to have. So, I'm
21	wondering if anybody has looked for some of
22	those more out of the box solutions.
23	MR. CAPONERA: Yes, we have and this is
24	basically what we came up with.
25	You made a comment about the residential

1	homes. For the record, I want everyone to
2	understand that the folks that were in this
3	area that have residences - what was their
4	zoning before it changed? It was industrial.
5	This isn't the oddest thing - which is what
6	you're alluding to.
7	MS. DALTON: I'm not saying that they
8	didn't gain when the change was made. What I'm
9	saying is that those changes were made for
10	reasons that were well documented with regard
11	to the Conservation area and with regard to
12	the value of the residential area.
13	MR. CAPONERA: Without ever giving one
14	single commercial property owner an
15	opportunity to be heard.
16	MS. DALTON: I wouldn't necessarily agree
17	with that.
18	FROM THE FLOOR: I have a question. If
19	your husband was cheating on you, would you
20	want to know about it or not?
21	MS. DALTON: I've had that situation and
22	you can ask Mr. Caponera all about it.
23	FROM THE FLOOR: I'm just saying that if
24	my wife was cheating on me, at least I'd like
O.F.	

to know about it.

25

1	MR. NARDACCI: With regard to the overlay
2	districts: The Town of Colonie has a number of
3	overlay districts. There are seven
4	Conservation Overlay Districts. We have
5	historical overlay districts. You've been
6	practicing planning and Land Use Law for a
7	number of years and you've dealt with overlay
8	districts throughout your tenure, correct?
9	MR. CAPONERA: Yes, but the overlay
10	district is kind of something new. It's not
11	been around for 30 or 40 years.
12	MR. NARDACCI: Overlay districts are
13	legal though, right?
14	MR. CAPONERA: Absolutely.
15	MR. NARDACCI: And in your estimation and
16	in your understanding what is your
17	understanding of how an overlay district would
18	exist?
19	MR. CAPONERA: In my opinion, generally,
20	what it does is it takes areas that have open
21	areas that are yet to be developed. They say,
22	let's try to limit what these open areas can
23	or can't be used for. Essentially, what it's
24	saying is that for those areas that are not
25	yet developed that's to say that the Town

1 wants to put this stamp over this restriction 2 and say that you're not going to be able to 3 develop it in the normal way unless you reserve and take out these areas that 5 Mr. Bianchine spoke about that can't be used. 6 So, basically, what it does is limits a property owners' ability to develop this property under the current zoning and the 9 former zoning which is 35 percent greenspace. 10 It ups it to - I'm going to generalize it to 11 more like 50 percent green. 12 MR. NARDACCI: Here is the challenge. We 13 have individual and concrete rights. But also 14 we have this Pine Bush study area which is 15 significant. It's recognized globally as a 16 significant area. There are endangered species 17 and some of the reasons that we have for 18 having overlays directly relates to the 19 preservation of species as well as being 2.0 sensitive to the environmental characteristics 21 of that area. 22 Now, this is my fourth year on this Board 23 and we've looked at several projects including 24 industrial and manufacturing that have come

25

forth in the overlay district. One was Crumb

1 Rubber. In my estimation, we didn't have a 2 problem in moving forward on that approval. 3 Certainly, it required some more study. I think that the number that was thrown out was 5 that well, it took \$150,000 and you're adding 6 several thousand dollars. You're not saying in order to get through the planning, you're doubling or tripling the burden on the 9 developer. So, I understand the rhetoric and I 10 understand the concerns. The reality is that 11 we've seen projects come forward in these 12 overlay districts that I feel like went 13 through fairly quickly and fairly. 14 MR. ZEE: Excuse me, if I may. I 15 represented Crumb Rubber along with Joe 16 Bianchine and they went into an existing 17 building. So, it's kind of difficult to say 18 that it went through easily when you're 19 talking about a use that went into an existing 2.0 building, right off of Albany Street. The 21 building was already there. It was vacant for 22 a period of time and I think that fortunately 23 Crumb Rubber was able to come into that place. 24 I've had experience with regard to 25 overlaying districts and in fact, last night I

1	was in the Town of Glenville with regard to an
2	overlay district where they created a village
3	or Town Center Overlay District and at the end
4	of the day when the Town fathers who
5	implemented and enacted the overlay district
6	saw the errors of their way, we wound up
7	getting 30 feet variances for our project. It
8	took an extra 90 days to get the project
9	approved and we were under a time line. We
10	took the existing two buildings, which were
11	approximately 145,000 square feet and we had
12	to tear them down and build new space. That
13	would have never happened if they enforced the
14	overlay district. Without that, that property
15	which has been sitting vacant for seven years
16	in the heart of Glenville, would continually
17	be vacant.
18	MR. NARDACCI: Part of what I'm talking
19	about is we talked a lot about hypothetical,
20	but I'm trying to find real instances where we
21	have dealt with this issue. We just haven't
22	come up with -
23	MR. ZEE: I have a real problem with
24	regard to sunset clauses.
25	MR. NARDACCI: Actually, I was asking

1 Victor.

2	MR. ZEE: But you asked about a
3	compromise. I first want to point out that I
4	have a concern in regard to sunset clauses
5	because nobody knows when they really want to
6	retire and sell their business. So, someone
7	who buys their business at age 30 and you have
8	a sunset clause, even if it's 10 years, they
9	may want to continue operating for a period of
10	time. That is as opposed to Mr. Phibbs who
11	says he's 70 years old and he might want to
12	sell sooner rather than later. That's why I
13	have a concern about sunset clauses. Maybe
14	there should be talk about changing that
15	percentage a bit for the properties that are
16	rezoned so that you don't have the overlay
17	district for these properties that are rezoned
18	back. The recommendation is maybe bump it up
19	to 40 percent greenspace so that there is
20	additional greenspace set aside. It doesn't
21	fully impact the property owners as it does
22	with the overlay district because there are
23	sensitive lands, but you can use the
24	calculations and increase the greenspace
25	amount but eliminate the extra hurdles of the

1	overlay district review.
2	MR. NARDACCI: Do you think that the
3	Albany Pine Bush is an environmentally
4	sensitive area?
5	MR. ZEE: Do I believe it?
6	MR. NARDACCI: Yes.
7	MR. ZEE: No. I've been involved in a lot
8	of property that has been deeded over to the
9	Pine Bush and -
10	MR. NARDACCI: I'm asking the applicant.
11	Do you think that the Albany Pine Bush is an
12	environmentally significant area?
13	MR. CAPONERA: I think that there are
14	portions of it that are. I think that to put a
15	Conservation Overlay District over this entire
16	area, including all this area in the
17	residential zone is ludicrous. The answer to
18	your question is that some of it is, much of
19	it is not.
20	MR. NARDACCI: Where are Conservation
21	Overlay Districts appropriate, in your
22	estimation?
23	MR. CAPONERA: Well, I believe that there
24	is an area up in here by the Mohawk
25	(Indicating). Let's look at conservation

overlay. There is a huge area up here on the northern part of the Town that I'm actually working on various projects and you probably are too. It's very open. It's very undeveloped. It's a perfect area where conservation overlay probably should go.

2.0

On the other side of Route 9 over here on this side of the north end (Indicating), it's completely unused right now. It's a great area for conservation overly. I think that's why they turned their direction over here mainly because of the Pine Bush and their interests and what they're going for. They're not nearly as undeveloped as the other areas.

There's another area over here that's hardly developed at all. There are literally hundreds of properties here that are developed and being used and many of the properties that signed this petition - part of the property is being used or some portion for industrial use. Not all of it. That's why the area over here abutting the residences that I think Ms. Lupe who was here a little while ago indicated that we proposed to put this buffer -- we're trying to make some accommodations. That's a long

answer to your simple question.

2.0

MR. NARDACCI: I think that part of the challenge is trying to understand why the Pine Bush exists, why the Commission was created by the state and why the federally endangered species are involved. Try to understand and mitigate the international, national and statewide concerns with what we're doing. I think that there has to be some kind of balance. I think that's something that's always a challenge. You have buffers between what I think are sensitive areas.

MR. CAPONERA: What a wonderful balance we've proposed. Here is 100 percent industrial. Here is a tremendous amount of greenspace. I think that Ms. Vaida spoke about that earlier. Look at the greenspace here (Indicating). Not one inch of that is going to be rezoned as proposed. It's a wonderful balance. It's a wonderful concept that we're coming up with. We're not trying to take anything away from what the Pine Bush has done.

MR. NARDACCI: What I think that we need to understand is why Conservation Overlay

1 Districts exist and if they should exist or 2 not. Certainly we know they're legal. I'm just 3 asking the question. MR. CAPONERA: I think that in the Town, 5 they're reasonably new. 6 MR. NARDACCI: There are Historic Overlay Districts and we want to preserve the character of certain communities. 9 MR. FUSCO: When you have a Historical 10 Overlay, it increases the value of that 11 property. That's wonderful. But if someone was 12 coming to your property and says your house 13 now is worth half of what it was worth five 14 years ago because there is something sitting 15 here - whatever it is - a biological thing 16 being a plant or animal or whatever, you say 17 fine. You can live with the plant or animal; 18 just compensate. That's all I'm asking for. If 19 they want to put all the green in the world 2.0 there, I just want to be compensated. 21 MR. NARDACCI: To your point sir, I can 22 see where you're coming from. I own property. 23 I also own an income property. I understand

economic development work. I represent

24

25

values of real estate. Certainly I do a lot of

1	developers in my private business.
2	We've heard a lot of hypotheticals,
3	right? Maybe as part of the process we have to
4	have some sort of economic study. What is the
5	real decrease of values? Mr. Phibbs came with
6	his specific case. I understand that. Maybe we
7	have to look at it in a more macro level.
8	Let's look at all the sales of properties.
9	Over how many years that the conservation
10	overly has been there.
11	MR. FUSCO: How can you judge that
12	because this is so new? This whole proposal
13	thing is so new. Because of the economic time
14	that we're in right now, the property values
15	have dropped, so now we're not really looking
16	at a realistic picture.
17	MR. NARDACCI: Let's look at the parcels.
18	I'm sure that there are a lot of parcels that
19	have changed hands. Have they followed the
20	national trends?
21	MR. FUSCO: I don't think many parcels
22	have changed hands here. I've had my parcel
23	for over 25 years. I pay my taxes on it.
24	MR. NARCACCI: A big argument here has
25	been the economic argument. I don't think that

Τ	we should just take it in a vacuum. I think
2	that we should actually look at what really
3	has happened.
4	MR. FUSCO: I agree with that. If you
5	think that's worth that money, just figure out
6	a way to pay me. I have no problem with that.
7	MS. DALTON: I own property here and in
8	other places. One of my properties increased
9	in value by at least \$150,000. Part of that
10	was because of the economics and part of it
11	was because of the development that happened
12	around me.
13	MR. CAPONERA: So, your property got
14	rezoned too, didn't it?
15	MS. DALTON: Actually, it didn't get
16	rezoned. What I was going to say is that
17	someone else here said buyer beware. Someone
18	had told me that it was going to stay forever
19	wild and instead someone came and developed it
20	and it wasn't zoned the way that I was told.
21	MR. FUSCO: But mine was zoned the way I
22	was told.
23	MS. DALTON: What I'm saying is things
24	change. Sometimes it's the property owner.
25	Sometimes it's a flood or a fire or a natural

1	disaster of some sort that's going to change
2	the value of your property. Sometimes it's new
3	information about something that happened on
4	the site.
5	MR. FUSCO: I understand that, but
6	whether it's the State of New York or the Town
7	of Colonie -
8	MS. DALTON: You don't always get
9	compensated. I'm not saying that you should
10	not be. I'm saying that things happen and
11	people don't always get compensated.
12	MR. NARDACCI: Our charge, as a Planning
13	Board - our role in this process is
14	investigating. We're charged with
15	investigating the facts and investigating
16	what's the situation. We're hearing opinions
17	and that's part of this. Taking public input
18	and understanding that. I also think that we
19	have to do some other due diligence. We have
20	to follow up on the fact that there are things
21	that show us that maybe we should be looking
22	at the economics. We should look at other
23	Conservation Overlays. We could do some
24	comparisons.
25	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I want to get back to

1	the map questions and the devil is in the
2	details. There is better mapping. Is it
3	engineer done, or is it hand done?
4	MR. LANE: I think that we have your
5	original hand drawn one.
6	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Those are the
7	boundaries that the Town has on the zoning
8	map.
9	MR. CAPONERA: Yes, but there is a larger
10	foam board.
11	MS. PERRY-POTTS: I gave them a copy of
12	the tax map, too.
13	MR. CAPONERA: Yes, there was a tax map
14	that was provided and on that tax map it's got
15	every single property owner within this area
16	identified.
17	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I'd like to understand
18	where every single residence is, too.
19	MR. CAPONERA: I believe it's all there.
20	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What about the buffering
21	that's being proposed?
22	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Can we go street by
23	street? I can show you where the residences
24	are.
25	There is a property owner there and she

Ţ	is signed on.
2	CHAIRMAN STUTO: But the buffer appears
3	to only be those dotted lines.
4	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Because that is the
5	most heavily dense of the residential.
6	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Victor was trying to say
7	that it was more than that.
8	MS. PERRY-POTTS: I gave you guys a copy
9	of the tax map of just 8 Lupe Way and we
10	extended it right here because this property
11	here (Indicating). These are all businesses
12	except there are a few houses here
13	(Indicating) and they have all signed on.
14	On this side, it's all businesses. The
15	very last property on this map - one-third of
16	this was in residential and two-thirds of that
17	was industrial.
18	He put up a big garage (Indicating). He's
19	a paving contractor.
20	CHAIRMAN STUTO: They haven't done paving
21	in five years.
22	MS. PERRY-POTTS: But he's still in
23	business.
24	Here is Jennifer Barone and one other
25	house that didn't sign on

Τ	CHAIRMAN STUTO: That's inside the
2	zoning?
3	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Yes, and you can't go
4	around them.
5	If you go down a little bit further,
6	there is one house here that is completely
7	surrounded by businesses. It's a very old
8	house. I think that there was a lake or
9	something there. I wasn't around back then,
10	but it's 10 feet from the road. It's very old
11	looking. A little bit further down, there is
12	another house that's from the old camp days.
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Is there a water body
14	down there?
15	MS. PERRY-POTTS: There used to be. All
16	there is now is a creek. It was a swimming
17	hole and that's gone.
18	If you keep going down on this side of
19	the road, there is a lady here that's opposed
20	to it. She runs a pet grooming business out of
21	her home.
22	There is a house here (Indicating) and
23	they signed on.
24	Down here there are all businesses. These
25	four houses here - I probably wouldn't have

1	included them except they were in commercial
2	office. I couldn't just leave these four acres
3	by itself. These people signed on because they
4	have a commercial garage.
5	These people did sign on, but they had
6	previously operated businesses out of their
7	home. I can't just leave two acres. If I
8	could, I would, but this over here is land
9	conservation (Indicating).
10	When you go down Kings Road, this
11	property here -
12	CHAIRMAN STUTO: This is being proposed
13	for modified industrial.
14	MS. PERRY-POTTS: Yes, it used to be the
15	old Business E. This property here owns this
16	(Indicating) and has a driveway to get back
17	here. He bought his property in the 1990's.
18	These people are the same as ours. They
19	have an old house and they operate the
20	business out of there.
21	This is a house that signed on also. He
22	used to have bulldozer. He's been there for
23	ages.
24	This is our house. It used to be
25	industrial zone

1 These people all signed on. This one here is a shack with a bunch of 3 cars around it. There was a nice woman there that lived there for I don't know how long 5 until she was about 75 and now she's down in 6 Poughkeepsie and I don't know where she is. Her relatives just have come and dumped vehicles on the property. Nobody has really 9 lived there. It's really an eyesore. They have 10 not signed on. I talked to the woman's 11 daughter and son-in-law. 12 The old Agway was on Morris Road and then 13 around it the same property owner had First 14 Prize Paintball. 15 Across from that on Morris Road you have 16 alternative storage and you have a firehouse. 17 The people on the other side of Kings 18 Road -- there is a house and he owns this 19 whole property (Indicating). He wants the 2.0 change back. 21 This place here is BBL Construction 22 services (Indicating). 23 MS. VAIDA: Peter, can I make a 24 suggestion? We really need to remember all 25 this.

1 Would you be able to do another map that 2 maybe has the residences delineated in one 3 color and businesses in another color and then make it clear who wants to change? 5 MR. LACIVITA: The question that I have is we have a list before us that has 122 names on it. It identifies the fact that there are 91 out of 104 properties. There are 122 9 properties listed on here. We don't know which 10 91 have signed onto it at this point in time. 11 To Elena's point, we could have our MIS 12 unit -- if you can define the 91 properties, 13 we can chart it on our mapping based on 14 industrial, residential, business that are 15 currently operating and get this back before 16 the Board. 17 MS. PERRY-POTTS: Do you want me to just 18 color in the properties? 19 CHAIRMAN STUTO: He's got the technology 2.0 to do that if you give him the numbers. 21 MR. LACIVITA: Back in 2009, you had 22 given us letters of people who had signed on. 23 I want that updated so that we have a clear 24 and concise list that these people have agreed 25 that we're moving forward with the rezoning. I

1	will then transfer that information to a chart
2	that MIS will produce for us that will be
3	color coded so that we will know what is
4	single-family and what is residential and then
5	we'll get that information back to the Board.
6	Right now, the numbers aren't adding up in my
7	mind and I'm just curious as to who dropped
8	out and who's added on. We don't know that
9	right now.
10	MS. PERRY-POTTS: I do have an update
11	right now. In the rezoning, there has been
12	nothing changed. The only thing that has
13	changed is that a few more people have added
14	on and been asked if they want their property
15	out of the overlay, also. I will give you an
16	update. I even have a map that I made the
17	other night.
18	There aren't 122 properties. There are
19	104 properties.
20	MR. LACIVITA: I just counted them one by
21	one on each page. I counted 122.
22	MS. PERRY-POTTS: I've counted them
23	several times. There are 104 properties and
24	I've got signatures of 91 of them.
25	We also had signatures of property owners

1 of 210 additional acres. So, the 210 plus the 601 acres in the rezone is a total of 2 3 811 acres. You can have this one. It has the original signatures for four more people that 5 want out of the overlay. This is a map that I made up last night. We had the Gun Club here - because they want the overlay gone also. 9 MR. LANE: But they're not a part of 10 the -11 MS. PERRY-POTTS: No, they're not, but I 12 just wanted you to know that. That's where 13 they are. All these dark blue properties are 14 additional people that want that overlay 15 removed. They're all over the place. I haven't 16 talked to everybody. I just see them here and 17 there. 18 Today, this has four additional property 19 owners and updated a list of properties. 2.0 CHAIRMAN STUTO: I appreciated that you 21 went around. It's good to know what's going on 22 internally, but I'm also curious what's on the 23 outside - like each individual pink block. I 24 see that you have some screening to have the

25

residential up there, but I'm also concerned

1 about any other ones that will have 2 impact -- like a buffer next to a residential 3 property that would like to stay residential. You went through each internally those 5 that you wanted rezoned, if you will. I'm also curious on the external circumference of those. I'm wondering about the residences. MS. PERRY-POTTS: Where there are businesses, if you want screening, the time to 10 do that was when those businesses got 11 approval. I think that it's a little late now 12 to do that. 13 CHAIRMAN STUTO: It depends on the 14 configuration, but I understand the point. 15 MS. PERRY-POTTS: For the vacant land, when someone develops it is the time to make a 16 17 buffer then - or suggest a buffer. I think 18 that Mr. Lupe was very generous with that 19 buffer. This land was all industrial when 2.0 those houses were built. Like Jennifer Barone 21 said, she moved into an industrial area. Why 22 should we have to pay for -23 CHAIRMAN STUTO: That's your opinion, but 24 I want facts. That's what I'm saying. We 25 haven't formed an opinion. I'm curious what's

1	on the outside.
2	MS. PERRY-POTTS: All along here there
3	is still a lot of land (Indicating). A lot of
4	this is the Pine Bush Preserve land. You can
5	see that on the zoning map.
6	Over here on the Curry Road side, there
7	are very large lots.
8	CHAIRMAN STUTO: That's a different Town,
9	isn't it?
10	MS. PERRY-POTTS: I notified the people
11	in the Town of Guilderland twice for the
12	meeting that we had at the Pinewood School for
13	the residents. I put up 300 posters and shoved
14	them in mailboxes. We got 20 people that came.
15	They had a week's notice. If it was important
16	to them, they should have come.
17	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I'd just like to talk
18	this through with the Board because we're
19	looking to close the hearing down. We may take
20	some written information for a couple of
21	weeks.
22	MR. CAPONERA: May I suggest a workshop
23	session or something, once you get it color
24	coded?
25	CHAIRMAN STUTO: We may have our own

1	workshop.
2	Joe, what do you think? I don't know what
3	you have technologically that you might be
4	able to help us with.
5	MR. LACIVITA: I'm going to talk to the
6	MIS department tomorrow and see what we can
7	do.
8	CHAIRMAN STUTO: And maybe one of those
9	aerial photos.
10	MR. LACIVITA: Yes, and we'll work off of
11	management information systems; MIS
12	Department. We can get that.
13	MS. PERRY-POTTS: I know that update of
14	the list that I gave you - I forgot to update
15	the date on it. It still says May or
16	something.
17	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, thank you. I think
18	that we're looking to wrap up here.
19	MR. NARDACCI: I think a good point was
20	made that if we want to know what the sales
21	and values are of the property, consult with a
22	real estate professional.
23	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, is there anybody
24	that wants to be heard that hasn't been heard?
25	MR. BELVIN: I'm John Belvin. I own 80

Morris Road. I own commercial buildings. I bought the first building in 1973. I built the second one in 1989. Before that, I bought the back property. It was Rudd's Beach. When I built the second building in 1989, at that time, I dug up Morris Road and ran an eight-inch sewer main all the way to the back. Also, I dug up Morris Road again and put three water services back in the hopes that I could build two more buildings back there. We have about \$40,000 in the ground. I can't even see it.

2.0

In about 2000 I bought three houses and demolished them. I capped off three more sewer lines. I didn't find out what was going on here until about 2007. We didn't know about the rezoning. We found out that a friend was going to submit plans and went to the Building Department. At the time that, he was told that his property was residential. At that time, I asked what our property was. They changed it to commercial office. It was heavy industrial for years like everybody else's property;
Morris Road, Kings Road, parts of Curry Road and so forth.

I've been here since 1973. I had nothing when I started. I'm a sewer contractor and I have been for 39 years in the Town of Colonie.

2.0

At that time when I did find out, I called Kevin DeLaughter. I knew Kevin very well and what Kevin told me was we wanted to commercialize it. There is no chance that the Town was going to turn it back over to heavy industrial.

So, also at that time I called the
Building Department. I talked to them and they
said, no, even though you bought that other
land, you have the wrong frontage to build and
you can't build another building for
construction. So, I realized that it wasn't
your fault or the Town Board. It all relates
back to the other regime. That's exactly what
happened. I don't know by anybody in this
world that has the right to change anybody's
property without notification. I didn't get
anything like the rest of the people. Here we
stand. It's your decision and the Town Board's
decision and I hope that you make the right
decision. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Anybody else who hasn't

1 spoken?

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I have a slightly different MS. SCARFF: perspective from the other people that has spoken this evening. I live at 1239 Kings Road and it is a residential house. We purchased the house, it was zoned industrial and we knew that it was zoned industrial and that's one of the reasons that we bought the house. My husband is a welder and he had thought that he might want to start his own business at some point. Since we've lived in the house, we bought the house it was surrounded by trees. It was a lovely area. It was very nice and cool and shady since then. We're surrounded now by the Pine Bush area. They have taken down trees and our house is now hot. MR. LANE: The Pine Bush took down the trees? MS. SCARFF: The Pine Bush people have

MS. SCARFF: The Pine Bush people have taken down the trees so when the other folks stand up here and say we're worried about global warming, okay, but don't take down the trees. To me, as a science teacher, there's kind of a conflict of interest. It doesn't match what I teach my kids at school.

Also they came to our house and they asked us to sign a petition where they wanted to burn the property directly across the street from our house. I looked at the leaves in my yard and the very tall trees on one part of the property that wasn't directly in across the street. I knew that if those trees caught on fire, they would set my yard on fire. So, no, I didn't sign that permit. However, they did go ahead and burn. They did keep a margin away from my house, but we didn't get notified and my boys happened to be in the house. They come home from school and they go into the house. They're middle school and high school students, but no parents in the house and yet they're burning across the street and we received no notification.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

In addition, when they cleared the property across the street, they also sprayed chemicals on the property - some kind of herbicide to keep the plants from coming back. We have well water and I don't know what the effect is going to have on the well water, but you can imagine the worry of a parent when we're drinking the water that comes from the

ground where we see those chemicals sprayed. I know that they're hazardous chemicals because I have seen them with their hazardous material suits on. My husband does have it on video tape so if you'd like to see it, you can have film footage of them poisoning the land that we live around.

2.0

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Yes, ma'am.

MS. SCHICATANO-DUVALL: My name is Teresa Schicatano-Duvall and my father owns 54 acres on Curry Road and has owned it since 1968. The frontage is on Curry Road. He is in the Town of Colonie. He also received no notification. If it wasn't for the work that Suzanne has done, we would have never known. She has done a lot of hard work, so she should receive some kind of accolades for that.

He has never been notified. We've had a small farm stand where people sell trees and that type of stuff. We went for permitting for that type of use, but it was always industrialized. There is only two houses next to us. They have a small business operation. There are no other houses around us -- where my mother lives and Weatherguard Roofing. So,

there are very few houses, but it is now zoned residential. The tax bill comes with small building use on it. It's supposedly a rezone to residential. There is an issue all the way around as far as it being rezoned and how it's indicated on the tax bill and what it really is.

2.0

Obviously, Pine Bush has approached us and they bought 24 acres that my father owned on the other side of the street. We were told that was wetlands when it was taxed commercial all those years and all of the sudden it became wetlands. So, there seems to be another agenda.

I also sat as the Chairman of the Board of the Latham Chamber of Commerce, which is now Colonie Chamber of Commerce. These discussions were going on way back about the Conservation Overlays and there was a moratorium in our area of building which was specifically discussed within the Town. That was brought in front of the Chamber of Commerce, but it was said that no building was going to be allowed whether it was residential or commercial. That was because it was being

looked at because by the Pine Bush Preserve and the Nature Conservancy being a federal agency and part of the state. Additionally, that the regulations were being brought down from the top.

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

So, I think that there is more than what's being admitted here. I think that everybody needs to be brought forward with what the real facts are, and really look at what the zoning laws are, and what the people want and how much in taxes did they pay versus what's the revenue that they're going to get if they decide to sell. People should have been notified. They really weren't. I can remember that The Crossings had a meeting, but it was never really specifically addressed what it was for. It was dots put up on the walls and it was really not told what it was for. I was there.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.

Anybody else who hasn't been heard?

22 MR. CAPONERA: I don't know if the

Planning Board knows it, but when the Pine

Bush buys a piece of property, they normally

clear cut. It did happen to several clients of

1	mine who own property adjacent to it and they
2	went wild. They said, Victor, come on over to
3	my property. There was nothing there, just
4	this wide expanse of sand. This is what
5	Ms. Scarff was just talking about. What they
6	want there is the Pine Bush to grow; the scrub
7	pine. That's what happens and a lot of times
8	they burn it. They're creating the area that
9	is indigenous, I suppose, to the Karner with
10	just the scrub pine.
11	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.
12	What does the Board think of closing this
13	part of the hearing and keeping it open for
14	written statements?
15	MR. NARDACCI: I think that we should do
16	that. I think that a few weeks for any other
17	additional information or written comments.
18	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, today is the $14^{th}$ .
19	MR. LANE: What is our time limit before
20	we make a recommendation to the Town Board?
21	MR. LACIVITA: I would have to know what
22	the time line is, just from an MIS
23	perspective, to try to get this mapping done
24	too.
25	MR. LANE: So the clock hasn't started

1	running.
2	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Well, let's keep
3	comments open to the last day of the month,
4	which would be June $30^{th}$ . Will we be able to
5	get maps together by then?
6	MR. LACIVITA: I would hope so.
7	CHAIRMAN STUTO: We'll be able to
8	deliberate as a Board still after that.
9	Tim, I don't have an exact date for you,
10	but the comments are open until the $30^{\text{th}}$ . So,
11	the time will run at least until then. And our
12	decision is only going to be a recommendation.
13	Do we have a motion to close the oral
14	portion of the hearing?
15	MR. NARDACCI: I'll make a motion.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: And have written
17	comments until June 30th.
18	MR. MION: I'll second.
19	CHAIRMAN STUTO: All those in favor?
20	(Ayes were recited.)
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: All those opposed?
22	(There were none opposed.)
23	CHAIRMAN STUTO: The ayes have it.
24	Thank you everybody for coming.
25	Thank you, Suzanne, in particular for all

1	the hard work you put in.
2	
3	Whereas the above entitled matter was
4	concluded at 11:53 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	I, NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART, Shorthand
5	Reporter, New York State Approved Transcriber
6	and Notary Public in and for the State of New
7	York, hereby CERTIFY that the record taken by
8	me at the time and place noted in the heading
9	hereof is a true and accurate transcript of
10	same, to the best of my ability and belief.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART
16	
17	
18	Dated September 16, 2011
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	