1	PLANNING BOARD COUNTY OF ALBANY
2	TOWN OF COLONIE
3	**************************************
4	402 ALBANY-SHAKER ROAD APPLICATION FOR MINOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL
5	***************
6	THE TAPED AND TRANSCRIBED MINUTES of the above entitled proceeding BY NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART
7	commencing on May 10, 2011 at 7:38 p.m. at the Public Operations Center 347 Old Niskayuna Road,
8	Latham, New York 12110
9	BOARD MEMBERS:
10	PETER STUTO, CHAIRMAN
11	MICHAEL SULLIVAN LOUIS MION
12	TIMOTHY LANE KATHLEEN DALTON
13	PAUL ROSANO ELENA VAIDA, Esq., Attorney for the Planning Board
14 15	Also present:
16	Tom Andres, ABD Engineering
17	Joe LaCivita, Director, Planning and Economic Development
18	Victor Caponera, Esq.
19	Peter Faith, PE, Greenman-Pedersen
20	Tom Johnson, PE, Barton and Loguidice
21	Christian King
22	Jeffrey Baker, Esq., Green Meadows Civic
23	Association
24	Kathy Ordway, President, Green Meadows Civic
25	Association

1	[Also present - continued]
2	
3	Susan Smith
4	Jim Kitts
5	Margaret D. Binsse
6	Kelly Farrell
7	Judith Tate
8	Mary Alice Morgan
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Ţ	CHAIRMAN STUTU: Papa John's.
2	MR. LACIVITA: Papa John's is located at
3	402 Albany-Shaker Road.
4	From the April 12 th Planning Board
5	meeting, the Board reviewed the minor project,
6	that typically would have been an
7	administrative action. Through a Zoning Board
8	decision that came out, the Board was required
9	to review the project for five various
10	components which we discussed on April 12th.
11	We were able to get through the majority of
12	those comments and tonight we're here to
13	discuss the traffic component.
14	With that, I'll turn it over to
15	Mr. Caponera.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Who is to your left?
17	MR. LACIVITA: This is Tom Johnson. He's
18	the TDE that will discuss the traffic
19	component.
20	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Hi Tom. I've spoken to
21	you on the phone. I haven't met you yet.
22	Mr. Caponera?
23	MR. CAPONERA: Thank you Mr. Chairman,
24	members of the Board.
25	Mr. LaCivita is correct. As you recall,

the last time that we were here, there were several Board Members who had asked us to go back and do a traffic study. That was done.

2.0

With us tonight is Peter Faith and he's with Greenman-Pedersen. Obviously Tom Andres is here. He was here the last time.

Mr. Christian King is here also.

As we all recall, that was the main issue. I believe we needed to have that done with regard to the Zoning Board of Appeals decision. Also, I think that the Board was pretty hard on getting this redesigned.

As you can see with the foam board, we redesigned and what we presented to you is eons different from what this is. This is a far more attractive colonial looking structure and we also had a look at the left in and left out.

In the meantime, the attorney that represents the association that was here the last time, Mr. Baker, and I had the opportunity to discuss some of the concerns that the neighborhood had. I believe that but for some changes in the language - there was a letter that was submitted.

Τ	Do you have that, Mr. Chairman?
2	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What letter are we
3	talking about?
4	MR. CAPONERA: Mr. Baker's of May 10 th .
5	While you're spreading that out, I'd like
6	to go through it. The neighbors had some
7	concerns and Mr. Baker and I reviewed those
8	concerns and I have discussed them with my
9	client and his engineer. I think that for the
10	most part we're compatible with these
11	requirements and suggestions. I'll walk you
12	through them, if you don't mind.
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Please do.
14	MR. CAPONERA: Basically, as everybody
15	knows, the history of this property is a gas
16	station and repair facility that's changed
17	over the years. The Valvoline, that closed
18	recently, was an oil change and radiator fluid
19	changing facility. Before our client purchased
20	this property, obviously, he needed to do a
21	Phase I and they determined that there is a
22	couple of tanks - not large tanks, but small
23	tanks that had to be removed. The study that
24	was done by virtue of the testing showed that
25	there was no contamination either in the

ground water or in the soil, but that the tanks have to removed. Mr. Baker and I discussed this with the concerns of his client and they are certainly the concerns of my client. We both want to make sure that the property is clean and meets the requirements of DEC. So, the engineers went out and got a spill numbered permit from DEC and he's going to do whatever it takes to remediate this property, including the removal of the tanks.

2.0

One of the things that Mr. Baker and I talked about today was a condition that this Board be put on approval relative to what's called a community air monitoring plan; CAMP. I know that, not like pitching a tent, this is not the type of CAMP that we're talking about. Basically, it is the Department of Health regulation program that's administered by DEC.

I think that Mr. Baker wants to make that a condition to this and our submission to the Board would be certainly if it's something that DEC wants to see done and it's required, we'll do it. We don't think that's going to be needed under the circumstances, but we're going to follow DEC's lead on this and make

sure that the property is remediated.

2.0

One thing that everyone here should know that when you're dealing with gas stations is that sometimes DEC requires monitoring and testing. I don't think that's going to be required here, nor does Mr. King's expert in this. If it is, we'll do that too.

One of the comments by Mr. Baker was that you couldn't get a CO until everything was remediated by DEC. We all know that if there is a requirement for monitoring, you can still get a CO. The fact of the matter is that we're going to comply with DEC and get this resolved.

The other issue is the visual impacts. Obviously, we've taken care of that by the proposal and the elevations that you see in your packet.

Some of the other conditions were that the site plan should identify all outdoor lighting, which it has. It's all going to be down-styled. I always use the word shoebox. Does that make sense? That's so it doesn't spill off the property.

One of the other issues was the neighbors

wanted to make sure that when Papa John's closed that the place wasn't lit all night long. I don't think that Mr. King has any desire of keeping the electricity bills running all night. When it's closed and the employees leave, he's not going to run all the lights.

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

One of the other requirements, if you remember the last time we were here, was a wonderful freestanding sign that's on the property. I'm joking, of course. It's not one of the most attractive signs. I go by it three to five times a day. Mr. King indicated to the Board that he intends on taking that sign down. Presently, he has no intention of a freestanding sign so long as the signs, as you see here, are allowed by the Town. That will require probably an appearance before an enlightened Board called the Sign Review Board. As long as the Sign Review Board grants it, he has no problem of no freestanding sign. Of course, I told Mr. Baker that we would need the assistance of the neighborhood writing a letter to the Sign Review Board indicating that it's necessary that they prefer not to

1	have a freestanding sign. So, our position is
2	that we can't sit here and ask the Board to
3	set as a condition that there be no
4	freestanding sign if we can't get the signs
5	that we are asking for. We had no intension of
6	doing it as long as we can get this.
7	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What do you believe that
8	you are entitled to?
9	MR. CAPONERA: One wall sign.
10	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What you're entitled to?
11	MR. CAPONERA: Yes.
12	MR. KING: One wall and one freestanding.
13	Just like what we did at 471 Troy-Schenectady
14	Road. We do anticipate this being a positive
15	outcome, but we won't know until we get that.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: So you're entitled to
17	one wall, or one wall and one free standing?
18	MR. CAPONERA: Both; one freestanding and
19	one wall. We want just two walls.
20	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I understand.
21	MR. CAPONERA: It shouldn't be a problem,
22	but you never know.
23	MR. LANE: Is that already scheduled for
24	the Sign Review Board?
25	MR. KING: Not yet.

MR. CAPONERA: We didn't want to be presumptuous, obviously, until we get through this part.

2.0

The other item was my client agreed to a condition requiring that Green Meadows not be used as a thru-street to avoid the intersection of Everett and Albany-Shaker and we don't have any problem with that either.

Obviously, Green Meadows is going to be used for local deliveries.

I know that we had asked the Board's consideration for hours of operation and we actually asked the Board for a straw pull before we left. The hours were from 10:30 in the morning until 11:00 at night. One of the conditions that the homeowners were asking for was that we agreed that we got to close by 11:00 and we don't have any problem with that either.

So, Mr. Chairman, those are the points that Mr. Baker and I talked about and I think that from a general comment, we don't have any issues with those requests with the exception of the signs that I just mentioned. Again, we'll comply with DEC and if we have to do the

CAMP, we'll certainly do that.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay, do you have

someone that wants to talk about the traffic

study?

MR. CAPONERA: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Let's do that.

MR. FAITH: Thank you. My name is Peter

Faith. I'm with Greenman-Pedersen Engineers.

Our office is located right on Wolf Road.

We did the traffic study for this project.

2.0

The site is a bit challenging in terms of turning in and out. It's like any corner property at a busy signalized intersection. So when we decided to do an analysis to give the Board some information on how to evaluate this property, we decided to compare it to different types of projects that could be on this site within the Town Code. We went through the trip generation analysis, and as it turned out, there are five different land uses. One of those land uses was for the Papa John's facility. We used information from the trip generation manual to come up with all the standards for every land use except for the Papa John's. Fortunately, we had the ability

to do the traffic counts at the recently opened Papa John's facility to come up with real live trip generation rates in the Town of Colonie. The short answer is that of the five different land uses that were analyzed in our traffic study, the Papa John's generates the least amount when you look at the two peak hours that we looked at; the AM and the afternoon peak hour. The only alternative land use that was close or actually the same in the afternoon peak hour was a bank without a drive-thru facility. So, we recognize that a bank will also generate some traffic in the morning. People come in the morning to transact business. We were able to draw our conclusion out of all the land use that could be built on this site in terms of pure traffic generation, Papa John's facility would have the least amount of impact on the operations of Albany-Shaker and Everett Road. The challenge of turning in and out of the two locations obviously is based on the

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

queues of the traffic at this intersection. We

felt that based on the speed of the traffic

approaching the intersection, and also the

as the traffic is held to a minimal amount and the least amount of generated based on the land use on the site, that the access points function adequately. It's similar to any other development on a corner property. It's not too much different than right down the street on Everett Road at the Mobil Mart that has two access points right opposite an intersection. This land use carries less traffic than a Mobil Mart. It was one of the alternate land uses that we analyzed.

2.0

I won't speak for Tom, but the site has been reviewed and we generally find that the comments coincide with our conclusions.

We note that the County Department of
Public Works has asked for a restriction of
the left turn into the driveway on Everett
Road. We were proposing to do that by putting
a sign within a county right of way, right at
the driveway. A motorist approaching the site
from Albany-Shaker Road will be able to see
the no left turn sign at this location so that
they can continue on through and take a right
into the property right at Albany-Shaker Road,

1	and then take this short turn onto Everett
2	Road.
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Are there any other turn
4	restrictions proposed?
5	MR. CAPONERA: No.
6	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Tom Johnson is our
7	engineer with Barton, and Loguidice and he has
8	studied the traffic report.
9	Tom, can you tell us what your
10	conclusions are?
11	MR. JOHNSON: Sure. Basically, we did
12	review the traffic study in relation to his
13	other representations with regard to traffic.
14	I have six comments overall. The first five
15	were directly related to the traffic study.
16	We did agree with the number of trips
17	that was in the estimated traffic study of the
18	PM peak hour and in the morning. It's always
19	good to get some local information when you
20	can do it, which is what the applicant did
21	with the other Papa John's. We did agree with
22	the numbers. We also agreed that of all the
23	land uses that we did study for the project as
24	a comparison, only Papa John's or a bank
25	without a drive-thru window would generate the

1	least amount of traffic of all the uses of the
2	site.
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Would that be less than
4	the oil change use?
5	MR. JOHNSON: That would generate 10 to
6	12 trips during the PM peak hour. This would
7	be slightly more than that. It's still minimal
8	in my opinion.
9	Four is that we do concur with the
10	analysis results that the traffic from the
11	site will not adversely impact the
12	intersection of Albany-Shaker Road and
13	Everett Road.
14	Comment number five - there is a note
15	that it is difficult at times to access the
16	site during the peak hour. It is challenging.
17	We do agree with this statement.
18	The Albany County Planning Department
19	suggests that the DPW look at possibly
20	regulating left turns at Everett Road.
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: You suggest that we do
22	that and that be a restriction?
23	MR. JOHNSON: At least have a look at it
24	The lefts in would probably be more
25	problematic than the left out. At the time of

1	the letter, the DPW was currently reviewing
2	that area.
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: That sign that is being
4	suggested - did they do any good?
5	MR. JOHNSON: They're not the best
6	solution but they do help, yes.
7	MR. FAITH: If I could offer my opinion?
8	In my experience the signs help and are a
9	viable alterative.
10	MR. JOHNSON: I would agree with that.
11	The last comment has to do with the
12	Albany County Planning Board's note that the
13	Town consider the impact of delivery trucks
14	and drivers traveling through the Green
15	Meadows neighborhood. Such a route is longer
16	in distance and there would be more stops in a
17	signalized intersection. I don't envision
18	delivery trucks actually using the
19	neighborhood.
20	That wraps up the comments that I have.
21	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay.
22	Do you want to add anything else before
23	you go to the Board Members?
24	MR. FAITH: If I could just say that Tom
25	said that the DPW was currently reviewing at

1	the time of your letter. There was a letter
2	from the DPW dated May $9^{\rm th}$ and I don't know if
3	the Board has that or not. I'd like to ask
4	about the left turn restriction into the site.
5	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can you read the comment
6	of what they said?
7	MR. FAITH: Yes. We are considering
8	prohibition of left turns into the property
9	from Everett Road. Additional restrictions are
10	not expected unless undesirable traffic
11	patterns arise.
12	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.
13	Mr. Caponera, do you have anything else
14	before we go to the Board?
15	MR. CAPONERA: That's pretty much it.
16	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Kathy?
17	MS. DALTON: I just want to say that I
18	think that you guys did a really good job
19	addressing the comments that we had the last
20	time. I think that the redesigned building is
21	really very attractive and I just want to
22	commend you for how well you seemed to have
23	worked together. I don't have questions. That
24	was my only comment.
25	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Lou?

1	MR. MION: I have to agree with Kathy. I
2	sat down there. Since the last meeting I was
3	there three different times between 4:00 and
4	5:30 and observed the traffic. There is
5	traffic down there, but I think that we can
6	work with what's there. Congratulations, it's
7	a job well done.
8	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Mike?
9	MR. SULLIVAN: I don't know if this is a
10	question for Mr. Johnson or not.
11	There will be no restrictions on left
12	turns out onto Everett Road? Is that a correct
13	understanding?
14	MR. CAPONERA: No.
15	MR. SULLIVAN: And you agree with that
16	recommendation?
17	MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
18	MR. SULLIVAN: That's all I had.
19	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Tim?
20	MR. LANE: There's no question that the
21	elevations look better. On the April 21st
22	letter from the Albany County Planning Board
23	under 4, it refers to the fact that you be
24	required to work with the DCC. Is this in
25	reference to removing the tank?

1 MR. ANDRES: That is not. 2 MR. LANE: That's a separate issue. 3 MR. ANDRES: Yes, but as was noted earlier there are tanks that need to be 5 removed from the site. This is additional dry 6 well that was also tested. Nothing was found. This was in order to be able to put a cooler in the back. 9 MR. LANE: Do you need New York State DEC 10 permits to do that? 11 MR. ANDRES: We don't believe that we'll 12 need a DEC permit because of the stormwater 13 structure. However, there is a concern because 14 the sump pump was taken out of the basement of 15 the building. When we have the other tanks 16 removed we'll have another company look at 17 that to make sure that it is okay. If there is 18 a problem, we'll have to deal with that. 19 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Paul? 2.0 MR. ROSANO: As what Lou said before, I 21 spent three nights sitting in that parking 22 lot, myself. I actually had my wife with me. I 23 wanted another set of eyes. I actually made 24 her drive from Glenmont up Albany-Shaker Road

25

in 5:00 traffic to see how bad it was getting.

1 There is traffic there. There is no question.

Anybody who goes there knows that there is

3 traffic.

2.0

The only thing that I will comment on is the people that are cutting through that parking lot to miss the light to go down Everett Road. I almost got ran over twice. I don't want to tell you where those cars came from, but I will tell you that they were from the neighborhood. They were young people.

This reminds me so much of the Valdemore on the corner of Osborne and Sand Creek. I can't imagine anybody coming east on Albany-Shaker Road, turning right on Osborne and turning left into this property. I don't see it happening. I think that if you're going to go into that pizza place, you're going to stay on Albany-Shaker Road and you're going to go through the light and you're going to turn right. So, putting up the signs, I think, is going to help. I don't think that it's a big issue. I can't imagine someone turning across three lanes to go into the pizza place from Everett Road. That's my only comment.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: My comments are that I

1	think that the applicant did a good job
2	meeting the neighbors where they needed to be
3	met. I'm not speaking for the neighbors.
4	Is Kathy Ordway here?
5	MS. ORDWAY: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Hi. I recognized you,
7	but didn't put the name and face together. We
8	read your letter into the record last meeting
9	and we took that seriously.
10	We have a letter from Susan O. Smith,
11	President of the Loudonville Neighborhood
12	Association. We've all had that letter for
13	awhile here. We're going to listen to whoever
14	signed on the sheet.
15	I'm glad that Jeffrey Baker signed first
16	because he does represent the neighborhood
17	association.
18	Mr. Baker?
19	MR. BAKER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'll
20	be brief.
21	I think the main point is here just
22	getting some clarification on the question of
23	remediation. We unfortunately are working from
24	a bit of a lack of knowledge because there is
25	nothing in the Town's files that indicate the

1 results of any of this.

2.0

We kind of found out about the level of DEC involvement with the neg dec that was prepared by staff. They found the two tanks that need to be remediated and they found evidence of contamination from the tanks.

There is evidence for contamination in the soils. The levels are relatively significant.

The test consists of organics that come off of the soils and it tells you whether you have to do more intense studies. That's what triggers the report with DEC.

When I talked to DEC about two weeks ago, the person that I spoke with last had not received any of the soil data from the test. I don't know if they didn't have it, or if I was talking to the wrong person. The fact is that I don't have any data to go by. All they're asking for is that there should be a specific condition in the permit that makes it very clear. I'm not trying to be overly burdensome to the applicant here. All onsite soil remediation which means excavating and digging it up - that's what you usually do when you're digging up a tank - is completed prior to a

certificate of occupancy. I'm not saying prior to a building permit, because of some building work that they might be doing have to be concurrent and that's not a problem. For a certificate of occupancy, the remediation should be completed. During the time of excavation, it can't be in place. We're willing to differ to DEC and DOH on that. The CAMP governs the chemicals and any particular matter that could come up in the courts of the excavation and those are fairly common.

2.0

God forbid it turns out while they're doing the investigation that there has actually been off site migration because it was a service station for decades. If there was off-site contamination, I trust that DEC will deal with that. That's going to be a bigger problem. We're not saying that's actually going to be a factor to hold up the construction, but we want o make sure that the remediation on-site has been completed to DEC's satisfaction prior to going forward. I think that's pretty reasonable.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Are you and Mr. Caponera in agreement on that? I know what you said

ng.

MR. CAPONERA: We're going to wait until

he's finished.

4 MS. VAIDA: I have a question for

5 Mr. Baker.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Are you saying that DEC wouldn't allow that place to open because of the safety -

MR. BAKER: It's not necessarily an issue of safety to the building or to the people inside. They have a more narrow jurisdiction of how you remediate it. This is an oil spill. We're not dealing with any other type of thing. They remediate and clean up oil spills in-house all the time. They're not going to require you, necessarily, to abandon the property. They have their own narrow focus. It's not the same thing. You have your focus that you have to look at. I'm trying to bring the groups together here and make sure that

physically, you can't finish the work that's going to be done. I don't know. We don't have any of the information. I'm just trying to make sure that we're not inadvertently

it's done together. It may not. They may say

because of the nature of the excavation,

1	allowing, from the Town's perspective,
2	construction to go forward. This is not a DEC
3	permitting process as if I'm getting a
4	wetlands permit or something that has the
5	public involvement or commentary where it's
6	easy to know what the DEC is doing and they
7	don't have to solicit public comment.
8	MS. VAIDA: Have you contacted the DEC?
9	MR. BAKER: Yes, I said that the person
10	that I talked to at Region 4 that they don't
11	have any data. It's an open case that hasn't
12	been closed. As far as I know, none of the
13	data has been submitted to the Town.
14	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Does the applicant have
15	a reaction to that requested condition?
16	MR. KING: Thank you. I'm Christian King.
17	We did initial Phase I which requires
18	full borings down to the ground water. DEC
19	came back and asked for an additional six.
20	Those have been completed and all the analysis
21	has been done. The report is being prepared by
22	the DEC right now. There is zero groundwater
23	contamination, which is our biggest concern
24	out of the gate.
25	There is a plume of a foot - about

16 feet down which is a very, very small area that has very old type materials. The early indication is that DEC is not going to require us to dig that up. So, what we have to do is two things; deal with the 440 gallon waste oil tank which is right here in front of the two service bays, which we're really required to dig out. We want to get them out. There is also a heating oil tank that is no longer used to heat the facility. We now have natural gas. Really what's going to happen is those are going to go out.

2.0

One of the things that I want to urge everybody is that DEC is one of the most oversightful agencies that I've ever dealt with in any of the businesses that I own. They don't allow you to do anything unless you do it the right way. I think that Mr. Baker and the neighborhood association can rest assured that once that is all done, they'll monitor every phase of everything 100 percent through.

One thing that I do want to make clear is that the camp that Mr. Baker is referring to is a DOH program that is an interagency. DEC enforces it and makes sure that it's adhered

1	to. So, we're well aware of it. I'm in the gas
2	station business. I've cleaned up multiple
3	sites over the years. All indications are that
4	all we're going to be doing is taking out this
5	tank, this tank and the 450 gallon tanks are
6	small tanks. I just wanted to make that clear.
7	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Is there something that
8	DEC does when that is completed?
9	MR. KING: Yes, they give you an NFA
10	letter. That's a no further action letter.
11	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Is that what you're
12	looking for specifically - and NFA letter
13	prior to a CO, Mr. Baker?
14	MR. BAKER: Generally, that's probably
15	right. Sometimes those NFA letters can be held
16	up for a period of time, but usually the case
17	of groundwater and the soil I think that to
18	put a requirement for an NFA letter here prior
19	to a CO is probably reasonable. From what
20	Christian is saying, we're not claiming that
21	this is the worst site in the world.
22	MR. KING: The only comment I'd make on
23	the NFA letter is that it may take them four,
24	five, or six months for them to issue it. I
25	don't want to be held up on the CO for that. I

Ţ	think that we could be overstepping some
2	bounds here on what the Town is really looking
3	at here. The DEC is the DEC and we're hoping
4	that they monitor it all along. The problem is
5	that once we submit the reports, they have to
6	review them. We may be ready to open and they
7	haven't issued the NFA letter. So, that it
8	would be conditioned on that NFA letter could
9	be a little cumbersome.
10	CHAIRMAN STUTO: What do the two
11	attorneys suggest to bridge that gap?
12	MR. CAPONERA: We've already agreed that
13	we would comply with the DEC rules and
14	regulations. We've already agreed that we're
15	going to get a no further action letter. I
16	think that Jeff wanted to make sure that was
17	done. I've gone through this with other
18	clients. It takes some time to get that no
19	further action letter.
20	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Can the Town issue a
21	conditional CO?
22	MR. LACIVITA: Yes, we have done those in
23	the past, Peter. We do have a condition on
24	there that the applicant will comply with any
25	DEC response - or something like that, if that

1 would be the condition of approval. MR. BAKER: DEC tends to be more 3 responsible in getting out the NFA if there is a deal pending there. I think that the 5 condition of the CO might be a good thing. MR. LACIVITA: That would be a requirement that we would follow up on so we could take a look at that condition. 9 CHAIRMAN STUTO: Before you get the 10 final. 11 Does that work? 12 MR. BAKER: I'm satisfied with that. 13 I have only one other point. In terms of 14 design, I don't know what your normal practice 15 is on this. This is sort of a chicken and the 16 egg. What if there is a condition of no 17 freestanding sign conditioned upon getting the 18 variance from the Sign Review Board, or 19 something like that? That's just so that the 2.0 whole thing is taken care of. 21 We'd like to have these conditions 22 written into the permits - specifically the 23 restriction on delivery drivers using Green 24 Meadows Road so if worse comes to worse, there

25

is something that can actually be enforced.

1	CHAIRMAN STUTO: To the building permit
2	or to the CO?
3	MR. BAKER: To your approval.
4	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Okay.
5	MR. BAKER: That's all I've got.
6	MS. VAIDA: There was an issue that you
7	brought up last time about the Albany County
8	Planning Board. You were concerned about that
9	at the last meeting.
10	MR. BAKER: They've given a review and
11	their approval. I could quibble a little bit
12	on traffic study, but we're happy with the
13	changes that have gone through. We'll still
14	have the concerns going forward. The best case
15	scenario would be not to have this open until
16	11:00. Having a hard stop at the closing of
17	11:00 and turning the lights off - we just
18	want to make it clear in terms of no lights.
19	By the way, the design here is much
20	better.
21	The exterior signs are turned off at
22	11:00. We'd like to have the building as
23	reasonably dark as possible, absent the
24	security lights inside. Clearly, we're not
25	going to have the big glass area with the

shining bright lights coming out late at night and that's a lot better. We're pretty satisfied with this.

2.0

MR. KING: What we typically do is that we have three lights inside; one in the back room, one in the production area and one out front. Typically because this site is going to be dark, we'll probably leave a couple of night lights on - on the downward because we don't want our people walking out to a dark parking lot, obviously. So, there will be a couple down lit here and here (Indicating). It won't be lit like normal, but just to make sure that there is some exterior lighting. Other than that, we don't anticipate any of the lights being on.

CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.

MR. KING: Jeff, the only other thing is that I want to be clear. At 11:00 we close and we have to clean. We might not get out of there until 11:30 or 11:45. So, while we're closing at 11:00, all the lights might be on until we shut down. I just want to make that clear. We agree to an 11:00 closing and we just want to make sure that at the latest on a

1	busy night, if we haven't gotten to cleaning
2	early on, it might be midnight before we're
3	out of there and the lights are all shut down.
4	MR. BAKER: We understand that.
5	MR. SULLIVAN: The wall lights would go
6	out at 11:00 though, right?
7	MR. KING: Yes.
8	CHAIRMAN STUTO: If you're done,
9	Mr. Baker, I'm going to go through the list
10	here of the neighbors. You may have already
11	addressed some of their concerns.
12	Kathy Ordway.
13	MS. ORDWAY: I'm Kathy Ordway, President
14	of the Green Meadows Association and it's good
15	to see you all on the Board.
16	I would just like to explore briefly with
17	Mr. King or with the Board the major concern
18	that we have about delivery traffic cutting
19	through our neighborhood. If you've been at
20	the intersection during these business hours,
21	you know what the back up is and we really
22	worry that delivery person would take a right
23	into Green Meadows.
24	I don't have to describe to you the
25	amount of pedestrian traffic that we have in

the neighborhood. I'm talking about older people walking their dogs to three-year olds that are learning to ride their bikes with training wheels. It all exists in our neighborhood. That's part of our environment there. We want that.

2.0

I'm very pleased that Mr. King has agreed to make that an issue with Papa John's that the delivery drivers will be instructed not to cut through the neighborhoods.

You're not really in the pizza business though, right?

MR. KING: Yes, we are in the pizza business.

MS. ORDWAY: I would just like to have an understanding of what you will be doing to make sure that you, yourself, since you're the one agreeing to this, will be doing to make sure that those drivers who probably will be young people changing over periods of time from one person to another - from four people to another four people, how are you going to actually enforce that agreement that you have made that you will make sure that the delivery drivers will not cut through our neighborhood?

1 MR. KING: I guess a couple of things. 2 The level of service out on Albany-Shaker has 3 shown that the traffic is a level C, which is good. Our folks are going to go out of their 5 way to make a left so I think that's number 6 one. I think that most of it is going to be right there. Secondly, the traffic study that was done 9 in the peak hours for this area is 4:30 to 10 5:30. It's not going to really start getting 11 busy until after that. The level of service 12 actually increases. 13 As far as polices of the store, as we do before every evening rush, we discuss with our 14 15 drivers line by line items about going over 16 that safety thing; buckle up, no loud music, 17 the whole thing that you go through with these 18 guys. We will obviously continue to impress 19 upon them what the access is.

MS. ORDWAY: You have a store manager there, also?

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KING: Yes, we have a store manager and an assistant manager. Part of those operations are going over the responsibilities of the drivers.

Τ	MS. ORDWAY: But you're not on the site
2	all the time.
3	MR. KING: No, I'm not on site.
4	MS. ORDWAY: So, you're saying that part
5	of the induction of new drivers will be safety
6	issues, which will be not to cut through
7	neighborhoods.
8	MR. KING: We have driver policies. Like
9	I said, we review them daily. There is safety
10	and we will include a line item about not
11	cutting through neighborhoods.
12	MS. ORDWAY: Thank you.
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Mary Alice Morgan.
14	MS. MORGAN: I had not seen the proposed
15	changes to the former Valvoline place. Is it
16	still the same building that they're going to
17	use? Where is the other sign that was
18	mentioned?
19	MR. CAPONERA: One faces Everett and one
20	faces Albany-Shaker.
21	MS. MORGAN: So you still have a large
22	amount of grass, but not as much as before.
23	MR. CAPONERA: There is much more grass
24	than before.
25	MS. MORGAN: The light pollution, because

of our neighbors across the street, is a problem. I understand that this isn't totally shut down if you're very busy and can't get everything cleaned up, but it is a big difference once you have a business. The light pollution — the kind of lighting that was mentioned was down lighting. Will they be on — the ones that are for the employees — as they exit the building? You mentioned that there is down lights on the outside of the building. Will they stay on at that point or do they turn off after that?

2.0

MS. KING: We can do whatever the Board likes, in that regard. My anticipation is having the three night lights that are inside; one in the back, one in the production area and one at front, as we do in all our facilities -- because there is some site lighting on the site, we would probably be coupled with down lights on and turn the majority of them off so that our folks can safely exit at night. If it's the desire of the Board to have a separate timer on that, we can do that and say shut them off at like, 1:00. That would be fine.

1	MS. DALTON: Mr. King, on this side of
2	the Board, we're concerned with the security
3	of that area, if it's not lit at all. One of
4	the things that I like being influenced by the
5	fact that I currently have an 18-year old son
6	who goes out and stays out way later than
7	midnight. So, kids wandering around and
8	hanging out - I would prefer to see that
9	properly lighted.
10	MR. KING: We don't want it being
11	burglarized or vandalized, similarly like what
12	happened at the LA Dodgers stadium with the
13	lights in the parking lot. We're willing to
14	work with what the Board's desires are.
15	MR. LACIVITA: Down style lighting is one
16	of the design standards within the Town of
17	Colonie, anyway. It's nothing outside the
18	realm of what we have for design standards.
19	MS. MORGAN: I don't remember what the
20	height of those lights either.
21	MR. LACIVITA: On the building itself, I
22	think that it's just based on the height of
23	the building itself.
24	MS. MORGAN: And they're shielded?
25	MR. LACIVITA: They're shielded with down

styles; like a shoebox.

2.0

MS. MORGAN: About the traffic - we live there. I still think that there is a possibility that it could be more of a problem than a visit during one hour of that traffic cycle. I would hope that the police or whoever takes care of traffic safety in the Town of Colonie will keep an eye on how that develops, especially during rush hour. It may be normal to you, but when you live there and you try to come and go during those rush hours, it doesn't seem like an easy thing at all.

MR. LACIVITA: I think that is incumbent on the neighborhood association. There is a process through the Highway Safety Committee that if you see cut through traffic that you can actually bring that to the Planning Department. There is a form on file to sign and get those recommendations heard, if that tends to be a problem. That was going to be one of the things that I was going to mention here to the Planning Board. Although Mr. King can do the utmost to try to tell his drivers not to cut through, it may not be a Papa John's delivery. It might be another delivery

1	and there might be other cut through traffic.
2	You can go to the Highway Safety Committee in
3	order to get yourself heard and get on their
4	agenda and see what they could possibly do for
5	you.
6	MS. DALTON: Ma'am, I would just remind
7	you that I think that Mr. King is hoping that
8	some of your neighbors will order pizzas so
9	there will be Papa John's cars coming through.
10	So, just be a little judicious in terms of
11	whether you think that it's reached some kind
12	of critical mess beyond pizza eating people in
13	your neighborhood.
14	MS. MORGAN: The worse case scenario is
15	somebody going through at high speed when
16	there are kids out playing, as there are in
17	that neighborhood.
18	MS. DALTON: I understand completely.
19	MS. MORGAN: I walk at night and I do
20	make sure that I have something on that's
21	white, but I would want to keep an eye on that
22	myself. Thank you, very much.
23	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.
24	Jim Kitts.
25	MR. KITTS: Good evening. I want to thank

1	Christian for listening to us and coming up
2	with a design that certainly fits the
3	neighborhood more than the Las Vegas strip.
4	What is the size of the signs on the
5	building? Are they 16-inch, 48-inch?
6	MR. KING: They're four feet by 10-feet
7	wide.
8	MR. KITTS: With the lighting - there
9	isn't going to be any parking lot lights or
10	pole lights?
11	MR. KING: At this time, there wasn't an
12	proposal to have any pole lights. We may have
13	to look at that later if the Town has any
14	issues with the perimeter lights.
15	MR. KITTS: Again, my feeling is that if
16	we need pole lights, then they should go out
17	when the store closes.
18	What about snow removal? You're going to
19	have snow here.
20	MR. KING: We do have snow here, but we
21	have an actual spot that we chose for
22	stacking. That was one of the conditions that
23	the Planning Department requested. On all the
24	properties that I have we have snow removal
25	and we bring in a back hoe and dump truck.

1	MR. KITTS: That's all I have, thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Susan Smith.
3	MS. SMITH: I appreciate the opportunity
4	to speak. I just wanted to say first that the
5	revised elevations are terrific. You made a
6	wonderful attempt to blend in with the rest of
7	the neighborhood. I think that it's also
8	important for the down lighting.
9	We share the concerns of the civic
10	organization and thank you for your work.
11	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.
12	Margaret Binsse and Kelly Farrell.
13	MS. FARRELL: First I want to thank
14	Mr. King for taking our opinion into
15	consideration in redesigning the new building.
16	We think that it's more aesthetically pleasing
17	now. I know that we discussed last time the
18	tinting. I don't know if that's still an
19	option.
20	MR. KING: We reduced the glass by almost
21	two-thirds. Obviously the tinting is a whole
22	different design and I'd prefer not to do
23	that. We've been trying to make it fit in, but
24	also it's a business.
25	MS. FARRELL: We do appreciate you

1	working with us. It does look a lot better.
2	MS. BINSSE: We didn't see the design up
3	close like this. It looks good.
4	MR. KING: Thank you, very much.
5	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you.
6	Judith Tate.
7	MS. TATE: I'd like to thank you for the
8	redesign change. It's a big improvement.
9	Last time we were here we talked about
10	the accident report.
11	MR. LANE: We were going to ask for
12	those.
13	MR. LACIVITA: We had got them from
14	Highway Safety and I believe that I passed
15	them on.
16	MR. ROSANO: Joe, there was a comment
17	that they were minimal.
18	MR. LACIVITA: Yes, they were minimal in
19	comparison.
20	MR. ROSANO: There was actually something
21	from Ken Pirro to that concern.
22	MR. LACIVITA: Mr. Pero did not see it as
23	an issue based on the number count. Actually,
24	since they've changed and they've reconfigured
25	Everett Road, the number count has gone down

Ι	over time. We felt it to be not a concern.
2	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Anybody else from the
3	neighborhood?
4	(There was no response.)
5	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Let's try to keep a list
6	of the conditions.
7	MR. LACIVITA: I think that I have most
8	of them here and I think that they were kind
9	of going back and forth.
10	MS. VAIDA: I think that we have to do
11	SEQRA first.
12	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Where are we with SEQRA
13	MS. VAIDA: In the packet there were
14	papers from the last meeting. There was no
15	signature on the environmental impacts. I
16	think that there was a consensus here that
17	this documentation that was presented on the
18	record shows that there will not be any
19	significant adverse environmental impact from
20	this project. In support of that, has everyone
21	reviewed the findings of fact? They are facts
22	that support the negative declaration that's
23	attached to that. That goes into much more
24	detail. If that meets with everyone's
25	approval, then you could take a motion to

1	accept the recommendation. First, an unlisted
2	SEQRA action and that a neg dec be issued.
3	CHAIRMAN STUTO: And I'll just point out
4	that the remediation would be an improvement
5	environmentally.
6	Do we have that motion?
7	MR. MION: I'll make that motion.
8	MR. LANE: Second.
9	CHAIRMAN STUTO: All in favor?
10	(Ayes were recited.)
11	CHAIRMAN STUTO: All opposed?
12	(There were none opposed.)
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: The ayes have it.
14	Conditions?
15	MR. LACIVITA: With reference to the
16	conditions, this letter that was handed to us
17	this evening, I think that it was an action
18	between both attorneys and Mr. King to accept
19	the number of conditions in it. Although there
20	are a couple of caveats in here like no
21	freestanding signs and so on. I think that has
22	to be determined by the Sign Review Board. I
23	think that the body of the letter, as it
24	stands, pretty much sets forth the conditions
25	of the approval.

1	I think that the lighting on the site be
2	used for security purposes and exit purposes,
3	that there will be - as far as the no through
4	traffic - delivery people will be instructed
5	as to a no cut through and then the last thing
6	that I had was the address of the snow
7	removal. Whatever your typical practice is,
8	that snow would be removed from the site as it
9	starts to develop snow.
10	MS. VAIDA: I believe that there is a
11	couple more. There is the condition that they
12	comply with the environmental clean up
13	requirements.
14	What was it that you guys agreed on?
15	CHAIRMAN STUTO: That the conditional C
16	of O could be issued as long as that was being
17	pursued with due diligence. However, the final
18	wouldn't until a no further action letter was
19	received from DEC.
20	MR. KING: I have just one comment. I'm
21	uncomfortable with this letter going into this
22	because of the language. We already had a mess
23	on our hands earlier -
24	MR. LACIVITA: I'm sorry. Maybe I was
25	misunderstood. I would actually take them and

1	make those changes. It would not be the letter
2	itself.
3	MR. KING: I'm sorry. I just wanted to
4	make sure we were clear.
5	MS. VAIDA: What Joe read is really not
6	the wording of the conditions.
7	MR. KING: I just want to be clear.
8	MS. VAIDA: I believe that there was a
9	condition with the left hand turns; is that
10	correct?
11	MR. CAPONERA: No left turns from
12	Everett Road into the site.
13	MS. VAIDA: And the Albany County
14	Department of Public Works?
15	MR. KING: The Albany County Department
16	of Public Works - that's not a condition. We
17	could still be working right through.
18	MS. VAIDA: Okay, did we say that it's
19	going to close by 11:00?
20	MR. LACIVITA: All lights to be turned
21	off by 11:00.
22	MR. KING: But the security.
23	CHAIRMAN STUTO: The hours of operation
24	shall be from 10:30 am to 11:00 PM.
25	MR KING. The illuminated ones can

1	absolutely go off at 11:00. All the interior
2	lights will still be on and the down lighting
3	will be on until we're done inside.
4	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Are we missing any
5	conditions?
6	MS. VAIDA: Were there any other ones
7	that I didn't include, Mr. Baker?
8	MR. BAKER: No.
9	MR. CAPONERA: Just as a matter of
10	protocol, just to go through and make sure
11	we're all on the same page, can we just go
12	through them?
13	CHAIRMAN STUTO: I think that it's a good
14	idea, actually.
15	MR. ROSANO: What about the free standing
16	sign?
17	MS. VAIDA: Well, it wasn't really a
18	freestanding sign.
19	MR. CAPONERA: That was subject to the
20	Sign Review Board.
21	MS. VAIDA: And the lighting after 11:00
22	will only be security lighting and it will be
23	closed by 11:00. The hours of operation will
24	be 10:30 to 11:00 PM.
25	MR. CAPONERA: Papa John's signs to be

1	turned off at 11:00 PM and the rest of the
2	lights, with the exception of the security
3	lighting, off no later than 12:00.
4	MS. VAIDA: And then there is a condition
5	regarding the snow removal.
6	What was the condition on the snow
7	removal?
8	MR. KING: Just a notation on the plan.
9	MR. ROSANO: At whatever point you call
10	the trucks to come.
11	MS. VAIDA: There is going to be no cut
12	through traffic by the drivers on Green
13	Meadows.
14	CHAIRMAN STUTO: And they will be so
15	directed.
16	MS. VAIDA: The DEC compliance.
17	MR. KING: And a CO can be issued pending
18	a no further action letter.
19	MS. VAIDA: Then the no left hand turning
20	from Everett into the site.
21	Anything else?
22	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Do we have a motion?
23	MR. LANE: I'll make a motion.
24	MR. MION: Second.
25	CHAIRMAN STUTO: All in favor?

Legal Transcription

	(Ayes were recited.)
	CHAIRMAN STUTO: All opposed?
	(There were none opposed.)
	CHAIRMAN STUTO: The ayes have it.
	MR. CAPONERA: Thank you.
	CHAIRMAN STUTO: Thank you for a
	civilized process and coming to hopefully a
	reasonable solution.
1	
1	
1	(Whereas the proceeding concerning the above
1	entitled matter was adjourned
1	at 8:40 p.m.)
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	
2	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	I, NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART, New York
5	State Approved Transcriber and Notary Public
6	in and for the State of New York, hereby
7	CERTIFY that the record taped and transcribed
8	by me at the time and place noted in the
9	heading hereof is a true and accurate
10	transcript of same, to the best of my ability
11	and belief.
12	
13	
14	
15	NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART
16	
17	
18	Dated June 10, 2011
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	