\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

THE PROPOSED PROJECT OF 14 PLAZA DRIVE STORMWATER RELOCATION

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

THE TAPED AND TRANSCRIBED MINUTES of the above entitled proceeding BY NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART commencing on October 27, 2009 at 7:44 p.m. at the Public Operations Center 347 Old Niskayuna Road, Latham, New York 12110

## BOARD MEMBERS:

CHARLES J. O'ROURKE, Acting Chairman TOM NARDACCI MICHAEL SULLIVAN ELENA VAIDA TIM LANE

PETER STUTO, Jr. Esq., Attorney for the Planning Board

Also present:

Joseph LaCivita, Director, Planning and Economic Development

Kevin DeLaughter, Planning and Economic Development

Mark Bette, First Columbia, LLC

Kevin Bette, First Columbia, LLC

Joe Grasso, Clough Harbour and Associates

1 ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: Next on the agenda we have 14 Plaza Drive. It's the 2 stormwater relocation. MR. MARK BETTE: I'm Mark Bette with 3 First Columbia. We're here to amend our site plan approval for 14 Plaza Drive. 4 Just a brief history: Full site and final approval was granted in January of 2009. It 5 was back before this board in September and then the parking issue was back in front of 6 the board to amend our stormwater design. Essentially, we're changing from an off-site management system to an on-site management system. I'll explain a little bit about that. This is exactly the plan that I brought 9 before you a month ago. The parking will go here (Indicating). 10 I highlighted the then current stormwater sign in pink and the stormwater management 11 system was going to the south of this (Indicating) into an existing pond that is on 12 a neighboring property. Essentially, the plan that you have in 13 your file for tonight's meeting -- the building does not change and the parking does 14 not change. We have essentially highlighted in orange the stormwater management system up to 15 the north on our property. It's designed on 16 hydraulics. It's essentially a business decision for the change from the off-site 17 solution to an on-site solution. I think that the town engineers had a 18 chance to review or complete a new SWPPP for the project -19 ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: That's my understanding as well. 20 Joe, you're all set to brief the board? MR. GRASSO: We had received the plans 21 the first week in October. Those plans also went to John Dzialo, the Town Stormwater 22 Management Officer. We had issued our first letter October 12<sup>th</sup>, which had half a dozen 23 relatively minor comments. They made a 24 resubmission of October 22<sup>nd</sup> and then issued

another letter October 27<sup>th</sup>. All of those

comments have been addressed.

25

I did speak with John Dzialo and he had not yet reviewed the stormwater plan. Any comments that John would have, I believe, would be minor.

MS. VAIDA: Why is that, then in front - MR. MARK BETTE: The proposal is an amendment to the previously approved site plan. The previously approved site plan contemplated the stormwater management system going to an existing off-site adjacent site location. What we have done is we have abandoned that design. The prior design is going to the south and we sustain a stormwater management pond. We have abandoned that design and we are going to a system north.

MR. GRASSO: I'll try to explain it in a few different words.

The problem with Autopark Drive is that there is an existing stormwater management area at the end of Autopark Drive that was designed to serve certain properties; the Nemith property, as well as Autopark Drive, the future town road. When you're trying to design for multiple properties, it's a little tricky that way.

Their original site plan approval was going to use that existing basin with some minor modifications to make it comply with current stormwater regulations. It took a very complex design and made it that much more complicated. What they decided to do was rather than rely on the storage within that basin, accommodate their stormwater storage needs within their own property.

ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: But they were notified at the site plan that if they weren't able to update Autopark, Kevin had said that we're just going to do it on our own property.

MR. GRASSO: We did not review the original design. The existing basin, we felt, it was acceptable. Both are acceptable stormwater management approaches. If we are asked about which one is better, the current proposal is better because it provides additional capacity in that other basin

1 without trying to conflict the run-off from the site. ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: Tom? MR. NARDACCI: I was tempted to ask how 3 you felt about connecting Wal-Mart retail traffic through your parcels, but I'll hold 4 off for now. I don't have any questions. It's a great 5 project. It's good that you're able to do this on your own site. I know that there are challenges there with off-site so I'm happy with this. ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: MR. LANE: I was going to ask what necessitated it but I think that I just had my 9 question answered. It was basically just a simplification. I thought that there might be 10 a concern by the other property owners with the plan, but that wasn't it. 11 MR. MARK BETTE: Not necessarily. We have been working with our neighbors. We've been 12 working with them for awhile. MR. LANE: This was just overall just 13 simpler to do? MR. MARK BETTE: We basically had to make 14 a business decision based on timing, based on today's date and winter coming up and our 15 need. We're planning on building in March 16 2010. We don't have the privilege of putting things off until springtime because we'll be 17 at our wits end here with timing. The stormwater water management system is 18 underneath the parking lot. In order for us to get all of our parking lot needs in, we really 19 had to make this decision. 2.0 MR. LANE: Thank you. MS. ELENA: It sounds like this is a good 21 resolution. ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: 22 MR. SULLIVAN: You have to make the 23 connection you from Plaza Drive and Autopark Drive? 24 MR. MARK BETTE: We haven't made the connection. The road is rough graded. We have 25 utility and waterlines that have to be installed and is tied in between Century Hill

1 Drive and Autopark Drive. Driving abilities have extended by the public utility companies from Century Hill Drive. MR. SULLIVAN: But is the plan to be 3 paved to Autopark Drive this fall or would that be delayed until next year? 4 MR. MARK BETTE: We're still in some discussions. We're working on it. 5 MR. SULLIVAN: Right now the way that it's set up any run-off along the pavement would be intercepted and sent back to your -MR. MARK BETTE: Yes. The connector right now is to collect all of the water along the connector road and feed it into this new basin. 9 Thank you. That's all I MR. SULLIVAN: had. 10 ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: I have just a couple things. 11 Joe, why isn't Autopark dedicated to the town right now? 12 MR. LACIVITA: When this all started with the Wal-Mart the four items that needed to be 13 taken care of - Joe or Kevin can certainly attest that there had to be subdivision. The 14 cul-de-sac had to be subdivided. There had to 15 be some type of work that First Columbia had to do with the basin. There was a hydrant that 16 had to be moved or something like that. I forgot the additional item. But at any point 17 in time First Columbia could have exercised the capability to try to have the road 18 dedicated. I'm not quite sure where it all stands right now with Wal-Mart. 19 ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: I'm just concerned because the Bette's are looking to 20 have the building done in March and I got the impression from Mr. Caponera in regard to the 21 designation of the road and I'm just having a little difficulty understanding. We plow it, 22 we maintain it -23 MR. DELAUGHTER: I don't think so. ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: The town 24 doesn't plow? I've seen the town plow down there. 25 MR. LACIVITA: I didn't think so.

1 MR. DELAUGHTER: I quess that I'm a little concerned in light of the schedule that 2 you've outlined. When the board approved this, it was contingent on access through frontage 3 on a public street being either the Autopark or the new Plaza Drive developed to the town 4 standards and dedicated to the town. I know that we had an e-mail in 5 connection with some IDA processes that said the subdivision and the town road option was 6 off the boards at this point. MR. LACIVITA: The IDA wouldn't have had any input -I think that there was MR. DELAUGHTER: some discussion related to setting this parcel 9 up as its own tax id. MR. LACIVITA: It's not an IDA action 10 though. 11 MR. DELAUGHTER: I think that it had to do with IDA funding. There were questions that 12 were put through the Town Assessor's office in terms of how that could be done. I think that 13 someone from your office, at that point, indicated that subdivision was on the shelf at 14 this point. So, that leaves you with the one 15 option of Autopark Drive. MR. MARK BETTE: I'm not sure what 16 subdivision you're talking about. MR. DELAUGHTER: In order to make Plaza 17 Drive a town road you have actually two subdivisions there. You have one in the 18 Century Hill project where we have an existing driveway that's going to be converted to town 19 standards and dedicated. Then we have the amendment of the Autopark Drive subdivision 20 which made the connection from Autopark to that existing, which would have been built to 21 town standards and dedicated. So, if that plan is on the shelf in terms of dedicating that to 22 the town -23 MR. MARK BETTE: The plans are not on the shelf in terms of dedicating it to the town. 24 What we're trying to work through with the town with respect to the reimbursement to the 25 road cost is the mechanism to release

mitigation funds for the Boght Road GEIS area.

Like I said, we've been working with the departments. We had approached the town about the inability of the town or not having a mechanism to release funds six weeks ago. So I know that with the inspections with Bob Mitchell's office -- we're kind of late for a little resolution on that. I get the sense that it's going to come to the Planning Board at some point in time.

2.0

ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: The only reason that I didn't want to get into an overblown discussion in regard to this -- my feeling with Autopark and the way that it was dedicated -- it was my understanding of it that a long time ago it should have been dedicated as a town road.

MR. MARK BETTE: The intension was ten years ago when it was built that it was going to be a town road. I'm aware that Joe had mentioned the four or five items that were on the punch list, if you will, before the town would accept it. First Columbia did take responsibility to correct a couple of those items. I don't have a list in front of me but I'm going to say that they're very minor in nature. You mentioned the fire hydrant. The fire hydrant had been removed.

ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: I understand that. I just want you to understand that any help that the board can help you with, feel free to contact us as well.

MR. BETTE: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: Can we get a motion on the stormwater relocation?

MR. GRASSO: Kevin, is there a SEQRA action as part of this?

MR. DELAUGHTER: I don't believe so. I think that the project essentially is the same scope as what the SEQRA determination initially was based on. I don't think that there's any need for SEQRA action.

The only thing that I would point out was that there was some indication that there was actually a parking reduction and in looking at the plans today, there is banked parking shown on one of the plans. Maybe the confusion is

1	that it wasn't shown on the plan that we had, but there is a plan that it does still show
2	some bank parking so that there is potential
3	to meet the full parking requirement.  MR. MARK BETTE: It does show here in the
4	lighter text that is the bank parking.  MR. LACIVITA: And I think that was
5	approved at a prior meeting along with the change in elevation. I know that this board
6	understood that if Anjou at the end of its term opted not to release with you or extend
7	the lease that they have requirements to put that back to the proposed approval.
8	ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: Necessary motion?
9	MR. HOLLAND: I'll make that motion.  ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: Do I have a
10	second?
11	MS. VAIDA: I'll second it. MR. SULLIVAN: Do you have to wait for
12	John Dzialo for a recommendation?  ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: We should make
13	it subject to a review by the SWPPP officer in the town as well.
14	MR. GRASSO: This will require an
15	amendment to the current SWPPP - ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: And that's not
16	going to hold them up?  MR. GRASSO: It should not hold them up
17	but by the time that they get a COA -  ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: What's the
18	time frame with John Dzialo?
19	MR. GRASSO: I talked to him today. I would guess within two weeks. I would
20	recommend that they follow up with John directly and then the SWPPP could get filed.
21	ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: All those in favor?
22	(Ayes were recited.)
	ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: Opposed?
23	(There were none opposed.)
24	ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: Good luck. MR. MARK BETTE: Thank you.
25	ACTING CHAIRMAN O'ROURKE: You're welcome.

1	(Whereas the proceeding concerning the
2	above entitled matter was concluded at 8:01 p.m.)
3	
4	CERTIFICATION
5	T NAMEY CODANG_VANDEDOCADO NO + o mor
6	I, NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART, Notary Public in and for the State of New York, hereby CERTIFY that the record taped and
7	transcribed by me at the time and place noted in the heading hereof is a true and accurate
8	transcript of same, to the best of my ability and belief.
9	and Dellel.
10	
11	NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART
12	
13	Dated December 2, 2009
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	